This book offers a readable access to Adventist missiology, not only describing it but showing how it developed, thereby turning its eyes away from the end of the world to the ends of the earth. Those who want to know how 3500 Adventists grew to 12 million, will find some answers here.

Prof. Dr. Klaus Fiedler
University of Malawi

Stefan Höschele

From the End of the World to the Ends of the Earth

The Development of Seventh-Day-Adventist Missiology

Foreword by Klaus Fiedler

Note on the Web Version

This web version of the book *From the End of the World to the Ends of the Earth: The Development of Seventh-Day Adventist Missiology* is made available to the public in electronic format for *research and private use only*. Therefore, it is *not* public domain, and the publisher (Verlag für Theologie und Religionswissenschaft, Nürnberg, Germany) owns the full copyright. It may not be posted on any web site or stored on servers. Download is possible from the author’s web site ([www.thh-friedensau.de/hoeschele](http://www.thh-friedensau.de/hoeschele)). Distribution in any form, particularly with commercial intent, is not permitted.

Print copies can be ordered from the publisher at [http://www.vtr-online.com](http://www.vtr-online.com).
edition afem
herausgegeben vom
Arbeitskreis für evangelikale Missiologie

von

Dr. Klaus W. Müller, Dr. Bernd Brandl
und Verlagsleiter Thomas Mayer

Dieses Buch ist Teil der edition afem im Verlag für Theologie und Religionswissenschaft (VTR), die von Dr. Klaus W. Müller, Dr. Bernd Brandl und Verlagsleiter Thomas Mayer herausgegeben wird.


Bibliographic information published by Die Deutsche Bibliothek
Die Deutsche Bibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.ddb.de.

ISBN 978-3-937965-14-7

© 2004, Verlag für Theologie und Religionswissenschaft
Gogolstr. 33, 90475 Nürnberg, Germany, http://www.vtr-online.de

On the front cover: Ellen White (1827-1915), co-founder of the Seventh-day Adventist Church, and the official logo of the Seventh-day Adventist Church (http://www.adventist.org/world_church/logo/index.html).

Cover Illustration: VTR
Layout: VTR
Printed by Book-on-Demand Verlagsservice, Friedensallee 76, 22765 Hamburg, Germany
Content

FOREWORD .....................................................................................................................5
PREFACE ..........................................................................................................................6
INTRODUCTION ...............................................................................................................7

CHAPTER 1: THE HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF ADVENTIST
MISSION AND UNDERLYING THEOLOGICAL EMPHASES .................9
Birth Pangs: The Millerite Movement, 1831-1844.................................................9
Jerusalem: The “Shut Door”, 1844-1850.................................................................11
Judea: White North America, 1850-1870 ...............................................................12
Samaria: The Christian World, 1870-1890 ...........................................................14
The World: The Everlasting Gospel to all Nations .............................................15
The Ends of the Earth: Global Mission and the Unreached ............................18

CHAPTER 2: SYSTEMATIC ASPECTS ..............................................................................22
Seventh-day Adventist Missiology – an Evangelical Missiology? .................22
Eschatological Aspects: The Mission of the Near Advent and the Sabbath ...........................................................................................................................................25
Ecclesiological Aspects: The Missionary Remnant ..........................................27
Ellen G. White and the Seventh-Day Adventist Missiological Perspective .......29

CHAPTER 3: SOME CURRENT ISSUES AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS ..........32
Secularism and Urbanization ...................................................................................32
Mission among Muslims ..........................................................................................35
Theology of Religions and Ecumenism ..................................................................37
Public Issues, Politics, and Poverty .........................................................................42
Cultural Issues and Contextualization ....................................................................45

CHAPTER 4: FINAL REMARKS: THE FUTURE OF SEVENTH-DAY
ADVENTIST MISSION .....................................................................................................49
Growth Explosion or Slowing Down? Expansion and its Consequences ..........49
Administration: Uniformity, Disintegration, or Pluriformity? ..........................50
Theology: Fundamentalism, Loss of Identity, or Missionary Theology? .........51

APPENDIX I: MISSION STATEMENT OF THE SEVENTH-DAY
ADVENTIST CHURCH ...................................................................................................52

APPENDIX II: “THE REMNANT AND ITS MISSION” .................................................53
Foreword

The Seventh-day Adventist Church is one of those churches in the North that, through being mission minded, now has the majority of its members in the Global South, and there Africa is dominant. The Seventh-day Adventist Church has been – almost from its outset but not quite – an international church. So it is quite appropriate that this book was written in Tanzania (where the author was then teaching), was presented to the University of Malawi (where the author was and is a student), and is being published in Germany (where the author is now teaching). I am happy that what started out as an academic exercise (PhD module 3 for a History of the SDA Church in Tanzania), has developed further and matured into a book missiologists and all who are interested in missions may take note of. Adventist missiology has developed over more than a hundred years, and though in recent years Adventist scholars have produced a considerable number of missiological books, Evangelicals in general have taken too little notice of Adventist missiology. This book offers a readable access to Adventist missiology, not only describing it but showing how it developed, thereby turning its eyes (not fully though) away from the end of the world to the ends of the earth. Those who want to know how 3,500 Adventists grew to 12 million, will find some answers here.

Klaus Fiedler
University of Malawi
Preface

Although this book was originally intended to be a mere academic exercise, it grew to a size bigger than this intention suggested. One reason for this is that it had been my wish to write on Adventist missiology even before. The second, and more weighty one, is that Dr. Klaus Fiedler, my Doktorvater, and his colleagues, the Kachere Series editors, encouraged me to have it published. I have appreciated their gentle pushes very much.

What made me particularly happy are the circumstances under which this study grew. It was during my stay in Africa where I worked as a lecturer of theology at Tanzania Adventist College, continuing the Adventist missionary tradition that began one hundred years ago in this country, and while doing doctoral studies of the University of Malawi. There could not have been any more inspiring setting to write on the missiology of a church that has a higher percentage of adherents in much of Eastern and Central Africa than in most Western countries.

The bibliography has been annotated in the books and magazines sections so that the reader may more easily understand the value of the various references. I wish to thank my friend Pastor Cepha Ang’ira as well as Dr. Erich Baumgartner and Dr. Russell Staples, both of Andrews University, for their willingness to comment on and critique the content.

I dedicate this little book to Alina, my wife and foremost partner in mission.
Introduction

The Seventh-day Adventist Church, which recently passed the 12 million member mark, is a movement that owes much of its identity to a unique missiology. This short study tries to contribute in a humble way to an understanding of the most important aspects and issues of this missiology as reflected in Seventh-day Adventist history as well as the present situation of this denomination.

The Advent movement, in spite of its sometimes uniformizing tendencies, has always been diverse, and the more it spread, the larger became the differences in missiological thinking and evangelistic approaches. However, one can find, at least in history, ideas and practices agreed upon by many leading scholars, missionaries, and administrators of the church, in part changing throughout time, but partly constant. Thus, this study has tried to use mainly sources that are to some degree representative without letting marginal developments go unnoticed.

Especially from the beginning of the 20th century, there has been a flood of Seventh-day Adventist books about missionary experiences as well as biographies of missionaries and similar popular materials that did not systematize Adventist missiology. More recently, especially during the last 30 years, a good number of works that develop SDA missiological thought have appeared. It is, however, not only in this recent past that mission issues have

---


been debated theologically. Ellen G. White (1827-1915), a major pioneer of the church who called herself “the Lord’s messenger”3 and who is considered to be a prophet by the Seventh-day Adventist Church, has made many statements about the theological basis of mission, mission strategy, and various issues involved in the task and expansion of the Church from the very beginning of the Church’s existence. Apart from SDA periodicals, it is mainly due to her rich literary work that we can today establish basic theological tenets that are essential in Seventh-day Adventist missiology.4 Apart from her, from the time when the Adventist missionary endeavour started to grow more significant around the turn of the century, there have been also some individuals who engaged in various aspects of research and writing in the fields of mission apologetics,5 anthropology/ethnology and linguistics,6 in addition to writing Adventist mission history.7

To reflect on Seventh-day Adventist missiology means to consider the historical patterns of the expansion of the church and their theological background as well as present-day issues. Some insight can be gained if theological, strategical, and practical dimensions of Adventist mission are compared particularly with the evangelical movement, for Adventists have more affinity with evangelicals than with any other major block of contemporary Christianity.

---

4 Mentioned should be the following of her works that deal directly with mission and evangelism: Gospel Workers: Instruction for all who are “Laborers together with God”, Rev. and enlarged ed., RHPA, 1948 (1st ed. 1892; 2nd ed. 1915); Evangelism, RHPA, 1946 [Compilation of different materials written by Ellen G. White]; and The Southern Work, RHPA, 1966 [Reprinted from articles and letters of 1891-1899]; see also books like Welfare Ministry, RHPA, 1952; The Ministry of Healing, RHPA, 1905; Manual for Canvassers, RHPA, 1902; and Christian Service, RHPA, 1925. Even more fundamental theologically for SDA mission are two of Ellen White’s most famous books, The Desire of Ages, RHPA, 1898; and The Great Controversy between Christ and Satan, PPPA, 1884.
5 E.g., W. Mueller, Der Dienst der Mission, Hamburg: Vollmer & Bentlin, 1940.
6 The following publications may serve as examples: Ernst Kotz, Im Banne der Furcht: Sitten und Gebräuche der Wapare in Ostafrika, AV, [1922]; Ernst Kotz., Grammatik des Chasu in Deutsch-Ostafrika (Pare-Gebirge), Berlin: Reimer, 1909.
7 Many SDA church histories have a focus on mission or portray SDA church history as mission history: John N. Loughborough, Rise and Progress of the Seventh-Day Adventists, Battle Creek: General Conference Association of Seventh-day Adventists, 1892; William A. Spicer, Our Story of Missions, PPPA, 1921; M.E. Olsen, Origin and Progress of Seventh-Day Adventists, RHPA, 1925; Wesley Amundsen, The Advent Message in Inter-America, RHPA, 1947; Mervyn C. Maxwell, Tell it to the World: The Story of Seventh-Day Adventists, PPPA, 1977.
Chapter 1
The Historical Development of Adventist Mission and Underlying Theological Emphases

During the last 25 years, various attempts have been made to periodize Adventist history from a missiological perspective. In these, it has been recognized that the expansion of the Seventh-day Adventist Church reflects a missiological development that starts with a - theologically as well as geographically - rather narrow view of the task the movement is given and then gradually widens its focus making the church advance throughout the world. Thus, even the overall history of Seventh-day Adventism can be understood as the history of this church’s developing mission.

In this study, I will take Jesus’ words in Acts 1:8 as an analogy to the historical stages that the Adventist Church has gone through: “You will be my witnesses in Jerusalem, and in all Judea and Samaria, and to the ends of the earth.” (NIV) As the early Christian Church spread step by step, crossing geographical and cultural borders only after some initial reluctance, Seventh-day Adventism, too, took some time to reach out beyond the narrow confines of its own Jerusalem and Judea as well as to emerge with a theology that would demand to widen this limited focus.

Birth Pangs: The Millerite Movement, 1831-1844

Most missionary movements have their roots in revivals. Similar to other missionary movements, Seventh-day Adventism emerged from a mid-19th century revival movement – the American Millerite Movement. It is a young denomination that draws reasons for its existence from a specific interpretation of this historical background: the Millerite Movement with its emphasis on the imminent second coming of Jesus has usually been interpreted by Seventh-day Adventists as God’s hand in history. Indeed, there is historical con-
tinuity between both the histories and the missiologies of the short-lived Millerite Revival and the only church organization resulting from it that has continued growing significantly until present.

William Miller (1782-1849) and his associates, preachers and lay people of different Protestant denominations in the United States of America, derived their message from a study of prophecy that led them to the issue of time elements in the books of Daniel and Revelation. Linking these to the “blessed hope” of the second coming of Jesus gave their proclamation a sense of urgency that was clearly different from the postmillennial persuasions of many of North America’s clergy who believed that human progress will prepare the establishment of the Kingdom of God on earth. Millerite premillennial eschatology, on the other hand, emphasized the need for repentance and preparation for the coming King.

The mission of Millerism was not to preach the gospel to the world but to prepare people for the end. Miller and his fellow preachers believed that the progress made by missionary societies was a proof that the Great Commission of Matthew 28:18-20 and the prophecies of Matthew 24:14 and Revelation 14:6-7 had been fulfilled: “The Gospel has now spread over the four quarters of the globe”, was their conviction. Thus, what the Millerites thought of as remaining to be done was to warn the world of the imminent second coming of Jesus; this task, according to one of the leaders of the movement, Josiah Litch, had been accomplished in 1843. In fact, by then the movement’s leading periodicals, Signs of the Times and Midnight Cry as well as tracts and other publications had been sent into most of the places inhabited by Christians worldwide, including missionary stations. A shortcoming of this literature work, however, was the fact that it was mostly English that was used.

e.g. Richard W. Schwarz, Light Bearers to the Remnant, PPPA, 1979, pp. 24-71; George R. Knight, A Brief History of Seventh-Day Adventists, RHPA, 1999, pp. 13-27.

4 They formed part of a larger movement which Fiedler calls the “Prophetic movement” which was pre-millennial, see Fiedler, The Story of Faith Missions, pp. 272-279.


8 Ibid., p. 52.

9 Ibid., p. 54. Many countries are mentioned; publications were sent to “nearly all English and American missionary stations on the earth”; however, no translation work is referred to here.
Millerism had a mission, but in spite of its tremendous evangelistic activities, the movement did not develop a concept of mission that went beyond proclaiming the near advent to those who would listen. As an apocalyptic intra-Christian revival movement based in the Northern states of the USA, it did not claim to contribute to either missions or the reflection on it – rather, leading proponents of Millerism saw the movement as a climax of all Christian mission that would lead to its end and fulfillment. The fulfillment hoped for, however, did not come, and this fact made the movement to end instead of the world.

Jerusalem: The “Shut Door”, 1844-1850

For the emerging sabbatarian Adventist group remaining from the “Great Disappointment” of 1844, its early years of existence did not allow a mission among non-Millerites. The “Shut-Door”-theory held by many of the post-1844 Adventists including the sabbatarians considered all those who did not believe in the messages preached by the Millerites as “fallen”; they presumed that “the door [of grace] was shut” for all who had not loved the coming of the Savior. As the opportunity to repent was over for most of the earth’s inhabitants, so they believed, it was only a matter of persevering until the Lord would come to take home his few elect who were faithful, continuing to believe in his soon appearance.

While, during more than a decade after 1844, the hope was strong that it was only a matter of weeks or months until they were going to heaven, much time was spent in Bible study by the future Seventh-day Adventists, carving

---

10 An estimated 500,000 people attended the movement’s camp meetings from 1842 to 1844 according to Schwarz, Light Bearers, p. 41; Miller had given 3200 lectures between 1832 and 1844 (ibid., p. 48).

11 The Millerites first – in the 1830s and early 1840s – expected Jesus to return very soon, but without a specific date; but their speculative calculations based on Daniel 8:14 led most of them to accept first the year 1843 and then October 22, 1844, as the day for the parousia. When this hope was not fulfilled, this date became synonymous with the “Great Disappointment” that the Millerites experienced.

12 George R. Knight, “From Shut Door to Worldwide Mission: The Dynamic Context of Early German Adventism”, in Baldur Ed. Pfeiffer et al., Die Adventisten und Hamburg, Frankfurt: Lang, 1992, p. 47, even calls this period the time of “the Antimission People”.

13 Schwarz, Light Bearers, pp. 55, 69, 70; for the impact on Seventh-day Adventists, see Rolf J. Pöhler, “And the Door was Shut – Seventh-Day Adventists and the Shut-Door Doctrine in the Decade after the Great Disappointment”, unpubl. paper, AU, 1978.


15 Ibid., p. 228. James and Ellen White even encouraged people to hold on in faith “a few more days”; see A Word to the “Little Flock”, p. 8, Brunswick, Maine: by the authors, 1847. Furthermore, some leaders of sabbatarian Adventists like Bates continued to set new dates for the advent going up to 1851; see Schwarz, Light Bearers, p. 66.
out a set of beliefs that would provide a basis for drawing together those individuals remaining from the 1844 revival who were open to join a new movement. The primary mission of early sabbatarian Adventism was thus to build a foundation for a future church by stabilizing itself doctrinally and in terms of adherents. The first “mission field” of the emerging Seventh-day Adventist movement were the Millerites. Methods similar to those used by the movement before 1844 were used: preaching, publications, and Bible conferences. Like Jesus’ disciples who waited for Pentecost, early sabbatarian Adventists did not reach out beyond their own Jerusalem.

Judea: White North America, 1850-1870

The new truths agreed upon in a number of “Sabbath Conferences” from 1848 onwards – the Sabbath doctrine, the teaching on the heavenly sanctuary, and a few others, such as the mortality of the soul – as well as the dynamic personalities who met each other in this melting pot of beliefs, ideas, and backgrounds, were like yeast working through a dough of group identity that grew in sabbatarian Adventism in the 1850s and made it increase in terms of numbers, too. This can be shown by its growth from less than 100 persons in 1849 to 250 in 1852 and 3500 in the founding year of the church organization, 1863.

The beginning of the 1850s marks a new period in SDA missiology. Already in the summer of 1849, James White, the unofficial leader of the movement for many years, started the first paper of sabbatarian Adventists, Present Truth, published “in defense of the truth”. Then, in 1850, Present Truth and a second new project of White, Advent Review, were replaced by Second Advent Review and Sabbath Herald. Thus, the idea of merely persevering without missionary activities was slowly replaced by the need of interacting with a greater public: North America became Adventism’s Judea.

In 1852, one of the most important sabbatarian figures, Joseph Bates, abandoned his shut-door beliefs when he found that the Presbyterian David Hewitt responded to his preaching on the Sabbath almost immediately. Hewitt, who lived in Battle Creek which should soon become the centre of Seventh-

---

16 Schantz, “Development of SDA Missionary Thought”, pp. 199-236, tends to downplay the limited missionary vision in the stages of Millerism and early post-1844 years and to project the later thoroughly missionary attitude of the church into these foundational years. A good explanation, however, for the “Shut-Door” period of little mission activity provided here, however, is that it was a time “to come to deep agreement as to their essential mission” (p. 218).

17 Schwarz, Light Bearers, 67-85.


19 This magazine continues to be published up to the present as Adventist Review; Schwarz, Light Bearers, p. 74-76.
day Adventism, had not been touched by Millerite Adventism before. As the focal point of Adventism moved from the American East to the Midwest and work was later opened even in California (1868), the movement was confronted with many more people who had not been exposed to Millerism that was centred more on the East Coast.

Still, opposition to a larger mission existed among the emerging Seventh-day Adventist Church. In the end of the 1850s, Uriah Smith, editor of *Review and Herald* from 1855, opposed investing in foreign missions as long as there were poor people at home, and M.E. Cornell, one of the most prominent early SDA evangelists, considered it futile to serve in countries where Christianity was not welcomed.

However, an important step towards a missionary identity and a growing missiological reflection was the question of church organization in the late 1850s and early 1860s. It was in connection with the conflict over the necessity of a formally organized church and the question whether organization was permitted by the Bible that Seventh-day Adventists decided that the reason for their existence was to reach out. The name adopted by the young denomination, Seventh-day Adventist Church, was to describe two elements of its initial mission: to continue proclaiming the near advent of Jesus Christ and to present a major new doctrine to the world – the seventh-day Sabbath as a binding commandment for Christians.

For almost another decade after coming up with a denominational organization, North America was seen as a sufficient field for the mission of the church. Uriah Smith argued that through immigrants, the peoples of the world were assembled in it and thus the mission of the Seventh-day Adventist Church could be fulfilled there. Likewise, when in 1868, a “Vigilant Mission Society” was set up by Stephen Haskell, its aims were not cross-national or cross-cultural mission but mainly to promote personal evangelism by church members in the USA.

---

20 Ibid., p. 80.
22 The first conference of churches was formed in 1861 in Michigan, and the General Conference, a governing body of all conferences, in 1863; see George R. Knight, *A Brief History of Seventh-Day Adventists*, RHPA, 1999, p. 63. Canada was entered in 1862; see Schantz, “Development of SDA Missionary Thought”, p. 775.
23 *RH*, May 27, 1858, p. 13; February 3, 1859, p. 87, quoted in Schantz, “Development of SDA Missionary Thought”, p. 239.
Chapter 1: The Historical Development of Adventist Mission


A change in missionary activity and concepts was brought about when more and more Americans of immediate European origin became Adventists who were still much attached to their home country and culture. They naturally did not believe in proclaiming the message only in “Judea” but saw the need of spreading it amongst their peoples on the other side of the ocean. Thus, in 1872, still in North America, the denomination started to produce the first of its foreign language periodicals in Danish, *Advent Tidende*; others in French, German, Norwegian, and Swedish followed soon. These papers were an important step of Adventist mission into Christendom outside the “new world”. These missionary activities were managed by the “Missionary Society of Seventh-day Adventists” that was founded already in mid-1869 but confined its activities initially mainly to literature work – although sending out missionaries was one of its objectives, too.\(^{25}\)

James White had expressed the idea of sending a worker to Europe as early as 1862, but the general climate of the early 1860s did not yet seem favourable for the church to start a missionary thrust towards Europe. When the General Conference denied to send Michael B. Czechowski, a 1857 convert and successful evangelist particularly amongst immigrants in the USA, as a missionary to Europe in 1864,\(^{26}\) he decided to go there under the auspices of a non-sabbatarian Adventist group. Reaching Europe, he still preached the Sabbath while concealing his links to the Seventh-day Adventist Church, an activity that later led to first contacts of Swiss and Romanian individuals to the General Conference.\(^{27}\)

Ten years later, the situation had changed. The General Conference sent its first official missionary, John N. Andrews, who had been its president from 1867-1869, to Switzerland. His success was rather limited as he confined his activities almost entirely to editing literature; thus, he was not able to establish a thriving church.\(^{28}\)

More outstanding attempts of missionary endeavour than Czechowski’s self-made mission and Andrews’s approach were made by the Dane Jan G. Matteson and the German Ludwig R. Conradi. They went back to their home countries in 1877 and 1886, respectively, planting many churches and developing

---


\(^{26}\) The reasons stated by the church leaders were his lacking ability to handle finances and his too independent personality; see Schwarz, *Light Bearers*, p. 142.

\(^{27}\) Ibid, pp. 143-144.
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a European SDA identity in spite of resistance of state churches. Conradi de-
veloped the strategy to win converts from Baptist background first in various
places, then more and more from Lutheran and Catholic areas, organizing the
church also among German emigrants in Russia and other Eastern European
countries. Similar efforts were undertaken by Seventh-day Adventists
among the white population of Southern Africa from 1887 onwards and in
Australia starting in 1885.

In this period, there was a growing awareness that the Seventh-day Adventist
message was to be spread to all Christians in the world. One of the church’s
strongest mission advocates, Ellen G. White, visited Europe from 1885-
1887 and settled in Australia for one decade, 1891-1900, and thus particip-
pated actively in serving on the cutting edge of the church’s mission during
this stage.

Another new field entered during this time was the South of the USA. For-
merly rejected there because of their abolitionist views, Seventh-day Advent-
ists slowly started to work particularly among Blacks. There were a few at-
ttempts in the 1870s and 80s, but it was only in 1893 that James Edson White,
son of Ellen and James White, began to apply considerable effort for the
Afro-Americans. There was a similar geographical distance to the centre of
Adventism as the American West; however, the differences of lifestyle, val-
ues, and background between the South and the North as well as between
Blacks and Whites made this a more significant cross-cultural mission project
of the church.

The World: The Everlasting Gospel to all Nations

Around the early 1890s, the persuasion came up among Seventh-day Advent-
ists that the church has a mission for the whole world, even the non-
Christians. More than the rather symbolic mission on famous Pitcairn Island,
enthusiastically promoted by the General Conference in 1890, visionary
leaders like Ellen White emphasized that the time had come for an Adventist
outreach beyond Christian countries. Being in Australia in 1892, she wrote:

30 Apart from the countries mentioned, the following were entered during this period: 1876: France;
1877: Italy; 1878: Norway, England; 1880: Sweden; 1886: New Zealand, Russia; 1888: Poland; 1889:
Holland, Turkey (Armenians); see Schantz, “Development of SDA Missionary Thought”, pp. 775-
777.
31 Her husband had died in 1881.
passim.
The missionary work in Australia and New Zealand is yet in its infancy; but the same work must be accomplished in Australia, New Zealand, in Africa, India, China, and the islands of the sea, as have been accomplished in the home field.\textsuperscript{34}

Already in 1886, General Conference president George I. Butler came up with the idea that the Christian countries being reached at the moment must become springboards for further expansion. He had argued:

\textit{[E]very true Seventh-day Adventist must be interested in the welfare of our leading missions which are organized and sustained to send the light to the regions beyond.}\textsuperscript{35}

Thus, in the 1890s and the first decades of the 20\textsuperscript{th} century, more and more countries without any Christian background were targeted.\textsuperscript{36} Seventh-day Adventists recognized that the USA had been reached with their message to a considerable extent and that the time to reach the formerly unreached countries of Africa, South America, the Pacific, and Asia had come. Whereas Adventist mission before this period was more like a random exercise that consisted in responding to calls from outside, it is at this time that one can recognize the emergence of a mission strategy: in 1889, the newly formed “Foreign Mission Board” began its work with a full-time secretary, and S. N. Haskell, one of the pioneer leaders of Adventism, made a world tour on its behalf in the same year.\textsuperscript{37}

Much of this missionary expansion was due to apt church leadership. Arthur G. Daniells, after being a missionary in New Zealand and Australia for 13 years, became the church’s world leader in 1901 during a General Conference session that effected, under his guidance, fundamental changes in the worldwide church structure, which made it function like a big missionary society. The General Conference committee, the highest authority in church administration, was assigned the task to direct the world mission of the church. With Daniells as a dynamic leader and the equally mission-minded William A. Spicer, former missionary to England and India, as secretary,

\textsuperscript{34} \textit{Fundamentals of Christian Education}, SPA, 1923, pp. 208-209.

\textsuperscript{35} \textit{RH}, October 5, 1886, p. 616.

\textsuperscript{36} Some of the new fields were also predominantly Catholic countries: 1890: Argentina 1891: Honduras; 1892: Nicaragua; other countries included (with emphasis on Africa) 1894: Chile, Ghana, Zimbabwe; 1895: Fiji, Samoa, Tonga; 1896: Japan; 1899: Egypt, Lesotho; 1900: Indonesia; 1901: Jordan; 1902: Malawi, Burma, China; 1903: Tanzania, Spain, Cuba; etc. 1905: Algeria, Zambia; 1906: Kenya; 1907: Ethiopia; 1914: Nigeria, Mauritius; 1920: Swaziland, Rwanda, Zaire; 1921: Botswana; 1924: Angola; 1925: Burundi, Morocco; 1926: Cameroon, Liberia, Madagascar, Uganda; 1928: Tunisia (Schantz, “Development of SDA Missionary Thought”, pp. 777-779).

\textsuperscript{37} Schantz, “Development of SDA Missionary Thought”, pp. 332-333, 375.
three mission decades followed. While world mission used to be an option or just one function of the church before, it became its heartbeat after 1901. Spicer argued in this period:

The cause of world wide missions is not something in addition to the regular work of the church. The work of God is one work the wide world over. The Gospel message can never have accomplished its purpose until it has reached all lands.

Daniells was a mission strategist. He put into practice the “springboard plan” of investing into fields that could soon be self-supporting and send missionaries, too. Under his leadership during 20 years, missionaries sent out annually were almost 100 as compared to an average of 5 before, reaching 700 North American SDA missionaries in 1918 and 1200 in 1935 – 6.5% and 9.9% of all American Protestant missionaries, respectively.

It was not only from the USA that missionaries were sent out and new territories were entered. Many European Adventists were sent into their nations’ colonies and started missions there as early as the first decade of the 20th century, e.g. in Kenya, Tanzania, and Algeria. Others “adopted” countries, such as the Scandinavian Seventh-day Adventists who chose Ethiopia as their mission field. Australians reached out into the Pacific, and from South Africa, Zimbabwe and Zambia were reached. The “springboard plan” worked well.

An important theological background for this new missionary and missiological stage was the famous 1888 General Conference Session of Minneapolis. There, a major correction in theological emphasis took place – again, under the guidance of Ellen G. White, but also through young proponents of a new prioritization in soteriology, Alonzo T. Jones and Ellet J. Waggoner. They emphasized that all distinctive Seventh-day Adventist doctrines are not valuable without, and should be subject to, the centre of the gospel – righteousness by faith. Although this new weight on the cross as against the traditional Seventh-day Adventist emphasis on the law was rejected by many at the conference meeting, in the following years, the “1888 message” did not only become a ferment of spiritual renewal of Adventist leadership but also provided a framework for a missiology that would postulate the need for outreach to heathen lands. Adventism was now no more exclusively a reform movement promoting its distinctives in a Christian context but a church with

---

38 Spicer and Daniells exchanged positions in 1922.
39 William A. Spicer, Our Story of Missions, PPPA, 1921, p. 11.
41 Schwarz, Light Bearers, 183-197.
the task of bringing basic Christian teachings – the gospel – to those outside Christianity. Revelation 14:6-12, the call of the angel to all nations, tongues, and peoples which the Seventh-day Adventist Church has persistently interpreted as being related to its own history, was begun to be understood in a fuller light. Adventism, while continuing to view mission mainly as an “invitation to join the eschatological community”,\(^{42}\) started to realize that many more had to be invited than it had been formerly believed.

**The Ends of the Earth: Global Mission and the Unreached**

Almost one hundred years of world mission brought into existence Seventh-day Adventist churches in most countries of the world\(^{43}\) but still left nearly half of the world population untouched – and even unreachable – with its message. After World War II, the missionary concept of the church, as that of many other missions, was to continue the pre-war mission and simply expand its activities in the fields where missions had been planted already. Then, when independence came in most African and Asian nations, it phased out foreign, mostly American, leadership in order to allow nationals to take over. With the handing over of responsibility on all levels, the world church also assigned unentered territories to the Division\(^{44}\) they are in, leaving the task of reaching the formerly unreached in existing Adventist fields with the country-wide or regional administrative units. However, because of financial constraints, a large number of growing institutions to be supported, and regional/ethnic concentrations of church membership, outreach into new areas has often not been easy. Throughout the 20th century, there has been no SDA foreign mission department at the General Conference level which was a strength in the beginning of the century, involving the world church leadership directly into its world mission.


\(^{43}\) Major countries not entered in 1993 were: Afghanistan, Bhutan, Comoros, Djibouti, Libya, Mauritania, Oman, Palestine, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Somalia, Syria, Tunisia, Western Sahara, and Yemen; in addition to them, some tiny countries such as Andorra, Liechtenstein, and Monaco. However, there is a considerable number of countries with fewer than 50 members consisting sometimes of mainly mission personnel or expatriates: Algeria, Bahrain, Brunei, Congo, Cyprus, Iran, Laos, Maldives, Malta, Morocco, Mongolia, Niger, Oman, Turkey, Turkmenistan. Other countries that have below 500 Seventh-day Adventists are: Albania, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Burkina Faso, Cambodia, Gambia, Georgia, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Macedonia, Mali, Nepal, Senegal, Slovenia, Tajikistan, United Arab Emirates (62!). See “131st Annual Statistical Report – 1993”, Silver Spring: General Conference of Seventh-day Adventists, 1994, pp. 42-42.

\(^{44}\) The currently 12 Divisions are the largest administrative unit below the General Conference, usually comprising a continent or a major part of it.
This, however, became a weakness when all reachable countries were reached, giving leadership the impression that their task was done. Thus, in spite of the establishment of an orientation course for missionaries in 1966 and the creation of a Department of World Mission at Andrews University in the same year, there was a sharp decline in the number of Adventist missionaries, particularly from North America, although financial and membership growth continued in the same period. There has been a “gradual slowing of the outward missionary thrust from homeland churches”, as Russell Staples, one of the leading Seventh-day Adventist missiologists puts it, reasoning that it may be the very success of the mission thrust of earlier decades that shifted not only the weight in membership but also the belief about who is responsible for accomplishing the mission of the church from Europe and America to the Two-Thirds World.

It is only recently that the General Conference readjusted this mission philosophy. Apart from similar trends in other evangelical missions and denominations, it were again insights derived from the Biblical passage so important to the Seventh-day Adventist Church, Revelation 14:6, that led church leaders to de-emphasize countries reached and to focus on smaller units. In 1986, the General Conference voted Global Mission, an initiative that aims at establishing an SDA presence in every population segment of 1 million persons in the world. Starting to be implemented in 1990, 2300 such unreached segments were identified that were then targeted with different strategies, one of the main tools being Global Mission Pioneers, volunteers who know the local situation and work as church planters for a small stipend.

---

45 This structural problem is what Bruce L. Bauer deplores in “Structure and Mission”, in Dybdahl, Adventist Mission in the 21st Century, pp. 159-166. He demands that an Adventist missionary society or a department of mission be established in order to revive the missionary spirit in the denomination.


47 In 1968, there were around 1500 North American SDA missionaries; in 1996, around 700 were left according to Coote, “Twentieth-century Shifts in the North American Protestant Missionary Community”, pp. 152-153. The positive side of the coin is the internationalization of these SDA “regular” missionaries (today called Inter-Division Employees); according to Pat Gustin, current Director of the Institute of World Mission of the SDA Church, more than half of SDA Inter-Division Employees come from outside North America [personal interview, Nairobi, April 1999], the largest part of them from the Philippines.


50 “Then I saw another angel flying in midair, and he had the eternal gospel to proclaim to those who live on the earth – to every nation, tribe, language, and people.” (NIV)
Through this global plan, 500 of the 2300 segments were reached until 1995.\textsuperscript{51} In spite of very little training of the volunteers and sometimes rather short periods of service financed by the General Conference that sometimes led to interrupted or discontinued projects, this initiative shows that there is both a need and willingness to reach beyond the areas and groups of people where the church has been established. Meanwhile, one important correction has also been applied on the \textit{Global Mission} plans: the 1 million people segments have proved to be still far too big, and in some areas, smaller units have been established. As time goes by, knowledge about more and more ethnic, social, cultural, and economic people groups will emerge. The unreached have come back into the focus of Adventist mission!\textsuperscript{52}

Besides the \textit{Global Mission} initiative, various new Adventist mission organizations have been established during the last two decades. \textit{Adventist Frontier Missions},\textsuperscript{53} for instance, is an organization sending out long-term missionaries into unreached people groups around the world. According to its example, \textit{Philippine Frontier Missions} and \textit{Myanmar Frontier Missions} have been founded by Adventists in the respective countries, targeting mainly people groups in their own lands. Other initiatives include the Student Missionary program in which mainly American college students have gone to assist in various projects since the 1960s, averaging more than 300 per year in the 80s and 90s.\textsuperscript{54} Moreover, through the \textit{1000 Missionary Movement}, a student/volunteer program similarly designed for one-year projects, Eastern Asian Seventh-day Adventists have sent more than 1000 young people to more or less unreached areas in their own territory in the 1990s.\textsuperscript{55}

Another crucial feature of recent Adventist missiological developments is the adoption of a mission statement by the General Conference. Interestingly, it includes different aspects of mission: preaching, teaching, and healing. Both the central Christian message, the gospel as shared with other Christians, and


\textsuperscript{52} Bruce Campbell Moyer, “The Unreached People: Mission as Maintenance or Opening New Frontiers”, in Dunton et al., \textit{Adventist Missions Facing the 21st Century}, pp. 38-52.


the particular Seventh-day Adventist emphasis on Revelation 14:6-12 are included in the statement.\textsuperscript{56}

Apart from the mentioned developments of geographical missionary expansion, there have been coming up, particularly during the last few decades, new issues and phenomena that will be treated in the following sections.

\textsuperscript{56} The SDA Mission Statement is found in the \textit{General Conference Working Policy} (1998-1999 edition), p. 27, and is fully rendered in Appendix I.
Chapter 2
Systematic Aspects

Seventh-day Adventist Missiology – an Evangelical Missiology?

Seventh-day Adventist missiology is evangelical in most of its aspects, and the Seventh-day Adventist Church can be understood as part of the evangelical movement. This is evident when considering the historical origin of Adventism which was fuelled strongly by Methodist and Baptist elements. Furthermore, there have been friendly contacts with other evangelical churches especially since the Seventh-day Adventist Church entered its World Mission period. For instance, there has been an active participation in the 1910 Edinburgh World Mission Conference and the acceptance of comity agreements in some cases in the beginning of SDA mission activities in non-Christian lands.¹ Adventism’s evangelical character can also be seen when one reviews important evangelical missiological declarations such as the *Lausanne Covenant*² and compares them with the *27 Fundamental Beliefs* of Seventh-day Adventists³ and other Adventist statements and publications that deal with the mission of the church as well as Ellen G. White’s writings.

Seventh-day Adventists will agree whole-heartedly with almost all of the articles of the *Lausanne Covenant*. With it, they believe that God is a missionary God who wants His people to be builders of His kingdom, they uphold the authority of the Bible, calling it infallible, and they believe that Christ is the only and universal Savior of mankind.⁴ They also agree with the statements made about the nature of evangelism and Christian social responsibility that carefully balance these two aspects of Christian mission while putting more weight on evangelistic witness.⁵ There is a difference, however, in that SDA Church policy and tradition discourages active political participation especially for its employees⁶ whereas the *Lausanne Covenant* makes

⁴ Articles 1-3 of the Lausanne Covenant; see Stott, *Making Christ Known*, p. 9, 13, 16; *Seventh-Day Adventists Believe*, p. 5-57; 106-117 (articles about the Holy Scriptures, the Godhead, God the Father, and Jesus Christ).
⁵ Articles 4-5; see Stott, *Making Christ Known*, p. 20, 24.
⁶ *Working Policy of the General Conference of Seventh-Day Adventists: 1995-1996 Edition*, RHPA, 1995, p. 312 (section HA 15 on ADRA) and 482 (section S 50 on Conflict of Interest). Particularly for SDA pastors, there was traditionally a clear although unwritten rule of political non-involvement.
“socio-political involvement ... part of our Christian duty”, but shows that this responsibility is not to be equated with evangelism.⁷

Adventists would subscribe to some of the ideas of the sections on the Church and evangelism and co-operation in evangelism,⁸ especially the centrality of the evangelistic task and its being the *raison d’être* for the existence of the church as well as the need for strategic planning. However, the Seventh-day Adventist Church has always been very cautious concerning the question of co-operation. In spite of recognizing “those agencies that lift up Christ before men as a part of the divine plan for evangelization of the world”,⁹ most Seventh-day Adventists have always felt that they cannot directly co-operate with non-sabbatarian churches, not even with those evangelical groups that are very close to them theologically and in Christian lifestyle.¹⁰ Because of its focus on specific doctrines, the Seventh-day Adventist Church makes unity in outreach dependent on acceptance of its theology. Therefore, the Adventists agree with the Lausanne movement that “Organizational unity may take many forms and does not necessarily forward evangelism” but do not support the idea that a closer unity of all evangelicals will bring forth the accomplishment of world evangelization. Rather, many Adventists, supporting their views by prophetic statements of Ellen White, continue to expect that the difficulties experienced by Adventism in 19th century America because of evangelicals pushing for a national Sunday law will be repeated on a global scale, leading to a persecution of Adventists and ushering in the closing chapters of earth’s history.¹¹ Thus, at large, Adventism is rather a “loner” in its missionary outreach, not being a member in any of the significant evangelical or interdenominational organizations except in certain obvious areas of Christian co-operation such as the Bible Societies or relief and development.

However, together with the Lausanne Movement, Adventism believes in evangelistic partnership with churches in the Two-Thirds World – in the case of Adventism, partnership with its World Divisions – especially in view of The “Conflict of Interest” section, although not stating this, is a parallel concerning business activities.

⁸ Articles 6-7; ibid., p. 28.
¹⁰ E.g., Seventh-day Adventists use much evangelical literature in evangelism (like Bill Bright’s “Four Spiritual Laws”), apologetics (e.g. in creationism or biblical historicity questions), and church life (e.g., contemporary song books).
¹¹ White, *The Great Controversy*, pp. 563-592; G. Edward Reid, *Sunday’s Coming: Eye-Opening Evidence that These Are the Very Last Days*, by the author, 1996 [Reid is the Stewardship Director of the North American Division of Seventh-day Adventists].
the urgency of the evangelistic task of reaching the unreached billions of people. Therefore, continuing to send missionaries not only from the USA and Europe but from everywhere to everywhere is one of its policies.\textsuperscript{12} Although there are no official statements about culture and the gospel in the view of the Seventh-day Adventist Church,\textsuperscript{13} Lausanne’s balanced view of evangelism and culture presenting the need of contextualization while cautioning that every culture contains demonic elements would be accepted by most Adventist leaders. Similarly, the article on education and leadership that stresses nurture, indigenization, and thorough Bible-based theological education that is at the same time evangelism-oriented, speaks about an important concern of the denomination today.\textsuperscript{14}

Furthermore, Seventh-day Adventists know about the spiritual nature of the conflict that the church faces while in its mission,\textsuperscript{15} and about persecution that may arise from the proclamation of the gospel; they also fully support liberty of conscience.\textsuperscript{16} Adventists share the belief that it is only through the power of the Holy Spirit that worldwide evangelization can be accomplished\textsuperscript{17} and that “Jesus Christ will return personally and visibly, in power and glory, to consummate his salvation and his judgment”, rejecting the idea of an earthly utopia. They likewise believe that before the \textit{parousia}, “the Gospel must first be preached to all nations”.\textsuperscript{18}

Altogether, it is surely not overstated that Seventh-day Adventists belong to the larger picture of the evangelical movement. Even if not closely connected to official networks of evangelicals, theological affinities and similarities of (mainly missionary!) activity make Adventists resemble evangelicals of other backgrounds.\textsuperscript{19}

\textsuperscript{12} Articles 8-9; see Stott, \textit{Making Christ Known}, p. 33; cf. section C 65 10 (From Everywhere to Everywhere) of the \textit{Working Policy 1995-1996}, pp. 86-87, that says that the “ever-expanding world mission program” of the SDA Church continues to need missionaries going in and coming from all directions.

\textsuperscript{13} But see section 4.5 on culture and contextualization in this study.

\textsuperscript{14} Articles 10-11; ibid., p. 39.

\textsuperscript{15} Article 12; see Stott, \textit{Making Christ Known}, p. 44; cf. the section about the “Great Controversy” in \textit{Seventh-Day Adventists Believe}, pp. 98-105, as well as Ellen White, \textit{Great Controversy}, passim.

\textsuperscript{16} Article 13; see Stott, \textit{Making Christ Known}, p. 44. The SDA Church even encourages its own members who do not agree with its principles to be free to leave the church; see \textit{Working Policy 1995-1996}, p. 402.

\textsuperscript{17} Article 14; see Stott, \textit{Making Christ Known}, p. 49; SDA eschatology talks about the “latter rain”, a time during which a special outpouring of the Holy Spirit is expected; see e.g. White, \textit{The Great Controversy}, pp. 611, 613.

\textsuperscript{18} Article 15; see Stott, \textit{Making Christ Known}, p. 49; section 24 in \textit{Seventh-Day Adventists Believe}, pp. 333-346, “The Second Coming of Christ”.

\textsuperscript{19} This is the convincing argument of Russell Staples, “Adventism”, in Donald W. Dayton and Robert K. Johnston (eds.), \textit{The Variety of American Evangelicalism}, Knoxville: Univ. of Tennessee Press,
Eschatological Aspects: The Mission of the Near Advent and the Sabbath

In addition to its evangelical background and identity, Seventh-day Adventism has developed and continued to uphold some traits which stem from its Millerite and restorationist\textsuperscript{20} roots and that give the church a particular sense of mission. The most outstanding of these characteristics are the belief in the imminent return of Jesus Christ and the observation of the Sabbath on Saturday.

The apocalyptic identity of Adventism has had a serious impact on its mission. While, in the early period, it hindered outreach because it made its believers to think that heaven is nearer than the unconverted, the belief in the soon return of Jesus has later provided a powerful incentive for many Adventists to dedicate themselves to evangelistic outreach or even cross-cultural mission service in order to warn people of the coming doom.\textsuperscript{21} Although the idea that the proclamation of the gospel in the world had been accomplished already has been lingering in some parts of the church since its beginning up to the present, the commission to preach to all nations, tongues, and tribes \textit{before} the end has become a major incentive for the world church to develop a new strategy to reach the whole globe. In spite of deviations such as renewed time-settings for the second advent and apocalyptic perfectionism on the fringe of Adventism, its awareness of biblical eschatology has helped the church not to fall into the trap of identifying itself fully with earthly activities while working to improve the temporal existence of both its members and the surrounding world.

While the hoped-for second coming of Jesus seemed to delay, the proclamation of Adventist Sabbath theology, in its roots being an element of restorationist thought, became the major eschatology-related missionary project of Adventism and actually \textit{the} mark of Seventh-day Adventist identity even more than the somewhat less tangible “Blessed Hope”. The Sabbath obtained an eschatological meaning among Adventists in that they believed it to be a

\textsuperscript{1991, pp. 57-71. Concerning the relationship between Adventists and evangelicals, see also Arthur F. Glasser, “A Friendly Outsider Looks at Seventh-day Adventists”, \textit{Ministry}, Vol. 62/1 (January 1989), pp. 8-10.}

\textsuperscript{20} Pöhler, “Change in SDA Theology”, p. 157-161, depicts restorationism/primitivism, i.e. the idea that New Testament Christianity should be restored in the present time, as one of the most influential roots of SDA identity particularly because it was connected to millennialism that was so fundamental for the SDA experience. James White and Joseph Bates, the two major leaders of early sabbatarian Adventists, had been in restorationist groups before 1844.

crucial matter for the last days of history: Ellen White calls the Sabbath “the great test of loyalty”, and traditionally, its observance has been equaled to the “seal of God” in Revelation 7:2 while Sunday keeping has been regarded as part of the eschatological “mark of the beast” (Revelation 13:17; 14:9), being a deviation from the divine law.\(^{22}\) Furthermore, the messages of the 2\(^{\text{nd}}\) and 3\(^{\text{rd}}\) angels of Revelation 14 who announce the fallen state of Babylon and show an eschatological separation between worshippers of the “Beast” and those saints who “obey God’s commandments and remain faithful to Jesus” are associated with the final conflict in which Seventh-day Adventists are believed to play a crucial double role: being both the true saints and the angels who bring the last warning to the world before the dreadful events of the end that usher the coming of Jesus.

Whereas eschatology seems not to have played a significant role in the mission of a number of other bodies for a considerable time, especially in the Catholic and mainline (“ecumenical”) Protestant churches,\(^{23}\) Adventism is a good example for David Bosch’s thesis that “only in premillennial circles did the ... idea of a cataclysmic overthrow of the existing order survive, but ... premillennialists were completely marginalized”.\(^{24}\) Adventism seemed to exult in being ousted. They took this as a sign of being right and of the soon end, appealing, on the other hand, to those who, because of economical or social background, were also marginalized. This is probably the main reason why Adventist growth today does occur in the Two-Thirds World whereas most middle-class Whites do not find much attractive in traditional Adventism except if they have been raised in a firmly Christian tradition.

Especially during the last two decades, some Adventist theologians, mainly in the western world, have reinterpreted the focus of the church’s eschatology. While maintaining doctrinal contents of the denomination’s particular eschatological beliefs, the earlier focus on warning people of the imminent judgement has been changed to an emphasis on hope for today’s man, this being a missionary attempt to reach out to the secular.\(^{25}\) Still, others participated in the millennial fever of the year 2000 and propagated theories that attempted to prove that we live in the “very last days”.\(^{26}\)

\(^{22}\) White, *Great Controversy*, pp. 604-605.
\(^{24}\) Ibid.
\(^{26}\) G. Edward Reid, *Even at the Door*, by the author, 1994 [as his other book, *Sunday’s Coming*, distributed by RHPA, the leading Adventist publishing house].
Ecclesiological Aspects: The Missionary Remnant

A particular Seventh-day Adventist ecclesiology developed quite early in the history of the movement. The biblical terminology that Adventists have used frequently to describe its view of the true end-time church is that of the “Remnant”\(^\text{27}\). This ecclesiological concept did not have an explicit missionary aspect in the beginning; rather, with reference to Revelation 12:17,\(^\text{28}\) it emphasized the identity of Seventh-day Adventism with God’s eschatological people who “keep His commandments” – including the Sabbath – and “hold to the testimony of Jesus”, equated with the “Spirit of Prophecy” in Revelation 19:10 and believed to be present in the Seventh-day Adventist Church in the ministry of Ellen G. White.\(^\text{29}\)

The Seventh-day Adventist movement connected the 3\(^{rd}\) angel’s message of Revelation 14:9-12 with the task of the Remnant whereas the 1\(^{st}\) and 2\(^{nd}\) angels’ messages of Revelation 14:6-8 were seen to be fulfilled in the Millerite Movement. Thus, the Remnant began to be seen as an agency of both mission by example showing the world the character of God (Revelation 14:4) and messengers proclaiming a final warning to “Babylon” – the other churches\(^\text{30}\) – because of its sins and perverted doctrines.

Over the decades, when the general gospel mission amongst non-Christians was included into Adventist missiology, the concept of the Remnant got a new aspect as well. One of today’s leading missiologists in the church, Jon Dybdahl, argues that “the true purpose of this concept in the end is to broaden the scope of God’s people. Even for Old Testament Israel, that broadening meant mission to the world.”\(^\text{31}\) Pointing to the recent mission experiences amongst Muslims, he asks whether the Remnant – and thus, Adventism – should not be seen as a reform movement among all world religions rather than merely out of other Christian churches.

A particular question has been, in the history of Adventism, how the Remnant and the Seventh-day Adventist Church relate to each other. Until pre-

\(^{27}\) “The Remnant and its Mission” is the 12th of the 27 Fundamental Doctrines held by the SDA Church; see Seventh-Day Adventists Believe, pp. 152-169 and appendix I of this study. Some OT verses referred to frequently in this context include 2 Chronicles 30:6; Ezra 9:14, 15; Isaiah 10:20-22; Jeremiah 42:2.

\(^{28}\) “Those who obey God’s commandments and hold to the testimony of Jesus” (NIV).

\(^{29}\) Seventh-Day Adventists Believe, pp. 224-228.

\(^{30}\) All the other churches were thought to have become, structurally and in teachings, Babylon when they rejected the Millerite Movement of the 1840s; Ellen White, Early Writings, RHPA, 1892, p. 279, calls them “doomed churches”.

sent, there are voices who reaffirm the interpretation that the Seventh-day Adventist Church, as an organization, constitutes the visible manifestation of the “Remnant”, and often stick to the expression “Remnant Church”\(^{32}\) which is not found in the New Testament. However, especially since the 1950, there has been a tendency to view the denomination as a part of a larger Remnant,\(^{33}\) leaving a tension\(^{34}\) that also affects the mission of the church. The double role of Adventism as a denomination claiming superiority status and calling people to join it on one side and a movement bearing witness to people of different backgrounds is the challenge of the ecclesiological aspect of contemporary Adventist mission.

Somewhat contrarily to the “Babylon” view of other churches, there has been a high view of other Christians who work for winning souls to Christ in the denominational *Working Policy* since 1926, describing them as part of God’s action in this world.\(^{35}\) Thus, all other denominations are respected as being part of the Christian brotherhood and sisterhood.\(^{36}\)

Another new approach to the identity of the church is reflected in a document about “Transitional Organizational Structures”, recently accepted by the General Conference, and another document drafted by the Global Mission Issues Committee on “contextualized Adventist Communities”. Against the traditional approach of incorporating every church resulting from missionary effort into the existing internationally uniform church structure, these documents allow new types of churches connected with the Seventh-day Adventist Church more loosely organizationally for the sake of missionary approaches that do not erect unnecessary structural barriers in unreached areas.\(^{37}\) While the ideal of organizational unity is maintained and should be sought according to these documents, the underlying theological concept is that the “Remnant” is not necessarily a visible organization although its main manifestation may be in it.


\(^{34}\) These conflicting views both do have their own right, stressing the *ecclesia visibilis* and *ecclesia invisiblis* aspects of the church respectively.


\(^{36}\) Ibid.

Ellen G. White and the Seventh-Day Adventist Missiological Perspective

Amongst early Seventh-day Adventists as well as during later periods, one of the most outstanding advocates and promoters of mission was Ellen G. White. Her identity as a prophetess helped her messages concerning the mission of the church to bear authority and urgency.³⁸

As early as in 1848, it was Mrs White who, in spite of the prevalent belief that the end of history was almost at hand, told her husband, James White, prophetic words that prompted him to start the mentioned first Seventh-day Adventist magazine in 1849:

I have a message for you. You must begin to print a little paper and send it out to the people. Let it be small at first ... From this small beginning it was shown to me to be like streams of light that went clear round the world.³⁹

In 1871, three years before Adventists sent out their first official missionaries, she had understood not only the need of missionary expansion but even the importance of human vessels as opposed to the earlier merely literature-based approach in mission as well as appropriate methodology:

Young men should be qualifying themselves by becoming familiar with other languages...Our publications should be printed in other languages, that foreign nations may be reached. Much can be done through the medium of the press, but still more can be accomplished if the influence of the labors of the living preacher goes with our publications. Missionaries are needed to go to other nations to preach the truth in a guarded, careful manner.⁴⁰

In the year of the first missionary’s departure, she had already developed a missionary vision to reach the whole world:

Our message is to go forth in power to all parts of the world – to Oregon, to England, to Australia, to islands of the sea, to all nations, tongues and peoples.⁴¹

After these rather early statements about the world mission of the Seventh-day Adventist Church, Ellen White referred to this task more and more frequently, especially after having experienced mission situations herself in

³⁸ In spite of opposition against this claim sometimes even inside the church not only in the early period but even after her death, e.g. by John Harvey Kellogg or Ludwig Richard Conradi; see Schwarz, Light Bearers, pp. 282-298, 475-476. For more on Ellen White and Adventist missions, see Schantz, “Development of SDA Missionary Thought”, pp. 447-495.
⁴⁰ Ellen White, Testimonies for the Church, Vol. 3, PPPA, 1948, p. 204.
⁴¹ Ellen White, Ms 1, 1874.
Europe and Australia. Although the cultural differences she had to bridge in Australia were not as significant as for most of the missionaries in Europe and other countries, it was in these years of practical exposure to cross-cultural service that she got aware of the tasks involved in preparing and monitoring mission projects. She not only stressed the need of special missionary education as such, she conceded also that in countries as India with their completely different cultural backgrounds, “the workers must go through a long course of education before the people can understand them, or they the people”. Being persuaded of the centrality of the task of mission in unreached lands, she ceaselessly called for gifts to be dedicated to missionary purposes.

A unique contribution Ellen White made to Adventist missiology at a time when anthropology and mission studies were still in their infancy were counsels concerning cultural issues that she gave both in particular situations and generally. Although the word “contextualization” did not yet exist, she made the concept behind it part of her message when she counselled in 1895 “that men should be wise in order that they may know how to adapt themselves to the peculiar ideas of the people” because “the people of every country have their own peculiar, distinctive characteristics”.

In addition, her writings developed strategic missionary plans – e.g., using European immigrants to America to be sent back to Europe. She advised not only to learn well the language of the people to be served, but also to understand cultural aspects of the Bible, thus calling for a kind of missionary hermeneutics of the Holy Scriptures.

Ellen White’s writings have continued to exercise great influence upon missiology in the denomination. As in doctrinal and pastoral issues, Adventists have tended to seek support for a diversity of views from them, ranging from the call to leave the great cities in the end-time – an anti-urban attitude that

---

42 Ellen White, Gospel Workers, p. 465 [1888]; Education, PPPA, 1903, p. 262; Life Sketches, p. 338 [1892].
43 White, Life Sketches, p. 374.
44 Ellen White, Testimonies for the Church, Vol. 6, PPPA, 1948, p. 25.
45 Ellen White, Counsels on Stewardship, RHPA, 1940, pp. 55, 133, 134; Gospel Workers, p. 467; Testimonies, Vol. 6, p. 27.
46 Ellen White, Testimonies to Ministers and Gospel Workers, PPPA, 1923, p. 213. She also stressed that customs and climate should be considered when approaching people of other lands; see White, Gospel Workers, pp. 468-469.
48 White, Counsels to Parents, Teachers, and Students, PPPA, 1913, p. 518.
49 The General Conference voted at its Annual Council of 1978 a document called Country Living emphasizing that Adventists should leave the great cities and do evangelism in it only from “out-
causes serious questions about the feasibility of urban mission – to radical readjustments in evangelistic methods. The variety of messages that the prophetess delivered in manifold different situations allows indeed several missiological conclusions depending on hermeneutic presuppositions and on how one sees the main role of the Seventh-day Adventist Church in the world of today.

Most important, however, have been the voices of the leading Adventist missiologists\textsuperscript{50} who quote Ellen White’s statements concerning “varying circumstances taking place in our world that call for labor which will meet these peculiar developments”\textsuperscript{51}. As Paul was “always shaping his message to the circumstances under which he was placed”,\textsuperscript{52} they infer that issues and challenges at stake today must be met with new approaches as described in the following section.

---

\textsuperscript{50} E.g., Gottfried Oosterwal, former Director of the SDA Institute of World Mission, “The Process of Secularization”, in Rasi and Guy, \textit{Meeting the Secular Mind}, p. 61.

\textsuperscript{51} Ellen White, Ms 8a, 1888.

\textsuperscript{52} White, \textit{Gospel Workers}, p. 300.
Chapter 3
Some Current Issues and Recent Developments

Secularism and Urbanization

A number of books on Adventist mission in the secular world have been published during the last 20 years, reflecting a growing awareness of missiological issues not only connected with the traditional “mission fields” but also with the “home base”. These are both outstanding individual efforts and collections of articles that have their origin in official church symposia and committees. It is because of the process of an enlarging missionary vision in the denomination as a whole that secularism as a “way of thought and life that is almost totally foreign to most Adventists” was started to be explored by a group of Church leaders and researchers when General Conference president Neal C. Wilson called for a missionary emphasis on the secular world at the General Conference session in 1980. It has become a fundamental insight for Adventism that the “(Unreached) People Group” concept of the Lausanne Movement should not only apply to linguistic and ethnic units but also to sociological groups such as particular strata of secular society.

Although dangerous elements have been recognized in secular world view and attitudes, especially the absence of a God who can be experienced as a reality as well as self-centeredness and competition as principles, some SDA scholars have acknowledged that secular society has some positive sides which, if taken seriously, can lead the church into a new phase of mission with opportunities for evangelism that have not been there before. Basic needs of secular people – fellowship and community as well as identity and an abun-

2 Baumgartner, Re-Visioning Adventist Mission in Europe; Lehmann et al., Cast the Net on the Right Side; Rasi and Guy, Meeting the Secular Mind.
3 The General Conference Committee on Secularism even refers to secularism as the third great mission frontier for the SDA Church after Christianity and non-Christian religions; see “Report and Recommendations to the General Conference Committee”, in Rasi and Guy, Meeting the Secular Mind, p. 187.
5 Ibid.
6 “Report and Recommendations to the General Conference Committee”, in Rasi and Guy, Meeting the Secular Mind, p. 191; Rolf J. Pöhler, “Religious Pluralism: A Challenge to the Contemporary Church”, in Lehmann et al., Cast the Net on the Right Side, p. 83.
dant, happy life – can be provided by the church more than by anything or anyone else. Furthermore, Adventists know that the freedom of religion that secular societies provide is not only the very basis for the existence of a denomination like Seventh-day Adventism that does not support close cooperation with governments, but that it also frees people to being able to live a voluntary and thus a genuine faith.

It is in the context of the encounter with the secular world, the city, and its institutions, that veteran missiologist Gottfried Oosterwal calls for “new Adventist lifestyles in technopolis, ... and greater involvement in the secular affairs and interests of society”. He demands that formerly mono-cultural and rurally oriented Adventist mission develop a new way of city Adventism. Instead of fleeing from the evils of the cities, Seventh-day Adventist mission “must also deal with the systems and structures of evil in the city, those systems that lead to oppression, racism, injustice, corruption, neglect and idolatry”. Again, there is support from Ellen White’s writings, which at times urged people to settle in cities, open institutions there, and participate in the affairs of the people in order to win them.

One main fact that has been acknowledged in several of these studies dealing with secularism not only by leading Adventist scholars but also by administrators like the current Trans-European Division President, Bertil Wiklander, is that the Seventh-day Adventist Church must change its missionary strategies which can imply changing theological emphasis: “The culture in which many of us grew up is no longer there! ... God does not change, but the way we see Him does.” Some have even come to the conclusion that talking about the “problem of secularism” is not correct and that the problem is in the church as much or even a lot more than in society. Michael Pearson states in

---

9 Ibid., pp. 47-48.
10 Ibid., p. 60.
11 Gottfried Oosterwal, “God Loves the Cities”, in Lehmann et al., Cast the Net on the Right Side, p. 106.
12 See the quotations ibid., 109 as well as Bruce Campbell Moyer, “God So Loves the City!” in Dybdahl, Adventist Mission in the 21st Century, pp. 206-212.
13 “The churches have the medicine, but they fail to bring it to the receivers” (Bertil Wiklander, “The Secular Mind-Set and the Church – A Case Study”, in Baumgartner, Re-Visioning Adventist Mission in Europe, p. 77).
14 Bertil Wiklander, “It Needs to be Done”, in Baumgartner, Re-Visioning Adventist Mission in Europe, p. 213.
this context that in communication, problems in transmission are *always* the problem of the sender.¹⁵

This implies that in a sphere of pluralism, the traditional Adventist emphasis on accepting Bible teachings and making absolute claims about spiritual things must change to personal witness in order to be accepted by people; static dogmas that provided so much security to Adventists when society was still rather monolithic have to be modified to dynamic truths that make an impact on peoples’ lives, and the church, while staying united in its basis theologically and organizationally, should become pluriform – a church where people of different backgrounds, emphases, and needs have a place.¹⁶

In this context, new concepts for the church in mission have been developed. “The Caring Church” concept developed by the General Conference in the early 1980s stresses the initiative of the “laity”¹⁷ in the local congregation as “headquarters” of the church and evangelism as a practiced adapted Adventist Christian lifestyle as opposed to mainly doctrine-centred proclamation and the tendency of clericalism.¹⁸ Another interesting attempt on the theological level is to explore the meaning of some of the church’s doctrines for secular people, e.g. the Sabbath as a God-given space of freedom from work-centred life in the modern world.¹⁹

Apart from dealing with secularism directly, it is mainly on the basis of the prevalent secularism in western societies that Adventist scholars have started to pay considerable attention to the Church Growth Movement and to incorporate many of its ideas into their publications and teaching.²⁰ Thus, Adventism, although it had started long ago to focus its attention not only on “foreign” mission but as much on “home” mission, has come into a phase in which the local church has moved into its centre more than before.

---


¹⁷ Oosterwal frequently emphasizes the role of average church members and uses the term “laity” for them while rejecting its negative connotations of “non-professional” Christians.


Mission among Muslims

Adventist mission among Muslims, as Christian Mission in general, has been quite unsuccessful in quantitative terms over many decades. Islam, interpreted to be the fulfillment of the fifth trumpet of Revelation 9:1-12 by many Adventist interpreters of prophecy up to present, was frequently seen as a satanic agency fighting against Christianity. Because of the general negative attitude towards Islam coupled with the meagre promises of success in winning souls, Muslims rarely came into the focus of Adventism’s missionary activities during most of its history.

On the other hand, since before World War II, there have been some individual attempts to reach Muslims in non-traditional ways. Wilhelm H. Lesovsky, a Czech-German SDA missionary in Lebanon from 1929 to 1939, not only suggested appreciation of and sympathy towards the Muslim way of life as a way of overcoming barriers between Muslims and Christians; he also developed the concept that there is a correspondence of Adventism with proto-Muslim belief. Therefore he demanded that Adventist mission become the princess of the missions in the midst of Islam and teach the others how to approach Muslims, thus forming a part of a worldwide movement to genuine faith.

It was in the 1960s that a similarly positive approach towards Islam was further promoted by Robert C. Darnell, who had come to the conclusion that Mohammed could be seen as a God-led reformer. He, too, emphasized the affinities between Seventh-day Adventists and Muslims – health laws and the emphasis on the judgement – and suggested to use Muslim terminology to bridge the gap between Muslims and Seventh-day Adventists. In spite of its innovative ways of reaching out to Muslims, there was, unfortunately, not much measurable outcome of the “Darnell School”. But since then, there has been a growing awareness of the need of serious study of Islam and new forms of encounter, reflected particularly in the establishment of the Seventh-

---

21 Schantz, “Development of SDA Missionary Thought”, p. 405, contended in the early 1980s that there were no former Muslims among the less than 3000 Seventh-day Adventists in the Middle East. This assertion is certainly too absolute.
It is quite recently that the idea of engaging in deep religious search together with Muslims has brought forth a breakthrough of Adventist mission in at least one Asian Islamic country. A movement of Muslims has been born who speak of themselves as “followers of Isa [= Jesus]” but remain culturally Muslims; some of them continue frequenting the mosque, and some observe other Islamic religious practices. While similar moves have been made by a larger number of Muslims, a distinct group amongst these “Jesus Muslims” observes the Sabbath and considers itself as a part of the end-time “Remnant” that believes in Jesus as mediator and keeps God’s commandments. While this movement has been discussed not without controversies in the larger evangelical world, being labelled as syncretistic or close to that, the existence of the mentioned group that is organizationally independent from the Seventh-day Adventist Church – though it resulted from Adventist missionary activities – shows that this type of Mission amongst Muslims has become a major missiological issue for the denomination.

Jerald Whitehouse, Director of the Global Center of Adventist-Muslim Relations at the General Conference of Seventh-day Adventists and one of the major initiators of the movement, insists that spiritual growth is possible for Muslims. He is one of the main Adventist advocates of establishing different kinds of congregations in Muslim contexts depending on the situation of

---

25 This Center existed up to 1996 under the leadership of Borge Schantz when the Global Center for Adventist-Muslim Relations, based at the General Conference, its leader being Jerald Whitehouse, replaced its activities. Some of its publications were: Jonquil Hole and Borge Schantz (eds.), The Three Angels and the Crescent: A Reader, Bracknell: SDA Global Centre for Islamic Studies, 1993; Newsletter of the SDA Global Centre for Islamic Studies, Bracknell: 1989-1992; Adventist-Muslim Review, Bracknell: SDA Global Centre for Islamic Studies, 1993-1994; Borge F. Schantz, “Understanding Islam: Introduction to Islam and Muslim Evangelism”, Photocopies of Transparencies, [Bracknell:] Global Centre for Islamic Studies, 1991; Borge Schantz, Your Muslim Neighbour and You, Bracknell: SDA Global Centre for Islamic Studies, 1993.


28 One of the veterans in evangelical mission amongst Muslims, Phil Parshall, has recently strongly criticized the initiation of such movements; see his mentioned article “Danger! New Directions in Contextualization”; see also the following responses: Dean S. Gilliland, “Context is Critical in ‘Islampur’ Case”, Evangelical Missions Quarterly, Vol. 34/4 (October 1998), pp. 415-417; John Travis [pseudonym], “Must all Muslims Leave ‘Islam’ to Follow Jesus?”, ibid., pp. 411-415.


the country and society, ranging, in a “contextualization continuum”, from traditional Christian churches to secret believers, including groups with Christian faith but Islamic socio-religious identity. This approach is complemented by a considerable number of other innovative scholars and missionaries amongst Muslims who have produced materials using mainly the Qur’an or other contextualized approaches.

It has been recognized that the situation of Islam, similar to that of Judaism, is peculiar as compared to other religions in that there is no idol-worship nor any other practice clearly contrary to Christianity in official Islam that can be detected as an unbiblical element. Rather, the prophet Mohammed brought monotheism to the polytheistic Arabs, giving them a status different from “pagans”. Furthermore, the mentioned Muslim Jesus movement rejects Qur’anic interpretations that deny the death of Jesus and tries to interpret the Bible for a Muslim worldview, thus avoiding controversial doctrinal elements such as the Trinity. Here, Adventist missiologists can remind that several founders of the denomination, e.g., Uriah Smith and James White, held anti-Trinitarian beliefs up to the end of their lives. It may be that the interaction with Islam can become an opening through which a new constructive relationship towards other religions will be possible.

Theology of Religions and Ecumenism

Comparable experiments of adapted missionary methods have also been developed by Seventh-day Adventists in the context of Buddhism. Clifton Maberly, director of the Seventh-day Adventist Global Mission Study Center for Buddhism in Bangkok, Thailand, began in 1998 to lead a “contextualized


35 There have even been individual SDA missionaries’ attempts of participating in Muslim prayer or praying together with Muslims; see, e.g., Marcel Pichot, “The Village of Bendougou”, Adventist Frontiers 15/4 (April 1999), p. 21.
Burmese Adventist Church service” in Yangon, Myanmar, in addition to projects such as “Psalm 23 mantra sheets” being distributed and a “meditation house” being built in Burma as well as Burmese gospel paintings that are designed to explain the Christian gospel for Buddhists. The results of these ventures still have to be awaited. Surprisingly, amongst the church’s missiologists and international leaders, there seems to have been little opposition against these far-reaching attempts of contextualization. This leads to the question of a Seventh-day Adventist Theology of Religions.

Once, when Seventh-day Adventism was an inner-Christian reform movement, the theological assessment of religions outside Christianity had not been a major issue. The Catholic Church and the Protestant churches were a world that seemed to be sufficient for early Adventism. It was they who were seen as the end-time context of persecution and as main actors in the drama of the book of Revelation, the Beast and its image. The world outside North America and Europe was absent in Adventist eschatology and missiology.

On the other hand, Ellen White suggested in several instances that the heathen can be saved, even if not through their religion, but at least instead of it. As early as 1871, she stated that “angels of God are moving on the hearts and consciences of the people of other nations”, implying that God prepares those who have either not heard the Adventist message or the Christian gospel at all. Clearer, the possibility of salvation is stated in 1917: “scattered in every land, there are those who have not bowed the knee to Baal”, and even heathen sacrifices are seen to be an avenue through which Babylonian pagans became interested in the Hebrew worship and the Redeemer. Seventh-day Adventist missiology, being developed later than Seventh-day Adventist eschatology, seems to provide ingredients lacking in early, eschatology-focused denominational theology.

The necessity of relating to other religions in a meaningful way was recently recognized more than before by Adventists and is reflected in one project associated with the Global Mission Initiative: Seventh-day Adventist Religious Study Centres. Since the late 1980s, the General Conference has been establishing centres for the study of Hinduism, Buddhism, Islam, and Jewish

39 Ellen White, Prophets and Kings, PPPA, 1917, p. 188.
40 Ellen White, The Desire of Ages, PPPA, 1898, p. 28.
Religion. They have been commissioned to explore Adventism’s relation with these religions and to come up with ways to reach their adherents with the Gospel. During the last few years, the directors of these centres have been dealing with issues arising from the encounter with other religions, such as the use of sacred writings of other religions, church organization in non-Christian areas, etc., providing a ferment that not only allows but demands the development of new missionary models and methods.\(^{41}\)

One attempt of evaluating a non-Christian religion with a relationship to Adventism appeared in *Saturday God and Adventism in Ghana*.\(^{42}\) Here, Owusu-Mensa, a Ghanaian, displays a positive attitude towards the traditional religion of the Akan of Ghana who worshipped the High God *Onyamee Kwaame* (“Saturday God”). Although Owusu-Mensa does not explore the meaning of this traditional religion for Seventh-day Adventism\(^{43}\) and admits that the Saturday God background did not help Adventist mission much\(^{44}\) – partially because other mission societies entered Ghana before – the study is a good example of an unbiased and constructive Seventh-day Adventist approach to religions outside Christianity. A similar, but much shorter study has been recently published by Sammy N’Getich concerning the Kalenjin of Kenya who seem to have had practices related to the biblical Sabbath.\(^{45}\)

A recent contribution on the Theology of Religions argues that Adventist theology and mission is “neither inclusivist, exclusivist or pluralistic” concerning the question to which extent Jesus Christ is the only way of salvation; rather, it emphatically states that Adventists do believe that there is salvation through Jesus Christ, and this is the reason for mission. The merit this view has is that theories about issues not clearly outlined in the Holy Scriptures may divide while the call to mission is a unifying factor that Adventists share.\(^{46}\)


\(^{43}\) This book is rather descriptive; actually, it consists of two separate units, one dealing with traditional Akan religion and one with the history of Adventism in Ghana.

\(^{44}\) Ibid., pp. 72-73.


\(^{46}\) Derek C. Beardsell, “The Unfinished Task: Is there Salvation outside Christianity? Do other Christian Churches also Fulfill the Great Commission?”, in Dunton et al., *Adventist Missions Facing the 21st Century*, pp. 25-37. Beardsell does not attempt to answer or even treat the second question in his essay but the title shows that it belongs to the first.
Surprisingly, the issue of ecumenism – initially an agenda of churches cooperating in mission – seems to have been a much more difficult chapter of Seventh-day Adventist theology and missiology than the relation to other religions. There have not been many Adventist statements and publications that speak positively about adherents of other Christian denominations.\(^{47}\) For a long time, the main problem between Adventism and other denominations was that, while other churches called Adventism a “sect”, the denominational eschatology attributed to them the identity of being a part of the eschatological “Babylon”.

This theological persuasion found its missionary application in converting people out of other churches. It has not only been applied to the denominations present when the Seventh-day Adventist Church was born. Adventism has also been very critical in view of the growth of Pentecostalism and the Charismatic Movement. The belief prevails in many Adventist circles that these currents constitute a false awakening that heralds the soon end of the world.\(^{48}\) Thus, it is only in few areas that Adventism has been touched by Charismatics, and it usually brought great conflict, not the missionary renewal that other groups experienced. Only a minority of Adventist leaders have seen the charismatic revival as a positive force\(^ {49}\) although miraculous signs, healings, and related phenomena are accepted by Adventists as God’s work.\(^ {50}\)

One of the main reasons for the Seventh-day Adventist Church not to join the World Council of Churches is a missiological question – the question of “proselytism”. Originally, the meaning of this word – that later became a label frequently assigned to those who do not conform with ecumenical ideas of evangelization – was propaganda which used means that were illegitimate: material promises, psychological pressure, or misusing ignorance, for “soul-

---


snatching” purposes – practices that Adventists do not agree with, too. This concept, however, has been substituted by the notion that member churches of the WCC should not try to win over nor accept the conversion of members of other churches participating in the WCC. This is unacceptable for the denomination and does, according to its view, directly oppose one of the most basic rights of religious liberty, the right to change religious affiliation. The General Conference Working Policy stipulates:

The Seventh-day Adventist Church is unable to confine its mission to restricted geographical areas because of its understanding of the gospel commission’s mandate. ... In the origin and rise of the Seventh-day Adventist people, the burden was laid upon us to emphasize the gospel of Christ’s second coming as an imminent event, calling for the proclamation of Biblical truths in the setting of the special message of preparation as described in Bible prophecy, particularly in Revelation 14:6-14.

The Seventh-day Adventist Church also believes, while respecting the WCC, that the hope that churches, when united in mission, will be more effective, has actually failed. For Seventh-day Adventists, it is an illusion that a movement that works for unity on the basis of minimum agreements can help the church spread the gospel including everything that Jesus Christ has commissioned to His Church. History indeed seems to show that as far as the evangelistic and cross-cultural missionary dimensions are concerned, WCC member churches have often decreased their activities.

On the other hand, Adventism has not been reluctant to be an observer in the WCC and related organizations, e.g. on national level ecumenical associations, and to dialogue with representatives of ecumenical bodies and other churches, this being part of its mission. For this and related purposes, the church has established an Advisory Council that can organize dialogues and meetings. It has usually presented its identity primarily as being part of the

---

51 Although some Adventists may have used some of these practices (especially psychological pressurizing), they are against SDA principles; furthermore, similar elements can be found in almost every religious organization.
52 Bert Beverly Beach, ... auf daß sie alle eins seien, Ed. by Gemeinschaft der Siebenten-Tags-Adventisten [in der DDR = SDA in the GDR], Berlin: Union Verlag, 1977, pp. 104-109; Bert B. Beach, “Ecumenism and Evangelization”, in Lehmann et al., Cast the Net on the Right Side, pp. 28-29.
54 Bert Beverly Beach, ... auf daß sie alle eins seien, pp. 91-102.
family of Protestantism, emphasizing its common heritage with other denominations, calling them brothers and sisters, and seeking opportunities of fellowship and shared spiritual experience.

Public Issues, Politics, and Poverty

If one agrees that the mission of the church, while focusing on evangelism as central task, does not exclude other lines of service to humankind, an Adventist missiology also has to tackle manifold issues of society that concern the church. Although SDA missiologist Borge Schantz has contended that “areas of mission obligation that are almost completely neglected by Seventh-day Adventists are the complex matter of social responsibility”, it is not true that Adventism has been too slow to develop activities pertaining to public life and social service. It has been part of its mission philosophy from the beginning to serve holistically, aiming at restoring the dignity of man in its social, physical, mental, and spiritual aspects. Thus, in spite of putting much weight on their spiritual mission, Adventists have not failed to serve people in aspects of life that are not primarily religious.

For more than 100 years, the church has been active in the field of religious liberty. Initially founded because of conflicts with the US government about Sunday laws in various US states, the SDA-sponsored journal Liberty together with the International Religious Liberty Association (USA) have expanded their focus on religious liberty worldwide. A similar work is done by its European counterpart, the Internationale Vereinigung zur Verteidigung und Förderung der Religionsfreiheit (Switzerland) with its journal Conscience and Liberty. Both associations have been instrumental in bringing together renowned scholars and representatives of diverse religious and political backgrounds at various congresses and in promoting religious liberty

---

57 See, e.g., W. Mueller, Der Dienst der Mission: Mueller describes Adventism as one of the Protestant Missions and quotes Gustav Warneck and other mission scholars to support the general idea of mission in other countries, thus presenting not SDA specific but general mission apologetics.


60 Cf. W. Mueller who refers, in his apologetic work Der Dienst der Mission, to all of these aspects of SDA mission.

61 Fides et Libertas, published by the same organization, has been started in 1998.

62 Using two randomly chosen issues of Conscience and Liberty, Vol. 19 No. 36 and, Vol. 20 No. 38, they show that renowned scholars like Mohammed Arkoun and Muhammad Hamidullah have written articles for Conscience and Liberty, and at congresses, Daniel arap Moi and John S. Pobee have spoken. Léopold Senghor is president of the Honorary Committee of Conscience and Liberty.
at the world/UN, European Council, and national levels.\textsuperscript{63} There are few other organizations that have been active to a similar degree in this field.

An even more intrinsic part of Adventist mission to society is its particular emphasis on healthful living or a “health reform” that has frequently been called “the right arm of the Three Angels’ Messages” according to a statement by Ellen White.\textsuperscript{64} Apart from building famous medical institutions such as Loma Linda University and the early 20\textsuperscript{th} century magnet of natural medicine, Battle Creek Sanitarium, as well as many Adventist hospitals in the Two-Thirds World being leading in quality in their respective country, Adventists have distinguished themselves from other denominations by integrating the health aspect into their doctrine and lifestyle. An Adventist is supposed to abstain from alcohol and smoking as well as from unhealthy food of all kinds, including meat that is unclean according to Leviticus 11. The promotion of restoring human beings not only spiritually but also physically is a central idea of the church’s mission to the world.\textsuperscript{65}

In spite of traditional reservations about Seventh-day Adventist participation in politics, the growing membership in both Western countries and the Two-Thirds World has brought forth the existence of Adventist politicians in many lands. In some areas this is almost inevitable because, different from the humble beginnings in 19\textsuperscript{th} century North America, Adventists today constitute a majority or even almost all of the population in some areas.\textsuperscript{66} In other cases Adventist politicians have been instrumental in the reconstruction and development of younger countries.\textsuperscript{67}

Perhaps the most famous Seventh-day Adventist contribution to society lies in its various types of institutions – medical, educational, publishing, etc. – some of which can be found in most countries of the world today. It is very important to ask why Adventism, originally an apocalyptic movement waiting for the imminent end of the world, has succeeded to develop, e.g., the most comprehensive Protestant educational system. This has led to the out-

\textsuperscript{63} The European association is recognized by the European Council and the UN and UNESCO with advisory status; see Conscience and Liberty cover inside.

\textsuperscript{64} White, Testimonies, Vol. 6, pp. 229, 327.

\textsuperscript{65} See article 20 (Christian Behavior) in Seventh-Day Adventists Believe, pp. 278-292 as well as the SDA Mission Statement in Appendix I.


sider observation: “Seldom while expecting a Kingdom of God from heaven has a group worked so diligently for one on earth.”  

The answer lies not simply in the fact that Adventists were American pragmatists; rather, the very eschatological vision was translated into missionary activity by Adventists when they became clear about the fact that they could “not know the day or the hour” of Jesus’ return who was actually waiting for them to do their part for Him to come. Thus, Ellen White said:

Let no one conclude that, because the end is near, there is no need of special effort to build up the various institutions as the cause shall demand. You are not to know the day or the hour of the Lord’s appearing ... Since the Lord is soon coming, it is time to put out our money to the exchangers, time to put every dollar we can spare into the Lord’s treasury, that institutions may be established for the education of workers.

In the field of social service, it can be acknowledged that, especially during the post-World War II years, the Seventh-day Adventist Church has been tirelessly engaged in new ventures of mission. Adventist Development and Relief Agency (ADRA), founded in 1956 as Seventh-day Adventist Welfare Service, Inc. (SAWS), expanded significantly through the decades and was reorganized in 1983 under the present name to put more emphasis on development than on “aid” or relief in cases of catastrophes. ADRA was for some time one of the few agencies still working in Somalia; and in the early 90s in the war around Sarajevo, former Yugoslavia, it was also almost the only organization still getting into the town. There are today academic departments of development studies, e.g. at Andrews University, one of the leading Adventist universities, and at Friedensau, the SDA college in Germany.

While especially ADRA’s relief work in humanitarian catastrophes has been outstanding, Adventism’s attitude towards development service/development co-operation must still be studied more. Perhaps the most important issue in Adventism’s development work for this study is the question how the church relates its eschatology and missiology to these activities. SDA policies concerning ADRA which contain a special section on the biblical-theological foundations of its work do not only state that God has a special concern for

---


69 Matthew 25:13 (NIV).

70 White, *Testimonies*, Vol. 6, pp. 440-441 [published originally in 1900].


the poor and that following Jesus and the principle of love means that the
Church should provide a holistic healing ministry to the people of the world
without considering their religious, racial, or political background. Christian-
ity is even called a “catalyst in social and political change”, but the Church,
as an organization, should “not seek political involvement”.73 Most interest-
ing because of its specifically Adventist content is section 6:

The end time brings cruel and evil distortions in the social fabric, a condition
deplored in the world and by its Lord, and to which the church responds. (Ja-
mes 5:1-6; Isa 58:6,7, Rev 3:17)74

Here, an eschatological missiology of social responsibility finds a basis by
applying the self-image of Adventism as church of the last days to the reali-
ties of the world in the time that Adventism believes to exist for. It is stated
further that the church does have a concern especially for the very poor and
all kinds of victims of human need, but that not only emergency needs should
be cared for but that providing long-term solutions is essential,75 proving that
eschatological orientation does not need to result in short-sightedness but can
be a ferment that looks at the world realistically.76

In concluding this section, one can say that Adventism has come to a point
where a broad range of missionary concepts and activities are included in its
missiology.

Cultural Issues and Contextualization

Especially during the last one or two decades, the issue of cultural diversity
has become a major issue in the international Seventh-day Adventist Church
not only as a practical problem but also as a theological and missiological
issue. One recent example: After much discussion and various publications
concerning the matter, the 1990 and 1995 General Conference sessions voted
not to allow the ordination of women pastors to the gospel ministry in the
world and in individual Divisions, respectively. This decision reflects the fact
that the cultural realities of a world church are quite diverse and that it is not
easy to deal with cultural differences especially because they are often pre-
sented as theological differences.

73 Section HA 15 (“Basis for Supporting Philosophy for ADRA”) in the General Conference Working
74 Ibid.
75 Ibid., pp. 307-308.
76 A few more historical and theological considerations on the work of ADRA are found in David R.
Syme, “Crossing Boundaries – ADRA and AWR”, in Dybdahl, Adventist Mission in the 21st Century,
pp. 198-205.
Indeed, it seems that there are incompatible underlying theological emphases in today’s Seventh-day Adventist Church that necessarily lead to conclusions opposing each other in practical as well as missiological matters. Van Wyk has called one Adventist theological approach the “confessional school” which is not ready to contextualize traditional Seventh-day Adventist positions to any considerable extent, even in elements that are not central to the church’s identity, e.g., lifestyle questions such as adornment or dress. On the other side, there are critics of traditionalism: some observe that Adventism, in some countries, tends to be very strongly dominated by American cultural patterns, and call for a cultural identity change. Others like van Wyk who can be labelled “progressive Adventists” believe that Adventism is not an immutable monolithic block but rather has the tradition of progressive adaptation of diverse ideas and practices that fit into its main thrust of being an eschatological-restorationist movement.

Contextualization has become an important issue in the denomination because it addresses both hermeneutics and mission which are two major concerns particularly since the 1980s in the Seventh-day Adventist Church. A crucial document recently passed by the General Conference has called contextualization “a part of the mission of the Seventh-day Adventist Church”, indicating that there is a concern in missiological thinking that Adventism become truly a church for all nations, tribes, tongues, and peoples. According to this document, while Adventist contextualization is “to uphold the 27 fundamental beliefs”, local expressions of Adventism should be encouraged. Wiklander, in a related discussion paper, stresses that contextualization has the purpose of salvation – and, I conclude, is thus a missionary activity. The new awareness of the necessity of contextualization has also led to the far-reaching conclusion that documents such as the 27 Beliefs, the Church Manual, and the Baptismal Vows “were framed in the context of a relationship to other Christians. The mission to non-Christians demands that we understand and relate to these statements in new ways.”

---


78 Ibid.


One example from the field of Adventism confronting cultural issues that shall illustrate the question of contextualization is the question of polygamy. When Adventists entered areas where polygamy was an accepted form of marriage, the church first responded by condemning the custom and by reaffirming monogamy as the only valid mode of marriage for Seventh-day Adventists or those who wanted to be baptized. During the period between 1931 and 1941, however, the church decided to grant freedom to those fields that faced this problem to decide on their own whether or not to accept certain polygamous baptismal candidates for baptism and a “probationary” membership. Subsequently, this action was again reversed, and from then on, polygamists had to divorce all their wives except one in order to be eligible for baptism.

In the 1970s and 80s, the General Conference set up a study group to reconsider the issue which recommended a slightly revised policy in favour of accepting polygamists under certain circumstances. This recommendation, though, was never voted. Thus, in spite of several important voices advocating change because of the western rather than biblical background of rigidly prohibiting polygamy, contextualization did not reach very far in this case. Yet at least discussion was attempted.

More recent events have clearly shown that cultural uniformity is not a reality any more in Adventist churches of different countries. The worldwide satellite evangelism in 1998 and its 1999 and 2000 Africa-wide counterparts were brought into most towns of the Christian world; and Adventists found, at times with some degree of shock, that there is unity in theology to a large extent but great diversity in cultural practices, including the use of music, dancing, jewellery, and attire. Adventists have to ask themselves how much they want to be a counter-culture, how much they want to honour their American heritage and in which areas they want to adapt to local circum-

---

84 Ibid., p. 44.
87 Recently, there has been an SDA study that claims that polygamy can not be justified biblically under any circumstances: Ronald A.G. DuPreez, “Polygamy in the Bible with Implications for Seventh-Day Adventist Missiology”, DMin, AU, 1993.
88 Many Tanzanian Adventists, e.g., were surprised to see the way American or West African Adventists dress, sing, and (as they perceived it in some musical performances) “dance”.
89 Cf. lifestyle questions like rejecting the use of alcohol, tobacco, and meat considered unclean according to Leviticus 11, but also traditionally strongly emphasized prohibitions like jewellery and dress codes.
stances. If the Adventist church wants to be a church for all nations, it must both maintain a clear identity and encourage its members to take cultural roots in different contexts, producing *African* Adventism, *Indian* Adventism, etc.

---

90 E.g., most of the Kisii, South West Kenya, live from planting tea, an activity traditionally rejected by Adventists because of the negative health implications of tea; many of the Kisii Adventists also do drink tea, a practice rejected amongst Adventists in the USA.
Chapter 4

Final Remarks: The Future of Seventh-Day Adventist Missiology

As a strongly future-oriented religious movement, Adventism has to reflect missiologically on its development in the time to come – as a part of its present mission. The “signs of the times” and “present truth”, dynamic concepts that lie at the very heart of Adventism, have to be considered when analyzing the task that lies ahead for this church. As mission and missiology have always been inseparably connected with the Seventh-day Adventist Church as a whole, I suggest that the following issues facing the denomination are the most important missiological fields of the future to be reflected upon in addition to the issues discussed in the previous chapter:

Growth Explosion or Slowing Down? Expansion and its Consequences

At present, one can see a great variety of growth patterns in different parts of the Seventh-day Adventist Church. Annual growth ranges from below 0% in some parts of Europe to 15% and above in various African countries. The question, however, is how long this staggering growth in some areas of the Two-Thirds World will continue and when a slowing down process is to be expected – in one or two decades? This leads to an increased importance of responding to the challenge of re-thinking missionary strategies as it is reflected in the Global Mission initiative and other cross-cultural missionary movements and organizations. Furthermore, experiences like the doubling of church membership in Russia after the fall of Communism in a few years and the subsequent loss of almost half of the new members converted in public evangelistic meetings have to be addressed and evaluated.1

Another missiological challenge arising from the success in growth is the question of how Adventism can function where the reality of a folk church (i.e., majority church) has changed the “remnant” paradigm, especially in several African countries where whole tribes or regions have become Adventist. Sociologically speaking, the way “sect” and “church” structures relate to each other in Adventism is a huge challenge for the denomination but has hardly been addressed yet by missiologists.2 Related to this, particularly in those countries

---

2 The topic has been taken up, however, by the Adventist sociologist of religions, Ronald Lawson, in his articles “Broadening the Boundaries of Church-Sect Theory: Insights from the Evolution of the
where Adventists are a significant force in society, is the general question of how they should relate to political and social movements and questions. There are cases like the Rwanda catastrophe of 1994 where Adventism, though being an important force in society, seems not to have played any significantly positive role.

**Administration: Uniformity, Disintegration, or Pluriformity?**

Up to the present, some Adventists boast that theirs is the most widespread Protestant denomination. Whether this is true or not, the organizational unity that has made it to be such an international and still unified movement is part of its self-understanding as the “Remnant”. However, the rather uniform pattern of administrative units worldwide may one day break up or will have to give way to a more pluriform organization. Calls for change have been voiced for many years, pointing to the fact that the existing church structure was established when it had hardly 100,000 members.\(^3\) As in the 1901 reorganization, the mission of the church has to be re-translated into viable structures; the difference is that this probably has to be done in different ways worldwide according to local needs. Two recent official documents about “Transitional Organizational Structures” and “Contextualized Adventist Communities” have highlighted the need of missiological consideration of church administration.\(^4\)

Another aspect of particular importance in the field of administration is the question of finance.\(^5\) As a world church, there has been a traditionally strong North American dominance in both funding and decision making connected with finance. Models will have to be developed that enable the different world fields to co-operate in a truly missionary partnership.\(^6\)

---


6 One such new model of more international co-operation is the plan recently adopted by the world church to share the expenses of the General Conference, including operating expenses and appropriations to Divisions, on a more equal basis than before, although North America will still contribute 6%
**Theology: Fundamentalism, Loss of Identity, or Missionary Theology?**

The last area of missiological interest is the area that has unified Adventism traditionally most: its theology. At present, one can observe several theological tendencies in the Seventh-day Adventist Church apart from a “mainline” Adventism that is probably the current that still has the strongest influence: “evangelical” Adventism that emphasizes the centrality of the cross and tends to downplay the traditional Adventist emphasis on the law; “historical” Adventism, the traditionalist wing that insists on the Seventh-day Adventist “pillars” as most important aspects of denominational identity, and “progressive” Adventism that tries to dialogue with people of the modern world and make Adventism as relevant as possible for the present.7

Whatever theological currents one may favour: if the Seventh-day Adventist Church continues to stress its unique theological tenets while being in constant and close contact with the various worlds around it, I believe that it can avoid the dangers of both narrow fundamentalism and loss of identity. Rather, it will continue to work out a missionary theology that seeks to communicate in manifold ways the “everlasting gospel to all nations”.8 This is not yet a reality everywhere, but it remains a missionary task for Adventism – a task that will never end until Jesus Christ comes and the Kingdom of God will be revealed in its fullness.

---


8 Revelation 14:6-7.
Appendix I: Mission Statement of the Seventh-Day Adventist Church

A 05 05 Our Mission – The Mission of the Seventh-day Adventist Church is to proclaim to all peoples the everlasting gospel in the context of the three angels’ messages of Revelation 14:6-12, leading them to accept Jesus as personal Savior and to unite with His church, and nurturing them in preparation for His soon return.

A 05 10 Our Method – We pursue this mission under the guidance of the Holy Spirit through:

1. Preaching – Accepting Christ’s commission (Matt 28:18-20), we proclaim to all the world the message of a loving God, most fully revealed in His Son’s reconciling ministry and atoning death. Recognizing the Bible to be God’s infallible revelation of His will, we present its full message, including the second advent of Christ and the continuing authority of His Ten Commandment law with its reminder of the seventh-day Sabbath.

2. Teaching – Acknowledging that development of mind and character is essential to God’s redemptive plan, we promote the growth of a mature understanding of and relationship to God, His Word, and the created universe.

3. Healing – Affirming the biblical emphasis on the well-being of the whole person, we make the preservation of health and the healing of the sick a priority and through our ministry to the poor and oppressed, co-operate with the Creator in His compassionate work of restoration.

A 05 15 Our Vision – In harmony with the great prophecies of the Scriptures, we see as the climax of God’s plan the restoration of all His creation to full harmony with His perfect will and righteousness.

---

Appendix II: “The Remnant and Its Mission”

The Universal Church is composed of all who truly believe in Christ, but in the last days, a time of widespread apostasy, a remnant has been called out to keep the commandments of God and the faith of Jesus. This remnant announces the arrival of the judgment hour, proclaims salvation through Christ, and heralds the approach of His second advent. This proclamation is symbolized by the three angels of Revelation 14; it coincides with the work of judgment in heaven and results in a work of repentance and reform on earth. Every believer is called to have a personal part in this worldwide witness.

---

2 Seventh-day Adventists Believe, p. 152.
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