

"If any Man Hear My Words, and Believe not, I Judge him not: for I Came not to Judge the World, but to Save the World."

VOLUME 10.

NEW YORK, FEBRUARY 21, 1895.

NUMBER 8.

American Sentinel.

PACIFIC PRESS PUBLISHING COMPANY, No. 43 BOND STREET, NEW YORK. Entered at the New York Post-Office.

ALONZO T. JONES, CALVIN P. BOLLMAN,	}	-	-	-	EDITORS.
A. F. BALLENGER,	-	-	A 551	STANT	EDITOR.

THE "MONITOR," THE PROPHECIES AND THE BEAST.

In further consideration of the complaints made by the *Monitor* against the AMERICAN SENTINEL, and commented upon in these columns last week, we notice first that it says:----

The prophecies though are the most alarming characteristic of the sect [to which the SENTINEL belongs]. Ordinary people and politicians are compelled to wait for the morrow to see what it will bring; but the AMERICAN SENTINEL can pierce the future, and by chapter and verse construct the horoscope of the next century.

Why should the prophecies be an alarming characteristic of any sect, or of anybody, or of anything? Is not the greater part of the Bible made up of prophecies? To respect the prophecies is only to respect the Bible. To believe the prophecies is to believe the Bible. To despise the prophecies is to despise the Bible. To make light of the prophecies is to make light of the Word of God. Is it then that the prophecies are an alarming thing to the *Monitor*, because the Bible is an alarming thing to Catholicism and the papacy altogether?

As for our not being compelled to wait for the morrow to see what it will bring forth, like ordinary people and politicians, but by chapter and verse being able to know the future, why should that be counted a reproach to us or anybody else? It is written: "Surely the Lord God will do nothing, but he revealeth his secret unto his servants the prophets." Amos 3:7. Then when God has revealed things, why should it be considered an alarming or a reproachful thing that somebody should know them? And if "ordinary people and politicians" do not know the things that are revealed by the Lord, and therefore do not know what the morrow will bring, is it not because ordinary things and politics, rather than the wisdom of the Lord, occupy their minds and attention? When God has revealed the things that the morrow will bring, then

ought not ordinary people and politicians to know as well as anybody else what the morrow will bring, and ought not all to know, who have any respect for God and his Word?

The Lord has been revealing the things of the morrow ever since the day in which he told Noah of the coming flood—yes, even from the day when Enoch, "the seventh from Adam," prophesied, saying: "Behold, the Lord cometh with ten thousands of his saints, to execute judgment upon all, and to convince all that are ungodly among them of all their ungodly deeds which they have ungodly committed, and of *all their hard speeches* which ungodly sinners have spoken against him." Jude 14.

In the book of Daniel the world-powers are described, and the history of the world is outlined, from the days of Babylon of old until the last day and the end of the world itself. There is clearly pointed out the then coming succession of the empire of Medo-Persia to that of Babylon; the succession of the empire of Grecia to that of Persia; of Rome to Grecia; the division of Rome into the ten parts, caused by the barbarians; the rise of the papacy as a world-power among these, and its uprooting of three of them; and the continuance of the papacy until it shall be destroyed and given to the burning flame at the coming of the Lord in the clouds of heaven. Now it would have been perfectly easy for any man who lived at any time in these periods, who had these prophecies and believed them, to know and to tell what would be in succeeding centuries; and this, not from any wisdom or knowledge of his own, but simply by believing the Word of God.

In the book of Revelation the field of prophecy is opened with Rome at the time of Christ's sojourn upon the earth; and, with many particulars added, the time is again covered till the end of the world and the destruction of the papacy in flaming fire at the coming of the Lord in the clouds of heaven. And to anyone who will read these prophecies and believe them, it will not be at all difficult to know what the morrow will bring. We confess that we do carefully study these prophecies; and we do implicitly believe them: and we are happy to be able to say that by them we do know what the morrow will bring. But with him to whom some of these things were first revealed, we freely say, "But as for me, this secret is not revealed to me for any wisdom that I have more than any living" (Dan.

2:30); it is open and free to all equally with us, and, equally with us, all can know who will read the prophecies and believe them. The *Monitor* would do far better to study and believe these prophecies than to be publishing that "the prophecies are the most alarming characteristic" of any sect, or sneering at the ability of anybody to pierce the future and know what the morrow will bring.

Quoting from the SENTINEL, and commenting as he goes, the editor of the *Monitor* continues as follows:—

The SENTINEL was established in 1886 to combat the organized attempt, first represented in the National Reform Association *alone*, and later, in the solid combination of the popular Protestantism of the whole country, to fasten upon the National Government the recognition and maintenance of the forms of religion —to accomplish the union of religion and the national power.

The establishment of the paper was a most peculiar move, as we are told on the next page that the editor knew that the movement—whatever it was, we haven't the faintest idea—would succeed, and what is more, would be followed by the triumph of the church of Rome.

Yes, we did know from the beginning that that movement would succeed, and that its success would be followed by the triumph of the church of Rome in this country, and through this triumph it would triumph in Europe and all the world; and this we announced long before Leo XIII. had publicly announced his scheme to accomplish this very thing in this very way.

How it can be that the editor of the *Monitor* "has not the faintest idea" of what this movement was, immediately after having quoted our statement of just what the movement was, is a question worth asking; but that he may be fully informed on this point, we will say that there is a book now on the press which gives the full history of the movement and its success, and the first steps of the papacy toward her coming triumph upon the success of that movement, and as soon as this book is ready we shall send a copy to the *Monitor* for the editor's special information and use.

Concerning the establishment of the SEN-TINEL being "a most peculiar move," in view of the fact that "the editor knew that the movement would succeed," it must be remarked that this is another queer notion of the editor of the *Monitor*. What would be the use of starting a paper to oppose a movement that had in it no element of success? The SEN-TINEL was started to oppose the movement, not with the expectation of preventing its success, but to save men from the ruin involved in the movement. Noah knew that the wicked movement of his day would succeed in ruining the world; but he opposed that movement, not with the expectation of preventing it, but to save men from that ruin. Jesus Christ knew that the wicked movement of his day would result in his death and the awful destruction of Jerusalem and the Jewish nation, and yet he opposed that wicked movement that he might save men from its terrible consequences. Paul knew that the "falling away" from the truth of the gospel, begun in his day, would result in the establish-ment of the "man of sin," the "mystery of iniquity"-the papacy; yet he devoted his life to opposing that movement, not be-cause he expected to prevent its success, for he knew it would continue until destroyed "with the brightness of His coming," but with the hope of saving men from the souldestroying deceptions of that movement. So now, the AMERICAN SENTINEL is opposing the same movement with the same knowledge that it will succeed, and with the same object, the salvation of men-including the editor of the Monitor-from the ruin involved in a connection with the movement.

Yes, we knew the movement would succeed, and it has succeeded; and the papacy is now making vast use of the advantage which this success has given her. Upon this the Monitor further remarks:—

This startling information is all derived from the Bible. "It was by the scripture of Rev. 13:11-17, saying that they would make 'an image to the beast,' that we knew that the National Reform movement would certainly succeed, and we always said so." He does not enlighten us on the name of the "beast" whose image was made, but there is no doubt in our minds but he refers to the Columbian postage stamp.

Yes, this information, startling or otherwise, was derived from the Scripture at the place referred to-Rev. 13:11-17. No. the beast referred to, to which the image was made, is not the Columbian postage stamp. It may be that in the article referred to by the Monitor, we were not sufficiently explicit in naming "the beast" to make it perfectly clear to the mind of the editor of that paper. And as we want never to leave anyone in doubt or uncertainty, especially upon this most important point, we shall occupy a little space in the endeavor to make it so plain that even the Monitor may not mistake. And lest the editor should again "have no time to look up the references," we shall do all we can to aid him, by printing here in full and from the Roman Catholic Bible, the scripture in which "the beast" is described. Here it is :-

And I saw a beast coming up out of the sea, having seven heads and ten horns, and upon his horns ten diadems, and upon his heads names of blasphemy. And the beast, which I saw, was like to a leopard, and his feet were as the feet of a bear, and his mouth as the mouth of a lion. And the dragon gave him his own strength, and great power. And I saw one of his heads as it were slain to death; and his death's wound was healed. And all the earth was in admiration after the beast. And they adored the dragon, which gave power to the beast: and they adored the beast, saying: Who is like to the beast? and who shall be able to fight with him? And there was given to him a mouth speaking great things, and blasphemies: and power was given to him to do two and forty months. And he opened his mouth unto blasphemies against God, to blaspheme his name, and his tabernacle, and them that dwell in heaven. And it was given unto him to make war with the saints, and to overcome them. And power was given him over every tribe, and people, and tongue, and nation. And all that dwelt upon the earth, adored him, whose names are not written in the book of life of the Lamb, which was slain from the beginning of the world. If any man have an ear, let him hear.

There is the Lord's own description of "the beast;" and anybody who knows the A B C of the history from the writing of this passage till now, knows full well that the description exactly fits the papacy, and will not apply to any other thing that was ever on the earth.

For from the time when that was written, what power but that of the papacy has there been on the earth that was of such standing that it could be truly said that "all the earth was in admiration" [Greek *ethaumasem*—wonderment] after it?

What world-power except the papacy has there ever been from that time till now, of which it was said or could be said in wonder, "Who is like unto the beast? who is able to make war with him?"

What power ever existed that spoke such great things and blasphemies as the papacy has spoken over and over?

What power was there in the world that blasphemed God, and his name, and his tabernacle—that is "His church and His saints,"* -and them that dwell in heaven, as has the papacy, and as the papacy still does? Look at that word that Leo XIII. lately set forth to "the princes and peoples of the universe," claiming to "hold the regency of God on is not that blasphemous enough, of earth;" itself, to fulfill the prophecy and meet this description of the beast? Look also at the claim of infallibility on the part of the Pope of Rome—the assumption of the essential prerogative of the Creator himself;-is not this also enough to meet the description of the beast?

What power ever made such war, and so long continued, and so implacable, against the saints, as has the papacy?

saints, as has the papacy?¹ Rev. 12:9 says that '' the dragon" is '' that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan;" and in this description of "the beast" it it is said that "the dragon"-"the Devil and Satan "--gave him his own strength and great power. Now to what system or organization that was ever on the earth has the devil ever given so much of his own strength and great power as he has given to the papacy? Why to the papacy has he given so much of "his own strength" and great power, that one historian was driven to say of the bishops of Rome that they "have deluged Europe and Asia with blood;" another declares that "among the contrivances that have been devised for deceiving and oppressing mankind, it occupies the highest place;" and these statements are exactly true—they are simply the response of history testifying that the prophecy relating to "the beast," is fulfilled to the letter in the evil working of the papacy in the sight of all the world. So that any person who will study this prophecy and believe it, can say truly and without hesitation that "the name of the beast whose image was made" is the papacy.

It will not do to say that this prophecy, concerning "the beast" refers to pagan Rome; for pagan Rome and its instrumentality in the hands of Satan against Christ and his Church is noticed in chapter 12:4, 5. For it was through Herod, a Roman appointee, and the representative of Roman power, that Satan sought to "devour" the "man child" "as soon as it was born." And it was by the orders of Pontius Pilate, *the Roman governor*, that Christ was put to death, from which death he "was caught up unto God, and to his throne." There is pagan Rome and her place in the account.

But after pagan Rome had passed, there came another power which Satan used against the Church, against the saints, and against God and his Christ. To this other power the dragon "gave his own strength and great power." This is the power described in Rev. 13:1-8. It was after pagan Rome had been used by Satan. It came as the successor of pagan Rome. And this was and is the papacy —*papal Rome.* And by every consideration it is demonstrated that *this* is "the name of the beast whose image was made."

TRUE AND FALSE THEOCRACY.

THEOCRACY is the highest, the most perfect form of government known to man; for it is government by the direction or administration of God himself. Had man never fallen, there never would have been any other kind of government; and in the earth redeemed from the curse, God will be King; for it is written: "the tabernacle of God" shall be "with men, and he will dwell with them, and they shall be his people, and God himself shall be with them, and be their God." This can mean nothing less than a perfect divine government of willing subjects.

Primarily, theocracy means government the immediate direction or adminisbv tration of God, as in Eden before the fall; it is also applied to the exercise of political authority by priests representing the Deity. It is not enough to constitute a true theocracy that rulers *profess* to represent God; it must be even so, as it was in the case of Samuel. But in its *highest* and *most perfect* sense a theocracy has never existed in this world since the fall; for man in his fallen condition has never yielded true and undivided allegiance to God. And only for brief periods has political authority been exercised by men truly representing God. It is declared that "Moses verily was faithful in all his house." The Lord was also with Joshua even as he was with Moses; but after the death of Joshua the intervals were indeed brief in which the children of Israel walked in the counsel of the Most High; and with the crowning of Saul the theocracy proper ended; for one of the essential elements to a true theocracy was lacking, namely, the consent of the governed; for while the Lord designated Saul to be king, he declared to Samuel, "They have rejected me, that I should not reign over them." 1 Sam. 8:7. 1 Sam. 8:7.

Two things are alsolutely necessary to the existence of a true theocracy: (1) God him-self must be the governor; and (2) the subjects of the government must consent to be governed by him, or by those directly chosen and directed by him. God himself having made man a free moral agent,-a being endowed with power of choice, --- recognizes the great truth that in all things civil, governments derive their just powers from the consent of the governed. The Creator himself exercised civil power only so long as it was the choice of the people that he should exercise it. When God became the recognized governor of the children of Israel, it was by their unanimous consent. "And all the people answered together, and said, All that the Lord hath spoken we will do." Ex. 19:8. And when God abdicated the throne, so to speak, and gave the government into the hands of Saul, it was likewise in deference to the practically unanimous demand of the governed, *i. e.*, of the people. But though the children of Israel rejected

But though the children of Israel rejected God as their ruler, "and would none of his counsel," his merciful providence was still over them. They were to be preserved a separate people for a special object, and even their rebellion could not defeat the purpose of God concerning them. The divine mould was still upon their laws, and a divine providence still protected them from utter extinction as a nation.

God's promise to David was "that of the *fruit of his loins*, according to the flesh, he would raise up Christ to sit on his throne" (Acts 2: 30), and it was necessary that the nation should continue until the Promised One should be revealed. Nevertheless when the people

^{*} Note in Catholic Bible.

rebelled against God, he permitted them to reap the fruit of their doings. When they repented and sought him, he delivered them, and they were still called by his name. But while he permitted them to retain in a measure their religio-civil laws, and to administer them by a semi-ecclesiastical court, he never restored the theocracy which they had rejected. And with the overthrow of Zedekiah the descendants of Abraham ceased to be an independent nation and became a dependency of another " Ichabod " had long been written on power. the Jewish escutcheons, but they did not fully realize the fact, and most persistently did they from time to time endeavor to restore the ancient polity and rehabilitate themselves with divine nower But it was not to be. They preserved their ecclesiastical organization, but their political power was limited by the will of a foreign ruler. God still cared for them, but foreigners ruled over them restrained only by his providence. The word of the Lord was:-

And thou, profane wicked prince of Israel, whose day is come, when iniquity shall have an end, Thus saith the Lord God; Remove the diadem, and take off the crown: this shall not be the same: exalt him that is low, and abase him that is high. I will overturn, overturn, overturn it: and it shall be no more, until he come whose right it is; and I will give it him. Eze. 21: 25-27.

The divine flat had gone forth canceling forever any special right of any man or of any set of men to rule in civil things in God's It is true that the Jewish rulers never name. ceased to claim divine right to rule, and that in fact they represented Deity. Down until the destruction of Jerusalem by the Romans, the Sanhedrim clung to the delusion that they were God's representatives in both civil and religious affairs, but the Lord did not so recognize them; and our Saviour utterly repudiated the claim of the people to civil authority by refusing to accept a throne at their hands, declaring that his kingdom was not of this world,---that is, it was not of a temporal nature, neither was his authority to be conferred by the powers of this world, but by his Father only. And again did the Saviour humble the pride of the Jews and rebuke their assumption by reminding them, in the incident of the tribute money (Matt. 22:15-21), that Cæsar was their ruler, and that they themselves recognized his authority by using coins bearing his image and super-The Apostle Paul likewise disscription. dained the civil authority of the Jews when he appealed unto Cæsar. And even the Jews themselves in their mad frenzy declared, "We have no king but Cæsar." And in this they spoke truly, for God had declared that civil power should no more be exercised in his name, till he should come whose right it is-come, not to die for sinners, but as "King of kings and Lord of lords."

At the date of the prophecy of Eze. 21: 25-27, already quoted, the Jewish people were subject to Babylon. The first overturning left the kingdom subject to Medo-Persia; the second placed it under the dominion of Grecia, while the third and last gave it to Rome. "And it shall be no more, until he come whose right it is." Who he was to whom the promise was made we learn from Luke 1:31-33:—

And, behold, thou shalt conceive in thy womb, and bring forth a son, and shalt call his name Jesus. He shall be great, and shall be called the Son of the Highest: and the Lord God shall give unto him the throne of his father David: And he shall reign over the house of Jacob forever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end.

But it is plain that this promise does not refer to an earthly, temporal kingdom. To Pilate, Jesus declared, "My kingdom is not of this world," John 18: 36. While to his disciples he said: "I appoint unto you a kingdom, as my Father hath appointed unto me; that ye may eat and drink at my table in my kingdom, and sit on thrones judging the twelve tribes of Israel." Luke 22:29, 30. And he did Luke 22:29, 30. And he did not leave them in doubt as to the enduring nature of that kingdom, or when they should enter upon it; he said: "In the regeneration when the Son of man shall sit in the throne of his glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel." Matt. 19:28. When it is that Christ shall "sit in the throne of his glory" is told in an-other text. It is "When the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him, then shall he sit upon the throne of his glory: And before him shall be gathered all nations: and he shall separate them one from another, as a shepherd divideth his sheep from the goats: and he shall set the sheep on his right hand, but the goats on the left. Then shall the King say to them on his right hand, Come, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world." Matt. 25:31-34.

These texts make it positive that a theocracy can exist no more in this world until the second coming of our Lord Jesus Christ, with power and great glory, and that anything which professes to be a theocracy, or to partake of the nature of a theocracy, is simply a usurpation of the divine prerogatives and in defiance of the will of God as plainly expressed in his Word.

THE "PILOT" ATTACKS THE "SENTINEL."

THE *Pilot*, a Roman Catholic paper of Boston, publishes the following in its issue of February 9:—

Judging from the utterances of the AMERICAN SEN-TINEL, an organ of the Seventh-day Adventists, on "Romanism," and its diatribes against Protestants who believe in keeping the Christian Sabbath holy, it is easy to guess how tolerant the Seventh-day fanatics would be if they had the power of making the Sabbath laws. Woe to-the Jew who did not keep Saturday, to the Mohammedan who observed Friday, and to the Christian who rested on Sunday. A little toleration of others would be becoming in people who shriek so loudly against the "intolerance" which compels them to respect their neighbor's religious views on one day of the week.

Now, this is interesting indeed. A Roman Catholic paper, in the role of champion defender of Protestantism against Seventhday Adventists! It is said that politics sometimes makes strange bedfellows, but politics never accomplished so great a feat in that direction as has been accomplished by Seventh-day Adventists in their advocacy of the Bible Sabbath. By the last sentence we are given to understand that Roman Catholics sympathize with the imprisonment of Seventhday Adventists, because they neglect to pay to the dominant religion a tribute of one of the "six working days" which God has given them.

Seventh-day Adventists have never been prosecuted for an actual disturbance of any person's Sunday-rest. Of the hundreds of witnesses against them in the forty-four cases in which they have been punished for laboring on Sunday, only *two* have sworn that they were disturbed by the work. One testified that though he did not see or hear the work done, he was disturbed by the *mere knowledge* that it was being done. The other witness swore that he was "*shocked*" on seeing the Seventh-day Adventist hoeing in his field, while acknowledging, under oath, that at the same moment that he was so "shocked" with the seventh-day observer's Sunday hoeing, he, with his hired hand, was driving home a cow which they had gone to a neighbor to procure. The kind of disturbance and disrespect which Seventh-day Adventists have inflicted on these Romanizing Protestants is the same kind of disrespect which Huss and Jerome paid to the religion of their Roman Catholic murderers,—they taught and practiced contrary to the religion which, as Pope Leo XIII. expresses it, enjoyed the "favor of the laws and the patronage of public authority."

The Pilot thinks that Seventh-day Adventists would be just as intolerant as itself and its Protestant imitators if they had the power. We can understand this. It is an absolute impossibility for a papist to under-stand how a man can enjoy his religion and not desire to force it upon his neighbor, or at least compel him to cease opposition to it. This is not because the Roman Catholic is by nature any more perverse than other men, but it is because his religion teaches him a principle totally at variance with the spirit of the gospel. He who understands the spiritual nature of the gospel will not attempt to compel any man to accept it or to pay a hypo-critical respect to it. God himself does not and cannot force the will of man to accept salvation. He wooes him by his Spirit, and when he rejects his tender pleadings, he says: "Ye will not come to me, that ye might have life."

The papal system is a stranger to this spiritual nature of the gospel. We therefore pity the editor of the *Pilot*, because he is the victim of an antichristian system, and we are making every effort to present the gospel in its true nature, with the hope of winning Roman Catholics by its infinite beauty, love and mercy.

The *Pilot* charges us with abusing Protestants because we tell them the truth about the papal Sunday. It has been regarded as abuse to tell and live the truth ever since Cain killed Abel "because his own works were evil, and his brother's righteous." Jesus, during his earthly ministry, spoke and acted the truth about the Sabbath, and those who violated the Sabbath and exalted the traditions of the church above the law of God, sought to kill him because of it.

We have never used, and never will use, as severe language in telling Protestants that Sunday is a Roman Catholic institution as papists are themselves using in telling the same truth. Here are paragraphs from editorials which appeared in Cardinal Gibbons' organ, the Catholic Mirror, of September 9 and 23, 1893. The editorials in question are two of a series of four articles which appeared in the Mirror of September 2, 9, 16 and 23, 1893, and were afterwards published by the Catholic Mirror, Baltimore, Md., in a pamphlet which has now reached its fifth edition. The pamphlet is entitled, "The Christian Sabbath the Genuine Offspring of the Union of the Holy Spirit, and the Catholic Church His Spouse. The Claims of Protestants to any Part Therein Proved to be Groundless, Self-Contradictory and Suicidal."

On pages 13 and 14 of the pamphlet we find the following:---

Thus, it is impossible to find in the New Testament the slightest interference by the Saviour, or his åpostles, with the original Sabbath, but, on the contrary, an entire acquiescence in the original arrangement; nay, a *plenary indorsement* by him, whilst living; and an unvaried, active participation *in the keeping of that day and no other by the apostles*, for thirty years after his death, as the Acts of the Apostles have abundantly testified to us.

Hence the conclusion is inevitable, viz., that of those who follow the Bible as their guide, the Israelites and Seventh-day. Adventists have the exclusive weight of evidence on their side, whilst the Biblical Protestant has not a word in self-defense for his substitution of Sunday for Saturday.

Here follows the language to which we call the *Pilot's* special attention (pp. 31, 32):-

Let us now, however, take a glance at our second proposition, with the *Bible alone* as the teacher and guide in faith and morals. This teacher most emphat-ically forbids any change in the day for paramount reasons. The command calls for a "perpetual cove-nant." The day commanded to be kept by the teacher has never once been kept, thereby developing an apos-tasy from an assumedly fixed principle, as self-con-tradictory, self-stultifying, and consequently as sui-cidal as it is within the power of language to express. Nor are the limits of demoralization yet reached. Far from it. Their pretense for leaving the bosom of the Catholic Church was for apostasy from the truth

Far from it. Their pretense for leaving the bosom of the Catholic Church was for apostasy from the truth as taught in the written Word. They adopted the written Word as their sole teacher, which they had no sooner done than they abandoned it promptly, as these articles have abundantly proved; and by a perversity as willful as erroneous, they accept the teaching of the Catholic Church in direct opposition to the plain, unvaried, and constant teaching of their sole teacher in the most essential doctrine of their religion, thereby emphasizing the situation in what may be aptly des-ignated "a mockery, a delusion, and a snare." ignated

Should any of the reverend parsons, who are habituated to howl so vociferously over every real or assumed desecration of that pious fraud, the Bible Sabbath, think well of entering a protest against our logical and scriptural dissection of their mongrel pet, we can promise them that any reasonable attempt on their part to gather up the *disjecta membra* of the hybrid, and to restore to it a galvanized existence, will be met with genuine cordiality and respectful consideration on our part.

But we can assure our readers that we know these reverend howlers too well to expect a solitary bark from them in this instance. And they know us too well to subject themselves to the mortification which a further dissection of this anti-scriptural question would necessarily entail. Their policy now is to would necessarily entail. Their polic "lay low," and they are sure to adopt it.

And now we suggest to the *Pilot* that here is a field for missionary effort. Let the Pilot complain to the pope that Cardinal Gibbons and the Catholic Mirror are abusing Protestants. However, we believe that such an undertaking would be fruitless. The Cardinal and the Mirror would doubtless insist that they had told the truth and refuse to recant. However that may be, the AMERICAN SEN-TINEL and Seventh-day Adventists will continue to tell the truth about "Romanism" and a Romanizing Protestantism, and we do it with the knowledge that it will result as indicated by the Pilot's utterances, in compelling Catholicism and apostate Protestantism to make common cause against us. We have expected this for years. The *Pilot's* warning is to us a most important sign of the times.

HEBREW NATIONAL REFORMERS.

It is frequently the case that persecution for opinion's sake makes people tolerant of the opinions and practices of others, but it seems that some of the Jews in this city have not learned the lesson. The Sun of the 10th inst. has the following, illustrative of this fact:

The extreme rigor with which the Orthodox Russian Jews living here keep the Sabbath was illustrated by a trial reported in yesterday's Sun. One of them offended his co-religionists by snoking a cigar in the street on the Sabbath; his brethren remonstrated with him, set upon him, smote him in the face, and wounded him in such a way that his smoking was brought to him in such a way that his smoking was brought to an end for one Sabbath. His assailants were brought to trial last Friday: he could not prove his case; they were acquitted; and, after their acquittal, as reported in the Sun, "half a hundred or more of the Orthodox

athered around them and kissed them." It seems to us that they interpreted the fourth table of the law of Moses too strictly. We do not see that cigar smoking, or the inhaling and exhaling of tobacco smoke, can properly be regarded as working, or as breaking the Sabbath, or as violating the command to hallow it; and yet we admit that, if the chief rabbi of the east side so regards it, there is an end to the con-

the east shoe so regards it, there is an end to the con-troversy, so far as his many followers are concerned. As further bearing upon the subject, we can say with certainty that the original Puritan settlers of New England would have rejoiced over the smiting and flooring of any man who smoked on the Sabbath kept by them, which was Sunday.

From one standpoint it seems strange that

a people who have suffered as much from intolerance and religious prejudice, as have the Russian Jews, should retain in their practice, or in their feelings even, any of this evil. But we must remember that intolerance is innate in the human breast. It is human It was intolerance that caused Cain nature. to kill his brother; and from that day to this the same wicked thing has been causing men to maltreat, to imprison, and to kill their fellowmen. It was the moving spirit of the Inquisition as it is the inspiration of National Reform and American Sabbath Unionism.

THE FUNDAMENTAL LAW OF THE UNITED PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH.

THE United Presbyterian Synod of Ohio is troubled with heresy. J. K. Andrews of Antrim, Ohio, has come to disagree with the 59th Article of the creed of that church.

The United Presbyterian Church has, like most other churches, substituted a man-made creed for the divine Word of God. This creed is known as the "Shorter Catechism of the Westminster Assembly." The following are some of its questions and answers:-

Q. 2. What rule hath God given to direct us how

A. The word of God, which is contained in the Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments, is the only Q. 14. What is sin ? rule

A. Sin is any wart of conformity unte, or trans-gression of, the law of God.

What did God at first reveal to man for the rule of his obedience ?

The rule which God at first revealed to man, for A. his obedience, was the moral law. Q. 41. Wherein is the moral law summarily com-

prehended ? A. The moral law is summarily comprehended in

the ten commandments. Q. 44. What does the preface to the ten command-

ments teach us?

ments teach us i *A*. The preface to the ten commandments teacheth us that because God is the Lord, and our God and Redeemer, therefore we are bound to keep *all* his commandments.

Which is the fourth commandment? Q. 57.

A. The fourth commandment is: "Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy. Six days shalt thou labor, and do all thy work: But the seventh day is the Sabbath of the Lord thy God. in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates: For in six days the Lord made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the Lord blessed the Sabbath day, and hallowed it.

Mr. Andrews believes that this much of the catechism is in harmony with the Bible, but now comes a statement that he believes contradicts not only the Bible, but all we have quoted from the catechism:-

Q. 59. Which day of the seven hath God appointed to be the weekly Sabbath? A. From the beginning of the world to the resur-rection of Christ, God appointed the seventh day of the week to be the weekly Sabbath; and the first day of the week, ever since, to continue to the end of the world which is the Christian Sabbath world, which is the Christian Sabbath.

Mr. Andrews believes, and rightly too, that this article contradicts the Bible in that the Bible teaches that "the seventh day is the Sabbath of the Lord," and does not teach that God appointed the first day of the week to be the weekly Sabbath from the resurrection of Christ to the end of the world. He believes that it contradicts the catechism in that the catechism teaches that "the word of God, which is contained in the Old and New Testaments, is the only rule to direct us how we may glorify and enjoy him," while this portion of the catechism teaches that to glorify him one must keep the first day of the week, which thing is not only not commanded in that "only rule," but is contrary to it.

Mr. Andrews, after reforming his practice to correspond with the "only rule," started

out to reform his brethren, and as a result, was tried for heresy by the session of his church and excommunicated. He appealed to the Presbytery, and was allowed thirty minutes to argue his appeal. He maintained that Christ came to redeem man from the curse of a broken law, and that a law could not be broken before it was made; and since, according to the 59th Article, the law of Sunday sacredness was made since the resurrection of Christ, therefore Christ did not die to redeem men from Sunday desecration.

The Presbytery refused to sustain the appeal from the decision of the session, which was as follows:

WHEREAS Mr. J. K. Andrews believes that the seventh day of the week should be kept as the holy Sab-bath, and that there is no Bible authority for keeping the first day of the week, and has so stated his belief in presence of this session: And whereas he further states that he feels morally bound in a public manner to advocate his belief, thereby making determined opposition to the principles of the United Presbyterian

Church as contained in shorter catechism, question 59, thereby following a divisive course; therefore, *Resolved*, That faithfulness to the laws of the church [not faithfulness to the law of God] requires that Mr. J. K. Andrews be suspended from the privileges of the church until he cease opposition and consent to follow the things which make for neace follow the things which make for peace.

Mr. Andrews then appealed to the synod of Ohio, which recently convened at Wheeling, W. Va. This synod first passed a gag rule allowing Mr. Andrews but three minutes to argue his appeal, and then disposed of the matter, with the following resolutions:-

1. Resolved, That the appellant, by his plea against his own public profession [the catechism], against the public profession of his church [the catechism] and her fundamental law [the catechism], can claim no rights under a law that he has renounced, and can 2. That this position can in no sense be construed

as an infringement upon the appellant's personal lib-erty or his rights of conscience.

Not a single reference to the Bible is to be found in the resolutions condemning Mr. Andrews. No attempt was made to sustain the action against him with Scripture. And this is the course pursued by a church claiming to be Protestant.

The resolutions declare that this action is not an infringement upon personal liberty or his rights of conscience. Doubtless Mr. Andrews considered his liberty infringed when he was confined to three minutes in arguing his appeal. But the attack on personal liberty and the rights of conscience is an after The United Presbyterian Church is act. doing everything in its power to secure the enactment and enforcement by the United States and by the several States, of laws enforcing the 59th Article of their creed-Sunday observance-upon all men, so that after excommunicating a member, they can, like the papacy, hand the offender over to the civil authorities for punishment. Mr. Andrews was refused an appeal to the Assembly, but will appear and enter a complaint.

AS THIS EDITOR SEES IT.

NEBRASKA and most other States have laws against manual labor on Sunday. The up-holders of these laws usually insist that they are not made in the interest of religion, but in the interest of the physical well-being of Recently in Massachusetts a the citizen. man was fined fifty dollars and costs for selling a half pound of candy on Sunday. The heaviness of the fine is supposed to have been proportioned to the terrible strain to which the man's nervous and muscular system were subjected in selling the candy. Of course there was no religion in it. Oh no! not a bit of it. - Silver Creek Times (Silver Creek, Neb.).

WILL TRY MORAL SUASION.

A FEW days since, the Woman's Sabbath Alliance, so-called, of this city, adopted the following pledge, which the members of the society are required to sign:

To resist by precept and example whatever tends to undermine the Sabbath as a day of rest and worship, such as the Sunday secular newspapers, social Sunday entertainments, and Sunday driving and travelling for personal convenience, gain or pleasure; and we fur-ther pledge ourselves to exert our influence to create a right sentiment on all aspects of the Sunday ques-tion, especially in reference to traffic of every kind on that day.

Commenting upon this pledge, the Sun says:

No fault whatever can be found with that pledge, on the ground that it proposes any invasion of per-sonal liberty or any interference with the rights of anybody. . . They simply bind themselves to-gether "to create a right sentiment on all aspects of the Sunday question" as it seems to their own con-sciences, and to resist by their personal example and by their precept the present tendency "to undermine the Sabbath." They pledge themselves not to read a Sunday newspaper nor to attend "social Sunday en-tertainments," and not to drive and travel on that day for convenience or pleasure; but they do not asday for convenience or leasure; but they do not as-sume to deny those privileges to anybody else. They will only try to induce other people to refrain from them, in obedience to their understanding of the Mosaic commandment.

This, these women have a perfect right to do. Of course they err greatly in supposing that Sunday is the Sabbath. The Bible says: • do. "The seventh day is the Sabbath," and everybody knows that Sunday is not the seventh day but the first day. But the women of the Woman's Sabbath Alliance have a perfect right to believe that the first day is the seventh, or that the Lord has changed his mind, or that he transferred the Sabbath to another day and neglected to tell anybody about it, or to believe any other absurdity they wish to believe; moreover, they have a perfect right to persuade everybody whom they can influence, to believe and practice as they do. But if they stop here they will indeed be unique among so-called Sabbath reformers. The logic of error is to compel everybody to obey, and in this the Sunday-sabbath advocates are not peculiar. Having no divine law they seek human legislation; having no divine judgments to declare against those who disregard the first day, they uniformly appeal to human law and to civil penalties. We shall confidently expect to see, erelong, this so-called Sabbath Alliance going the way of the American Sabbath Union in this matter, namely, appealing to the civil law.

BONES, STONES, AND MIRACLES.

THE beginning of the Reformation marked the decline of the veneration of relics and the miracles attributed to them, even among devout Romanists themselves. But now that the Reformation is disappearing from the minds and hearts of men, it logically follows that "shrines," "relics," and "miracles" should increase. And they are increasing. New York City has a shrine in which it is seriously asserted that there is to be seen a fragment of St. Anne procured of Pope Leo XIII. The press frequently announces the cure of some "incurable" case. Some imagine that these professed cures are confined to the obscure and ignorant, but this is not entirely true. The following clipping which is going the rounds of the press, announces the cure of a veteran policeman of this city at a shrine located at Auriesville, Montgomery Co., New York, under the control of the Jesuits. No one can fail to discover the patent medicine advertisement enterprise that inspired the publication of this article, and that it is published at this time with a view to drumming up next summer's trade; but it is nevertheless serious because it is seriously put forth by that "infallible" church which is just now so deeply interested in the conversion of Amer-icans and America to the "true church" and to a belief in the efficacy of "holy water" and pulverized stone as a cure for human ills:----

"I have been cured by his divine intervention, where all the doctors had failed to relieve me," said Policeman Michael Griffin yesterday. Griffin wears five blue stripes on the sleeves of his uniform, showing that he has served more than a quarter of a century on the Misropolitan police force. He has been at-tached for several years to the Ordnance Department. After his health had been shattered by disease and exposure, he was transferred from active patrol duty to the comparative quiet of the courts.

the comparative quiet of the courts. The policeman had never been well since he first joined the force. He had malaria in his spine that at times caused him most horrible suffering. He had consulted many physicians, but none of them had been able to effect a cure, and as the time passed his infirmities increased and it became more and more difficult for him to attend to his duties.

dimcult for him to attend to his duties. Many of the members of St. Francis Xavier's Church planned a pilgrimage to Auriesville, Montgomery County, last August, and Griffin arranged to take his vacation at the time, so as to join the other pilgrims at the shrine of the Mother of Martyrs, to worship with them on Lady's Day and to remain for a week. Auriesville is in a charming section of the Mohawk Valley, about one hundred and seventy-five miles from this city. Twelve agree of land—a bill over looking the

this city. Twelve acres of land—a hill over-looking the railroad station, and with the shrine on its summit— belong to the Jesuit fathers. Some improvements have already been made and many others are in contempla-tion, including a beautiful chapel on the hilltop and rows of trees in place of corn fields.

The shrine marks the spot where Father Isaac Jogues, a missionary priest, was slain by Indians more than two hundred years ago. His associate, Rene Goupil, a scholastic, was murdered at the same time near by, and tradition has it that his remains were covered by a huge bowlder. In any event, the body was never found, but pilgrims have long assumed that a rock weighing three or four tons in a ravine not more than five minutes' walk from the hill, had been rolled over him. A little stream passes through the ravine when the winter snows thaw, but dries during

the warm weather. The rock is probably three feet high and rounded on the sides; the flat surface looks as though it had been the base, but had been overturned by some giant

Father Jogues' piety and good deeds gained him wide fame, and one of the Indian maidens whom he converted, and who subsequently suffered martyrdom, is, it is said, to be canonized. Pilgrims have been go-ing to the shrine for several years, but never were there as many as last summer. Griffin estimates that ing to the shrine for several years, but never were there as many as last summer. Griffin estimates that on August 15 there were one thousand from Amster-dam, N. Y., eight hundred from Albany and Troy, and probably one thousand others from different points in this State and Pennsylvania. There were impressive ceremonies, including a procession of pil-grims up the Hill of Prayer to the shrine, and touch-ing sermons, glorifying the martyrs. After the service the pilgrims scattered, and many

After the service the pilgrims scattered, and many of them broke off pieces of the rock under which Rene Goupil's body was said to have been crushed. Griffin kept part of the stone he had brought to the

city. He recently heard that one of the pilgrims who had been a cripple had been wholly cured, and he determined to test the efficacy of the stone in his own

He crushed a portion of it in holy water from St. Ignatius' about a month ago and applied it that night to the open wound, praying to God to help him in his affliction.

affliction.
The sore miraculously disappeared and Griffin became more robust than he had been for many years.
His aches and pains were gone, and he recovered the light step and heart of his youth.
He determined to test the efficacy of the stone on another sufferer. His landlady, Mrs. McDonald, was afflicted with many of the ills brought by old age. She had become blind, and pains racked her limbs.
Her worst trouble consisted of cramps or spasms in her legs at night, that made sleep impossible.
She had found temporary relief by applications of hot bricks, and her daughters were compelled to get up frequently to prepare them for her comfort.
Griffin told Mrs. McDonald what the relic had done for him, gave her some of the powdered stone in holy water, and when the pain attacked her, her daughters rubbed her legs with the marvel-working preparation. She was immediately quieted and fell into a peace-

She was immediately quieted and fell into a peace-ful slumber, and since then she has had no cause to

complain of any ache. "She is very, very old," said Griffin. "I should say she is from seventy-five to eighty years old, and has long been entirely confined to her house, but she

now hopes to soon be able to go to St. Francis Xavier's

Church, that she used to attend regularly. "She next rubbed the stone and holy water on her sightless eyes, and when she sat down at the table with her daughters she cried, 'Glory be to God, I can see my cup!' "When she was helped upstairs she was able to see

the banister on which she had to bear for support. I went to the house last night, and Mrs. McDonald beld out her hand to me. I was not standing directly opposite her, but just a little to one side, and I asked her if she could see my hand. She could, and she

demonstrated the fact by grasping it in her own." Griffin says God in his ineffable way has positively revealed to his faithful ones that the rock marks the place where Rene Goupil, the scholastic, became a martyr. Other miracles, he reports, have been ac-complished through the agency of the stone, and are known to the fathers of the church.

There will be another pilgrimage to the beautiful Mohawk Valley next summer, and it will be far larger than the last one.

"If God spares me, in his mercy, till then," says Griffin, "I shall go to Auriesville for my vacation. Last year's pilgrims assemble at a special mass at half-past six on the morning of the 15th of each month, when there are many prayers uttered to the everlasting glory of the Mother of Martyrs."

Now all this did not occur in France, Spain, South America, or the province of Quebec, but it is claimed that it occurred in the Empire State and in the American metropolis.

There are several questions which are suggested by this account. What will be done when the pilgrims have chipped away all this stone? If it is so efficacious it will not last long. And suppose after the stone has disappeared they do not find Rene Goupil's body? Would it not be safer to take a pick and dig under the stone and thus ascertain for certain whether the body is there, rather than to trust to "miracles" to sustain the supposition?

One of two things is true; either these people are the victims of a designing priesthood which is making merchandise of the bodies and souls of men, or if the priests believe that miracles are actually wrought, and these cures are real, then the deception is still deeper and they are all the victims of the devil who, ac-cording to Scripture, was to work with "all power and signs and lying wonders" before the second coming of Christ. It cannot be that miracles are wrought in the name of Rene Goupil, for "there is none other name under heaven given among men, whereby we must be saved "except the name of "Jesus Christ of Nazareth."

CHRISTIAN(?) UNITY MEETING AT BAY CITY.

SUCH is the heading in the Detroit Evening News of Jan. 30, 1895, in describing a mass meeting in Bay City, where six Catholic priests, twelve Protestant ministers and one hundred representative citizens sat on the platform at the Christian unity meeting held Jan. 29. There was an audience that filled the opera house in spite of the ten-cent admission Even the gallery was crowded, and no fee. standing-room anywhere. Ex-Congressman Nathan B. Bradley presided. He stated that the purpose of the meeting was to see if there were not a common ground upon which Christians could meet in fellowship.

All united in repeating the Lord's prayer, led by Rev. Thomas McLean (Episcopal), and then Rev. "Father" (Brother) Schrembs was called on, and led in singing "Nearer, my God, to Thee." The scene was said to be "overwhelming," and I do not doubt it.

The speakers were ex-Mayor A. McDonnell, Catholic; Rev. Charles T. Patchell, Congregationalist; Rev. "Father" Rafter, Catholic; Rev. W. H. Clark, Presbyterian; Rev. "Fa-ther" Schrembs, Catholic; Rev. T. W. Mc-Lean, Episcopalian. All cordially indorsed the movement, which they declared existed solely for the purpose of wiping out intolerlance. Among other things Mr. Patchel said:---

Father Rafter is my brother and I greet him as such. He believes in Christ. So do I. He believes Christ purchased heaven. So do I. If Father Rafter wears robes in the pulpit with our Episcopal brother, let him do so. I would feel like a rat in a strange garret if I did and could not speak with ease. He preaches Christ. Why should I say he is wrong?

Yes, indeed, why should he? In fact how can he? And yet is not that the very work for which his church came into existence? Once there were Protestants who protested, but now Mr. Patchell and his fellow-ministers of Bay City have given up, as far as they can, the work for which they were called, and betrayed their position into the hands of the enemy; enemy, whether they knew it or not; or knowing it, blindly went ahead heedless of the result.

If "Father" Rafter is "brother," as Mr. Patchell says, and they can now join hands and hearts, why was the Reformation necessary? Why not say to the Catholic priests "Luther made a mistake." He assembled: was a well-meaning man, no doubt, but his leaving the church of Rome was all wrong. He could have staid in the church and been better off. We do not want to say that he was a fool, but that he made a mistake." Now mistakes are made by those who are misled, and the individual that does that work of Thus we have the misleading is the devil. Protestant ministers of Bay City and West Bay City practically admitting by their words and acts that the Reformation was led by the devil-just what the Catholics have always claimed.

"Father" Rafter followed. He said :----

Every vestige of human slavery has disappeared from Christian lands. There is no invention useful to man that is not elevating this nineteenth century above that of all its predecessors. But an additional lustre must bé shed on it by universal Christian charity and brotherly love. A cord of love has struck here in Bay City in all Christian hearts that can beat, and the chord is Christian unity.

Then every heart that cannot beat in unison with this chord of Christian unity must be dead. No doubt they will consider it so, and this condition will in their estimation be "intolerant" or "infidel," and their respects are paid to those classes later on. "The movement," continued "Father" Rafter, as I now understand it, is that we should love our neighbor, and that our neighbor is every man no matter what his race, creed or color may be." No Christian can object to this idea, as a theory, but the writer may be pardoned for being so hard-hearted and skeptical as to wonder if this brotherly love is to be manifested to those not of their "race, creed, or color," as it has been in years in the past, and even at this time

The saddest, darkest pages of human history are those that have been written about religious intolerance and persecution. I am sorry to say that history is full of those pages.

Just so; and the church he represents inflicted at least 90 per cent. of the persecution and boasts that "Rome never changes." Such statements, with Protestant(?) ministers saying "amen" to them, should have made a shudder pass through the heart of every man and woman within the sound of the priest's voice.

But circumstances and times that have made such religious persecution possible have passed away and I take for granted that there is no man to-day that will raise again and unfurl the bloody banner that has waved too long.

True Protestants cannot take it for granted; for if they did they would be lulled into sleep and perish with those who are

hypnotized by such smooth tones. "Father" Schrembs continuing said:—

All this has been paraded in the world in the name of Him who came to the world to give peace and good will to all men. There is the rub. We stand here tonight on one common grand Christian unity. Christianity divided is a mockery. It is the mockery of infidelism; it is the mockery of atheism; it is the mockery of materialism. They scoff and point with disdain. "You pretend," they say, "to be religious men. You pretend to have the kindness of Christ, and you only hate one another with a deep hatred. If that is your character, we want nothing of it."

and you only nate one another with a deep hatred. If that is your character, we want nothing of it." Let us throw away that intolerance we have so long had and learn to know each other and learn to love each other as Christ did. Then we can move more boldly and make a grand front against infidelity. It is the practice of infidelity to tear down, and it does not build up. Then when the sun, tired of its great labor, shall have set in the great beyond, never to rise again, we shall meet above. Christ reigns through charity.

There you have it. We are to present "a grand front" against infidelity, atheism, materialism, and intolerance.

The reader has already seen that in their estimation any one will be intolerant who is not willing to admit her (the Romish church) claims, and they have succeeded in getting every minister in the Bay Cities, save perhaps one, to stultify himself, and one of those who at the first was not in the movement for some reason or other, is now tumbling over himself to get in "out of the rain," as witnesses the following:—

Rev. John G. Wyss desires a correction of the statement made in a recent article to the effect that he was not identified with the Christian union movement because he could not speak English. He is with the movement, has been from the start, and speaks English so fluently that he was for years pastor of an English congregation.—Detroit News of Feb. 5.

But this is not all. They are to have a series of public meetings at which priest and pastor will speak alternately, but for fear of making trouble each side has appointed a committee of three to whom all papers designed for the public meetings are to be given, "and they have the right to strike out such sentences or paragraphs as may be objectionable to them."

Just think of it. Suppose Luther had submitted his theses to a committee of priests before he read them in public, or gave them out to be read. Suppose Christ had written out his sermons and placed them before a delegation of Scribes and Pharisees before he would speak them in public. Suppose he had asked permission of the Roman governor to tell some of his parables. And yet this is what professed Protestant ministers have done, and are doing, and will do, for now that the Parkhurstian bubble in Saginaw has burst, the ministers of that place are going down to Bay City to see how the "Christian unity" act is done, and it is to be presumed that Saginaw will soon see the attempt to join Christ and Belial. However it is not the joining of Christianity to Satan, for that cannot be done. But it is the union of apostate Protestantism with the papacy against the truth of God; and the fruits of the union will be the persecution of the true people of God. J. G. LAMSON.

THE Waco News is urging the abolition of legislative chaplains, as a useless expenditure of the people's money. The Gazetteer is disposed to agree with the News. If there is any praying done the legislators should do the praying themselves. At any rate it would not be a bad idea to try the recommendation of Jesus for one session; let the law-makers who feel the need of divine guidance in discharging their duties to their constituents, retire to their closets every morning and pray in secret. In this way the public would not be bored and the State would save five dollars a day.—Sunday Gazetteer, Denison, Texas.

SIGNIFICANT PARAGRAPHS.

[We publish under this heading paragraphs more or less significant, without either approval or dissent, and without comment. The careful observer of the signs of the times will readily discern the pertinent facts and opinions, and will know how to turn them to account in the great controversy between truth and error.]

Murdered by a Preacher.

A Dispute Over Infant Baptism Ends Fatally in Kentucky.

VANCEBURG, KY., Jan. 8.—On Grassy Creek, a few miles southeast of this city last night, the Rev. Lem Penrod got into an argument with John Slate, a lay member, on the subject of the efficacy of infant baptism. The controversy became loud and waxed hot when Rev. Penrod drew his revolver and fired at Slate, the ball passing through his body, inflicting a fatal wound.—*Memphis Commercial.*

Roman Orders.

CANNON MACCOLL tells us that in South America there used to be a rule that no one with a strain of native blood down to the fourth generation could be ordained to the Roman priesthood. A bishop did ordain some such young men, and when he found out that they were disqualified he declared that his intention was absent, and that they were still laymen. On appeal Rome took the same view. We cannot therefore be certain that a single bishop or priest of the Roman communion is validly consecrated, since the intention of their consecrators may have been absent.—*Church Review*.

Dispute Over an Apparition.

PRAGUE, Jan. 2.—A peasant, living near Braunau, Bohemia, recently declared that he had seen an apparition of the Holy Virgin in the Dorengrund Forest. His story was believed generally outside the town, and hundreds went daily to the spot, where the virgin was said to appear. To-day several thousand persons made the pilgrimage. They were met in the forest by a crowd of scoffers, who ridiculed the peasant's story, and eventually provoked the pilgrims to violence.

A riot followed. Gendarmes sent out from Braunau were unable to restore peace. After several charges they fired into the throng. Although ten men were wounded, the two mobs continued fighting. Several hundred turned on the gendarmes eventually, and drove them from the forest. Troops have been ordered out to disperse the rioters.— Washington Post, Jan. 3.

Petition to the President of the United States.

Sir: Since the Roman Pontiff has accredited to this Government a representative in the person of Francis Archbishop Satolli, who, from the date of his landing upon our shores, has busied himself in stirring up the adherents of the papacy to mutiny against the laws and customs of this nation, in open and flagrant violation of international comity, preaching the nefarious doctrines of the Ultramontanes in relation to popular education and the union of Church and State, and otherwise evincing contempt for the American system of laws; and since Genet and Sackville-West were summarily required to leave the country for offenses far less odious and in far less degree calculated to embroil the country in civil war;

Now, therefore, your petitioners respect-

fully ask that the said Satolli be warned forthwith to depart from the territory of the United States, and that his master, the pope, be requested to send no more emissaries of like character or capacity to this country.

And since the Society of Jesus, as a revolutionary order, is also engaged in fostering strife among our people in the interest of the Roman Imposture, we further ask that in your next annual message to Congress you call the attention of that body to this matter, to the end that by appropriate legislation the members of that order may be banished, and the property held by them under charters from the Federal Government sequestered in accordance with the principles laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of the United States against the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, and that the same be devoted in part to the fund for establishing the University of the United States at Washington, and in part to the establishment and support of free schools in the States where it may be situated.—Loyal American.

Governor Fishback's Reply.

Reminds the Ministers That Christ Rebuked Over-pure Men.

LITTLE ROCK, ARK., Jan. 9.—The City Pastors' Association recently passed resolutions condemning Governor Fishback's published views in regard to the regulation of the social evils. The governor has addressed a lengthy reply to the ministers, in which he says:—

"Intelligent, sensible people, who are at all observant of passing events, will tell you that the chief obstacles in the way of religious and moral progress have been hypoerisy and folly. A few men who arrogate to themselves leadership in religious and moral reforms are constantly bringing both into contempt either through hypoerisy or blind fanatical unreasonable folly. There were not wanting men of your type who were ready to pass resolutions against the purity of the Saviour himself, because his honest common sense dared to rebuke certain over-pure men who would have stoned to death a fallen woman."—Memphis Commercial.

A DICTIONARY of the BIBLE :

COMPRISING ITS

Antiquities, Biography, Geography, Natural History and Literature, WITH THE

Latest Researches and References to the Revised Version of the New Testament,

WITH EICHT COLORED MAPS

FOUR HUNDRED AND FORTY ILLUSTRATIONS. By Wm. Smith, LL.D.

Revised and Edited by REvs. F. N. and M. A. PELOUBET.

Price, post-pald,	-	•	-	•	• ·	\$2.00
With "American	Sentine	l," -		-	•	2.40



PACIFIC, PRESS, 43 Bond Street, New York City.





It is divided into five chapters, by as many different writers, each treating of a particular phase of a boy's life.

The first chapter, "THE BOY AND HIS FATHER," by Robert J. Burdette, is alone worth many times the price of the book to any father of a young boy.

The other chapters, "WHEN HE DECIDES," "THE BOY IN THE OFFICE," "HIS EVENINGS AND AMUSEMENTS," and "LOOKING TOWARD A WIFE," are all important and holdsful

helpful. 104 pages, bound in cloth, embossed in jet and gold, price, 75 cents. PACIFIC PRESS PUBLISHING COMPANY.

43 BOND STREET, New York City.



Send for Catalogue to PACIFIC PRESS, 43 Bond Street, Oakland, Cal. New York City. **PITCAIRN ISLAND**, one of the volcanic gems of the Pacific, has been heard of wherever the English language has been spoken. The story of the working out of the problem of human life on its limited territory

Reads stranger and more thrillingly

in many respects than a romance. But most if not all of the tales told and books printed have either been too fragmentary, or incorrect and misleading. It will be interesting to the friends of that miniature world to know

An Authentic History

has been written, and that by a native of the island, one to the manor born. The title of the new work appears above. It is written by Miss Rosa Young, one of the direct descendants of the mutineers of the *Bounty*. The book, of 256 pages, is a plain, unvarnished tale of Pitcairn and its inhabitants from its settlement to the year 1894. It is written with a

Charming Simplicity of Style

which refreshes the reader and invites a continued perusal. This work is illustrated with 26 engravings by the half-tone process, and its 23 chapters have each a neatly engraved heading.

PRICE \$1.00, POSTPAID.

Thousands can be sold by those who will canvass their neighborhood. Address any State Tract Society, or

Pacific Press Publishing Company,

Kansas City, Mo. Oakland, Cal. New York City.

History of the Doctrine of the Soul, among all races and peoples, ancient and modern, including theologians, philosophers, scientists, and untutored aborigines, carefully brought down to the present time. 186 pp., 12mo., 75 cents.

PACIFIC PRESS, 43 BOND STREET, New York City.



NEW YORK, FEBRUARY 21, 1895.

ANY one receiving the AMERICAN SENTINEL without having ordered it may know that it is sent to him by some friend. Therefore, those who have not ordered the SENTINEL need have no fears that they will be asked to pay for it.

EDWARD F. DUNN, who is managing the American branch of the international movement to restore "Papal Independence," is a Florida judge.

WITH the *Monitor* firing on us from San Francisco, the *Pilot* steaming toward us from Boston, and the *Catholic World* engaging us at short range, there appear to be prospects of a general engagement.

OUR illustrated neighbor, *Puck*, is the only prominent political paper in the country that has cared and dared to speak its mind about the pope's latest encyclical to America. Its double page cartoon of February 13, is interesting. Would that America was as positive in her refusal to come under Leo's mantle as "Miss Columbia" is there represented to be.

PROF. G. W. COLCORD, President of the Seventh-day Adventist academy at Graysville, Tenn., is one of the twenty now under indictment there, charged with violating the Sunday law. The six charges against him are for permitting students to labor on the college premises on Sunday. Since the indictments were found, a strong local sentiment has developed against these prosecutions, led by the editor of the Dayton (Tennessee) *Leader*, and the result is left in doubt. Meanwhile the accused manifest a meek and quiet, yet courageous spirit.

IT will be unfortunate for America and for civilization if they are allowed to have their way in New York. Our schools are essentially civil schools, maintained as the prop of civil government. If they are converted into military institutions then the American system must cease to be republican and become imperial. This would follow with a certainty that is illustrated by the whole course of history. The military spirit cannot become dominant in the mind of any people without supplanting the republican system with the imperial.—The American Magazine of Civics, for February.

THE Christian Statesman of Feb. 9, contains the following editorial paragraph:---

Popery affirms its interpretation of God's law through its so-called infallible head to be binding upon the consciences of all men. It leaves no room for dissent. It compels, so far as it has the power, acceptance of its interpretation. It denies the blessing of Christ to all who do not accept its interpretation as the one and only sound and valid interpretation of the divine law. However sure we may be that our views of truth and duty are scripturally sound, we are following in the foot-steps of Romanism the moment we assume to judge brethren in Christ in any such way as directly affirms or indirectly implies that they have not the same right to interpret and apply God's law for themselves, and to expect his blessing in their honest and sincere endeavors to do his holy will.

The element represented by the *Christian* Statesman interprets the law of God to mean that the first day of the week and not the seventh day is the Sabbath, and is leaving no stone unturned in the effort to force this interpretation on all men by means of civil law. It denies the right of men "to interpret and apply God's law for themselves" and is therefore, according to its own definition, "following in the footsteps of Romanism."

THE New York Observer, of Jan. 24, 1895, attacks the Roman Catholic mass on this wise:—

There is not, in all the Word of God, a passage that can be quoted in support of au early and fasting communion.

The Catholic Union and Times, of Buffalo, replies as follows:—

Neither is there a single text of Scripture to authorize you to change the Lord's day from the seventh to the first day of the week. Why have you done so? Because the Catholic and Apostolic Church, from earliest Christian days, has substituted Sunday for the Jewish Sabbath, for solid and resplendent reasons.

Of course, the *Observer* replied to this retort by maintaining the customary silence. What a pity that Protestants should stultify themselves and destroy their ability to wield the Word of God against papal errors by tenaciously clinging to one of the most fatal of these errors.

THE reason why the pope is so anxious to hitch America to his chariot is clearly stated by O. A. Brownson, in his work, "Conversations on Liberalism and the Church." The author is a convert to Romanism from Protestantism, and so highly is he esteemed among them that steps have been taken to erect a monument to his memory. Mr. Brownson says:—

All heresies and infidelity are disintegrating and destructive, if you will, but really hostile to progress. They interrupt the work of the church, they interpose obstacles to her influence, deny or obscure the principles of progress, and as far as their power extends, so prevent their development and practical application, and not only peril souls, but hinder or retard the progress of civilization. Heretical nations [like the United States] are running the same career the ancient Gentile nations ran, and their influence, aided by the flesh, the world and the devil, extends even to orthodox nations, and neutralizes, to a fearful extent, the power of the church to apply her principles to her own children, so that these nations became almost as unprogressive as heretical nations themselves - Page 170.

Yes, the religious liberty principles of the Constitution of this heretical nation have neutralized to a fearful extent the "power" of the Roman Catholic Church over her own children, so much so that she has stopped burning them for heresy. There was no United States Constitution to "interpose obstacles to her influence" on Huss and Jerome and the millions of others murdered by her "influence;" hence the earnest solicitude of

the pope and the papacy to capture the United States Government and obtain "the favor of the laws and the patronage of public authority."

WHAT the papacy will do for all nations and all people when the scheme of the pope is realized, is to be learned from a study of the history of the Dark Ages. There are people who are just foolish enough to believe that the "infallible" church has come to regard her cruel, mediæval history with becoming abhorrence. All such should read the following, quoted from Brownson's work, which the writer purchased within a week, from the Catholic publishing house of D. & J. Sadlier & Co., 31 Barclay St., New York :--

Christian nations alone are living and progressive nations. And never have Christian nations advanced in all that makes the true glory of civilization so rapidly as they did from the downfall of Rome to the rise of what you [Protestants] call the Reformation.—Page 170.

No real progress of civilization since the epoch of the Reformation.—Page 176.

Always will the period from the sixth to the end of the fifteenth century stand out as the most glorious in the annals of the race.—Page 182.

Comment is unnecessary.

THE Evangelist comments quite numorously upon the recent papal encyclical, making in a pleasant way several good points against it; and turning each of them likewise against the assumption of papal power by the Presbyterian General Assembly. The Evangelist concludes its criticism with these words:—

We have no quarrel with the pope; he lives "near St. Peter's," and has authority. To Leo's credit, be it said, he uses his authority discreetly, and on the side of morality and civil order. The pope we fight is the self-made dictator of Presbyterian opinion and law, whether he be one or many; the creature which, like self-perpetuating prosecuting committees, creates an inquisition and forges instruments of torture for the miserable "minority."

This is valuable from the standpoint of the SENTINEL, chiefly because of the recognition of the fact by the *Evangelist* that the pope that is to be feared is not merely the pope of Rome but the popish spirit; that the man who is dominated by that spirit is a pope wherever he is or whatever position he may occupy. It is this spirit that appeals to the civil power to enforce Sunday-keeping, demands exemption of church property from taxation, etc.

R. T. WOODWARD, 66 Emerald Street, Boston, Mass., wishes clean copies of the AMERICAN SENTINEL for free distribution.

AMERICAN SENTINEL.

Set for the defense of liberty of conscience, and is therefore uncompromisingly opposed to anything tending toward a union of Church and State, either in name or in fact.

Single copy, per year, - - - \$1.00.

In clubs of	5 t	io 29	l copie	s to	one	address	per year,	90
6 1	25 t	:o 99		44	••	64	- 46	80
64	100 1	0 24	A 44	66	"	**	**	75
46		o 49		44	44	46 ^m	- 44	70
"		io 99		41	44	66	"	65
66	1000	or m	ore	46	"	44	66	~ 60
ro foreign				stal	Uni	on,	- -	5 shilling
	Add	ress	3,	AN	IEF	RICAN	SENTI	NEL,
			•	45	Bo Bo	nd Str#	t. New Y	ork City