


AMERICAN SENTINEL



"IF ANY MAN HEAR MY WORDS, AND BELIEVE NOT, I JUDGE HIM NOT."—Jesus Christ.

ALONZO T. JONES,
EDITOR.

NEW YORK, JUNE 10, 1897.

VOL. 12, No. 23.
Single Copy, 3 cents.

The American Sentinel is published in the interests of religious liberty—Christian and Constitutional.

Any one receiving the American Sentinel without having ordered it may know that it is sent to him by some friend. Therefore those who have not ordered the Sentinel need have no fears that they will be asked to pay for it.

(Entered at the New York Post-office.)

Forty years the Lord led and fed his people in the wilderness.

All this time he was teaching them the way of allegiance to himself—the way of faith.

This he did in order that his purpose might be fulfilled through them in the land whither they were going to possess it.

At the end of the forty years they were encamped in the plain of Moab, opposite to Jericho, preparatory to entering the land of their possession.

While there encamped the will of God concerning them was declared by an irresistible inspiration upon the prophet Baalam, and in words of instruction to his people for all time.

And the words are these: "LO, THE PEOPLE SHALL DWELL ALONE, AND SHALL NOT BE RECKONED AMONG THE NATIONS."

At that time the Lord's people composed "the church in the wilderness" (Acts 7:38); and in thus declaring that they should dwell alone and not be reckoned among the nations, he plainly declared his will that his church should be forever separated from every State and nation on the earth.

God never intended that his people should be formed into a kingdom, or State, or government, like the people of this world; nor that they should in any way be connected with any kingdom, or State, or government, of this world.

They were not to be like the nations or the people around them. They were to be separated unto God "from all the people that were upon the face of the earth." The people were to dwell alone, and were not to be reckoned among the nations.

Their government was to be a Theocracy pure and simple—God their only king, their only Ruler, their only Lawgiver. It was indeed to be a church organization, beginning with the organization of the church in the wilderness; and was to be separated from every idea of a State. The system formed in the wilderness through Moses, was to continue in Canaan; and was intended to be perpetual.

"The government of Israel was administered in the name and by the authority of Jehovah. The work of Moses, of the seventy elders, of the rulers and judges, was simply to enforce the laws that God had given. They had no authority to legislate for the nation." For God had declared plainly: "Ye shall not add unto the word which I commanded you, neither shall ye diminish ought from it."

Thus the principles of their government were solely those of a pure Theocracy. And such "was and continued to be the condition of Israel's existence as a nation." In any government it is only loyalty to the principles of the government, on the part of its citizens, that can make it a success. Consequently, on the part of Israel, it was only loyalty to the principles of a pure Theocracy,—God their only King, their only Ruler, their only Lawgiver—that could possibly make that government a success.

But loyalty to these principles demanded that each one of the people should constantly recognize and court the abiding presence of God with him as the sole King, Ruler, and Lawgiver, in all the conduct of his daily life. Yet it is "by faith" that God dwells in the heart and rules in the life. And "without faith it is impossible to please him." Therefore the existence of the original government of Israel, and the existence of Israel as a nation, depended upon a living, abiding faith in God, on the part of the people of Israel.

And just here, the only point where Israel could fail, Israel failed. The people did not abide in faith. They did not remain loyal to God as their King. "And Joshua the son of Nun, the servant of the Lord, died, being an hundred and ten years old. . . . And also all that generation were gathered unto their fathers: and

there arose another generation after them, which knew not the Lord, nor yet the works which he had done for Israel.

“And the children of Israel did evil in the sight of the Lord, and served Baalim: and they forsook the Lord God of their fathers, which brought them out of the land of Egypt, and followed other gods, of the gods of the people, that were round about them, and bowed themselves unto them, and provoked the Lord to anger. And they forsook the Lord, and served Baal and Ash-taroath.”

Then all the evils that came upon them only as the result of their apostasy and idolatry, they charged back upon the government of God. In their unbelief and apostasy, they could see in the continued raids of the heathen, by which their country was sacked, and themselves were oppressed, only evidence that for all practical purposes the government of God had failed.

They therefore reached the conclusion “that in order to maintain their standing among the nations, the tribes must be united under a strong central government. As they departed from obedience to God’s law, they desired to be freed from the rule of their divine Sovereign; and thus the demand for a monarchy became widespread throughout Israel.” Accordingly, they said to Samuel, “Make us a king to judge us, like all the nations.”

As their hearts were fully set on having a king like all the nations; and as practically they were much like all the nations anyhow; the best thing the Lord could do for them was to let them have their king. Nevertheless he said to Samuel, “Protest solemnly unto them.”

Samuel did so, but still they insisted “Nay; but we will have a king over us; that we also may be like all the nations; and that our king may judge us, and go out before us, and fight our battles for us.”

And of it all the Lord said to Samuel, “They have not rejected thee; but THEY HAVE REJECTED ME, that I should not reign over them.” And Samuel said to them, “YE HAVE THIS DAY REJECTED YOUR GOD . . . and have said unto him, Nay; but set a king over us.”

It was the same story of Babylon, Assyria, and Egypt, over again. When they knew God they glorified him not as God. And as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, the arch-deceiver seduced them into idolatry, and from idolatry into monarchy, in order that he might gain supremacy over them, and by worldly influence entice them, or by force prohibit them, from the service of God.

It was to save them from all this that the Lord had said of them, “The people shall dwell alone, and shall not be reckoned among the nations.”

If they had remained faithful to this principle, there never would have been amongst Israel a State or a kingdom.

Therefore, in announcing this principle, God intended forever that they should be completely separated from any such thing as a State or kingdom on the earth.

And as when that word was spoken they were “the

church,” it is absolutely certain that in announcing that principle, God intended to teach them and all people forever that his plainly declared will is that there shall be a complete separation between his church and every State or kingdom on the earth: that there shall never be any connection between his religion and any State or kingdom in the world.

And further: As that people were then the church; and as the Lord said they rejected him when they formed that State and kingdom; it is perfectly plain by the word of the Lord that whenever the church forms any connection with any State or kingdom on the earth, in the very doing of it she rejects God.

And from ancient time all this was written for the admonition of those upon whom the ends of the world are come. Will the people to-day be admonished by it?

GREAT BRITAIN’S interest in the cause of international arbitration is indicated, it is said, by an appropriation for the present year of \$109,190,000 for the construction of marine engines of war.

The Mission of the American Sentinel.

THE AMERICAN SENTINEL stands in defense of a principle, and that is why the paper exists. From the day it was established it has been an uncompromising advocate of the absolute separation of religion from the State, not in name only, but in fact. This is a question that concerns every intelligent person in every land under the sun.

Government and religion are both essential, but the spheres of action of each are sharply circumscribed. One presides over the realm of conscience, taking cognizance of the thoughts and intents of the heart; the other deals with overt acts, beyond which it cannot go. One leads the individual to do right because it is right, the other restrains him from evil through fear of punishment or hope of reward.

Every individual is endowed by the Creator with certain inalienable rights. Government is simply a compact entered into whereby the united strength of the majority is exercised in the maintenance of these rights against the encroachments of selfishness and greed. One of these rights is the freedom to worship or not to worship God, according to the dictates of conscience. Jesus Christ, the author of Christianity, gave this liberty of thought and action to all his followers; but this privilege many who have claimed to be his disciples, have refused to their fellows. They have read from his teachings, and from their interpretation of them have formulated a creed. Everything that disagrees with this is wrong, as they view it. Failing by argument to convince those who differ from them, they have sought to invoke the arm of the law to compel an outward acknowledgment under penalty of physical punishment. At best this can only make hypo-

rites, and a hypocrite is two-fold more the child of the evil one than the open opposer.

Now the point: The trend of passing events indicates that among many so-called Christians there is creeping in the idea that the civil law can be made an adjunct in the propagation of the gospel; and not in our own country alone is this true, but it is pervading Christian lands everywhere. Pride, worldliness and Pharisaism are fast coming into the churches, and just to the extent that the churches have lost the primitive power of the gospel in their work, just to that extent is there a clamoring for civil power to forward their ends, and the logical result of this is but one thing—persecution pure and simple to dissenters.

Against all this the SENTINEL raises a warning voice. There is no power under heaven to make men good at heart but the transforming power of Jesus Christ, and his kingdom is not of this world. His weapons are not carnal, but spiritual; love, not force. In the light of the past and of the sure word of prophecy, the SENTINEL beseeches the people everywhere to open their eyes and discern the signs of the times.

THE most practical education any person can receive is education of the conscience. He will have more use for this than for any other, and more momentous issues will hang on its decisions. The teacher in this education is the Holy Spirit, and the text-book is the Word of God.

Falling Back Upon Rome.

SPEAKING of a change of views recently experienced by a W. C. T. U. evangelist relative to the question of which day is the Sabbath, the N. Y. *Christian Advocate*, of May 6, says:—

“The question between those who observe Sunday as the Lord’s day and those who maintain that the only day to be observed as a sacred day is the seventh day, is a complex one, that the study of many years would not fully exhaust. There are some questions upon which practical wisdom for busy Christians is to follow the teachings of the Church in all matters that are not flatly and unmistakably contradictory to the Word of God.”

In other words, this question of which day is the Sabbath being so complex and difficult of solution, it is impracticable for the individual Christian to undertake it, and his proper course is to follow the teachings of the Church. This is the principle of popery, as straightly put as it would be by an acknowledged spokesman of Rome.

It sounds odd to hear this leading Methodist organ falling back upon this purely papal principle in defense of Protestant practice in the observance of the Sabbath. Yet, after all, it is not strange, but perfectly natural; for Protestant practice in this important matter, as generally observed, is not Protestant at all, but papal; that is, the observance of Sunday as a sacred day rests not

upon the Word of God, but upon tradition and the precepts of “the Church”; and when the champions of Sunday observance find themselves under the necessity of defending it, they at once fall back upon the papal principle of directing conscience by the word of man, instead of the Word of God. Papal practice must be defended by papal principles.

APROPOS to the cry of “hard times” so much sounded by this “Christian nation,” are the following figures given by the *Union Signal*:—

“The people of this country expended last year \$22,000,000 for chewing gum; \$400,000,000 for amusements; \$600,000,000 for jewelry; \$800,000,000 for tobacco; and \$1,400,000,000 for strong drink.”

An Unchristian Petition.

AT a special meeting of the Charleston (S. C.) Ministerial Union, May 14, as reported in the *Charleston News and Courier*, the following petition to the Postmaster-General was presented and unanimously indorsed:—

“To the Hon. James A. Gary, Postmaster-General—

“*Honored Sir*: The Sunday railway train, by its ready and wide-extended inducement to travel, both for pleasure and business, is undoubtedly the most influential agency now undermining public reverence for the divinely-appointed sabbath.

“The transportation of the mail, except in the vicinity of large cities, is the chief cause and support of the Sunday train.

“The great facilities for daily intercommunication by rail, telegraph and telephone leave no excuse for the Sunday mail as a necessity, a fact sustained by the diminished mail service and almost universal closing of post-offices in England and Canada.

“State legislation cannot stop United States mail trains, therefore the responsibility for Sunday mail service and largely for all Sunday travel rests solely upon the post-office department.

“If the United States Government, through you and your department, by the approval and direction of the President, would set the example of reverence for the Lord’s day by stopping the transmission of mails and closing all post offices on Sunday, it would evoke a divine blessing upon itself and the whole country; would teach a sublime lesson to the world; would confer a great boon upon thousands of its own and other officials and employes, and would put such a stamp of condemnation upon all acts of public desecration of the sabbath as would deter good citizens from their commission, and render all proper Sunday laws, State and municipal, easy of enforcement.

“We, therefore, do most earnestly but respectfully petition you, and through you his Excellency the President, to forbid the transmission of any mails on Sunday, and order the closing of all post-offices throughout the United States on that day.”

Let it be observed that this petition is avowedly in behalf of “the divinely-appointed sabbath.” It does not

claim to speak for any "civil" institution. Also, that it asks the Government to "set the example of reverence for the Lord's day," and thus—as it says—"evoke a divine blessing upon itself and the whole country," and "put such a stamp of condemnation upon all acts of public desecration of the sabbath" as would give life to "all proper Sunday laws, State and municipal."

As the matter now stands, these State and municipal Sunday statutes are for the most part shorn of their intended force by their manifest hostility to the spirit of the Constitution, which declares that "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, nor prohibiting the free exercise thereof." The Postmaster-General is asked by this petition to take a step which will commit the Government to the recognition and enforcement of religion, and thus get over the objection offered by the Constitution.

All this is plain enough to the view of any person who will look at the facts; and that such undisguised Church and State demands are being made upon the Government is a fact which should cause American citizens who love liberty and believe in the separation of Church and State, to do some serious thinking.

On the question of the danger of losing the Sabbath an exchange makes this pertinent comment: "'Our Sabbath in danger' is the note of alarm sounded by the clergy. The Sabbath will not be in danger so long as nobody is prohibited from keeping it. As for Sunday, the indications are that it will continue to recur once a week for some time to come."

A Menace to Liberty.

"OPEN the Convents," says the *Michigan Christian Advocate*, of May 22, in commenting upon the death of an unfortunate inmate of one of those institutions, while attempting to escape. The victim was a young woman twenty-two years of age, and her death resulted from injuries caused by leaping from a second-story window of the "Convent of the Good Shepherd," in Indianapolis, Ind. The *Advocate* says it is scandalous that such things can happen in "the land of the free."

It is very true that such happenings are altogether incompatible with the theory upon which this Government assumes to stand, and such involuntary servitude should be at once abolished by the strong arm of the law. To quote the *Advocate's* word, "Ecclesiastical prisons are not compatible with civil liberty. Barred doors, rusty keys, dark recesses, unscalable walls, mysterious secrecy, are forbidding enough under State auspices. . . . What was the American Government established for, anyway? If Spanish institutions are to be fostered and perpetuated here, Columbus might as well have refrained from his big discovery."

But what the liberty-loving people of this country need to realize is that more formidable than all the ec-

clesiastical prisons which Rome maintains, as a menace to American liberty, is the presence of Romish principles in the beliefs and practices of the American people. While these principles remain to enslave the understanding, there can be no safety for that personal liberty which is lost behind barred doors and unscalable walls.

THERE is reported to be a great surplus in the ministry of the Protestant church, no less than four hundred applications having been received for one pulpit in New York City. Nevertheless there is no surplus in the number who are preaching the glad tidings of salvation to sinners, or who are ministering to their unfortunate fellow-beings in the name of Jesus Christ.

Mr. Worldlyman's Advice to Preachers.

In the *Herald and Presbyterian*, of May 26, "Mr. Worldlyman" writes a "Letter to Preachers," which may explain why the Church is getting into such close touch with the world, and is striving so earnestly to get possession of worldly power. The letter runs as follows:—

"Don't preach first principles all the time. It may be a good sermon, old-fashioned, not up to the times. It is what the people do not care to hear. This humdrum of 'faith, repentance and baptism, and add to your faith virtue, and to virtue knowledge, and to knowledge temperance, and to temperance patience, and to patience godliness, and to godliness brotherly kindness, and to brotherly kindness charity,' is old, and sounds like fault-finding. We are none of us perfect, but we do not want to be told of it all the time. We are doing as well as we can, living about as well as most folks, and our church is as good as anybody's for all that I can see, and I don't know that it is any better. We can read about those things in the Bible if we want to. Give us something new and startling that will please and draw the crowd.

"Our preachers must not be, nor teach their congregations that they are to be, a peculiar people; but they must be like other folks. Just to think, the idea of being a peculiar people, a holy nation, a chosen generation, called out of darkness into light; a people of God, having obtained mercy, and abstaining from worldly lusts which war against the soul; speaking and living honestly, endeavoring to glorify God in our bodies and spirits, which are his. How absurd! How far behind the spirit of the age and the aspirations of the present time. How old-fogyish it looks and sounds. Why, the preacher of today must have some theatrical get-up about him. He must strike attitudes in his pulpit, instead of sin and spiritual wickedness in high places; use a few high-sounding words and phrases, such as 'We must be in touch all along the line;' 'The Fatherhood of God and the brotherhood of man.'

"Tell people something that interests them. If they hear a passage repeated that seems to justify them in something they want to do, it pleases them. 'Does it not say in the Bible there is a time to dance?' Get very much interested in anything that seems to favor them in the way they desire to go. Tell some witty story to cause

a laugh, and you will take. Touch very lightly on Bible teachings and Bible principles, especially the teachings of Christ through his apostles. Talk about higher criticism and an educated ministry, and when you were in college; preach about this great universe, sun, moon and stars; come down to earth once in a while and talk about the wonderful inventions of men—what great things they are doing in these days of steam, telegraph, telephone and electricity.

“This is a great world and we are a great people. The Bible is a great book, wonderful, considering the age in which it was gotten up, but is hardly up to the times. Criticise it as the teachings of men, and when you find anything that does not just correspond with your views, just say: ‘Paul was an old bachelor, and did not like the women any way, or had dyspepsia, and did not know what was the matter with him. Peter got the blues, and James had the gout.’ Make it sound funny.

“What were Peter, Paul, James or John compared with the men who occupy the pulpits at the present day? Can not the people go to Christ to-day without their instruction—Christ and the Spirit, not the gospel? Smite your breast and cry, ‘Christ within!’ These men are good, no matter whether they have obeyed the gospel or not; they are honest and sincere, and it does not seem right to tell them they have not obeyed the Master. Preach a goody-goody kind of salvation that will please the people; leave the gospel of Christ and his salvation therein taught out of the question, and you may take the world, or at least the world will take you, in this craze of interdenominationalism, singing: ‘The world for Christ, and Christ for the world, with the Christ left out.’”

The Outlook for General Disarmament.

“New York Observer.” April 29.

WE fear that those of us who have been looking for the speedy disarmament of the nations, and the settlement of international difficulties by arbitration, are far in advance of the times. For if the present conduct of Greece, Turkey and Spain teaches any lesson at all, it is that the desire to fight is that which nations will sacrifice most to gratify, and the power to fight the last element of national life which they lose. Here are three nations practically bankrupt, and with every inducement to maintain peace and devote their energies to productive labor. Yet on a provocation to war, which with each could have been avoided by small compromises which would not have greatly affected national pride, they place on their frontiers within a few weeks, or send over sea, armies varying in number from 100,000 to 200,000 men. It is not true to say that this is the work of the governments alone, which regard themselves as trustees, and so must be keenly self-regarding as respects national honor. For the peoples are quite as ready to fight, and at the first summons forsake their avocations and join the colors, though they know that they will have nothing save gratification of the fighting instinct for their pay.

Baptist Principles vs. Sunday Laws.

From “Baptist Principles of Religious Liberty,” by Geo. B. Wheeler.

1. SUNDAY laws had their origin in a union of Church and State.
2. They were enacted to enforce the observance of a church dogma.
3. They have been perpetuated by church influence, and are therefore religious in origin, nature, and object.
4. They are used to persecute conscientious dissenters.
5. They attempt to enforce a religious observance which pertains to the first table, contrary to Baptist principles.
6. They meddle with religion and matters of conscience contrary to the spirit of Christianity.
7. They were opposed by Roger Williams.
8. They discriminate in favor of the doctrine of one church and against the faith of another.
9. They have, between Sept. 29, 1885, and Dec. 31, 1896, imprisoned 41 conscientious Christians for a total of 1722 days.
10. They have driven 12 Christian men in the chain-gang of Tennessee for a total of 445 days.
11. They have been used by persons calling themselves *Baptists*, to imprison their dissenting Sabbatarian neighbors, and to drive them into the chain-gang.
12. These cruel persecutions have been defended and encouraged by papers bearing the *Baptist* name.
13. Sunday laws are not based by their advocates on the idea that one day in seven is needed for recreation from continuous toil, since Sunday-law advocates attempt to prohibit all innocent recreation on that day.
14. Sunday laws would be sumptuary laws, even if it could be proved that they were civil instead of religious, since they would attempt to dictate to the citizen when and how he should employ his time for his physical good.
15. Sunday laws and liquor laws are not alike, since the first seeks to protect the “holy day” from “desecration,” while liquor laws seek to protect the man against his liquor-maddened fellowman.
16. Sunday laws attempt to compel men to act outwardly as though they believed the day holy, whether they do or not.
17. Sunday laws attempt to compel Seventh-day observers to treat Sunday with the same outward regard that they pay to the Sabbath of the Lord, thus compelling them to break down the distinction which the Lord commands men to make between the Sabbath and other days of the week.
18. Sunday laws therefore conflict with the conscientious convictions of seventh-day observers, just as laws requiring Baptists to sprinkle their children conflicted with the conscientious convictions of Baptists in the early days of New England.
18. Sunday-law advocates to-day declare that sev-

enth-day observers are not persecuted when imprisoned for failure to observe Sunday, just as the persecutors of Baptists once declared that it was not persecution to imprison a Baptist for refusing to have his child sprinkled.

20. Sunday laws prohibit both work and recreation, thus compelling idleness which is productive of crime.

21. God commands cessation from work on the Sabbath, but this command contemplates a "holy" use of a "holy" day, which can only be secured by making the man holy—something which God can do, but which human law cannot accomplish.

22. Sunday laws are papal in principle in that they are a part of that policy which declares that the State should enforce conformity to church doctrines.

23. Baptists have always taught that Christianity was possessed of enough inherent power to stand without the support of human law; yea, even against it.

24. Agitation for Sunday legislation is increasing in the same proportion that the spiritual power of the church is decreasing,—a parallel to the condition of the popular church in the days of Constantine.

CONCERNING the necessity of individualism as the basis of true reformatory work, Mr. Charles Booth, of London, who has made a special study of the social and moral condition of the people in that city, testifies that "almost every social and economic question . . . derives its ultimate practical importance from a more widely spread and more human care for the individual," and that the hope of uplifting the fallen lies not in State enterprise or Collectivism, but in "the reform of the individual by the individual."

The Salvation Army Decision.

COMMANDER BOOTH TUCKER, of the Salvation Army, has been convicted in a New York City court of maintaining a public nuisance and of keeping a disorderly house. The "disorderly house" in question is the Salvation Army headquarters in West Fourteenth St., New York City; and the "nuisance" consists of the protracted evening meetings regularly conducted there in the peculiar manner with which the "Army" have made the public familiar.

The "Commander" has issued a statement in which he denounces his conviction as being an invasion of liberty of conscience and an instance of flagrant persecution, without any warrant of law.

That the prosecutors in this case were actuated to some degree at least by malicious motives, seems evident from the nature of the charge which they have succeeded in substantiating in the lower court. The term "disorderly house" has acquired a definite meaning in the public mind which would be most untrue and slanderous as applied to the meeting hall of the Salvation Army.

Doubtless there is less disorder, even in the strictest sense of the term, in a meeting like those complained of than characterizes the all-night revels which are frequently to be noted as a feature of life in "high society."

THE N. Y. *Christian Advocate*, in making mention of the late report of the New York Sabbath Committee, says: "The efforts to maintain the civil Sunday cannot be understood apart from the labors of this committee. It is no exaggeration to state that whatever is preserved to us of order and Sabbath decorum in this city of New York is mainly due, under God, to the wisdom and energy of the New York Sabbath Committee."

It would have been much to the point if the *Advocate* had pointed out what logical connection there can be between God and a "Sabbath" committee, and the preservation of a "civil" Sunday.

A Religious Revolt in Canada.

CLERICAL despotism, combined with certain liberalizing influences which that despotism cannot shut out, is driving the people of Lower Canada into open religious revolt. What the poor people of that district have to submit to under the ecclesiastical regime which the Catholic Church has established, may be seen from the following quotation from the London (Ont.) *Guardian*:—

"It may not be known that according to Quebec law tithes are levied and collected in the same manner as the municipal tax. It often happens that in a given district the bishop decides that a new parish ought to be formed and a church built. He selects the plans and decides what the cost shall be. A rate is then struck, and men have been forced to mortgage their farms in order to meet their payments. The only way to escape is to abjure the church—a course which to the devout Romanist is mortal sin. He exposes his family to the persecution of his former co-religionists, and, of course, is deprived of the means of grace."

Such is the natural fruit of Rome's substitute for "the liberty wherewith Christ has made us free." Gal. 5:1.

THE *Catholic Standard and Times*, of May 15, makes mention of the recent rebellion of the Catholic members of the Ninth New York regiment against an order to attend religious service in Bloomingdale Reformed Church, New York City; in justification of which it says:—

"We believe there is no rule of the service compelling Catholics to attend a form of service offensive to their feelings and which, as individuals, they are prohibited by their own church under pain of sin from attending."

The Catholics were right in refusing to attend religious service at the dictation of the Government; and they would be equally right in refusing to do a religious act at the dictation of the church. In each case the dictation is that of man, and therefore essentially the same in character. The Government has as good right to

compel the performance of a religious act as the church has to prohibit it.

The individual is responsible to God for the character of his actions. And when he submits to any human dictation in religion, whether from State or Church, he denies God's right to govern his actions by the divine principle of love, and to guide him by His Word and His Spirit.

Blue Laws in New Jersey.

"Catholic Standard and Times," May 22.

Laws that are deeply, darkly, but not beautifully blue still guard the coasts of New Jersey. It is not lawful there to try to avert danger to life and limb on the sabbath day, as the Erie Railroad people found out this week when they set a gang of men to work at a level crossing where the ground was found to be unsafe. The Mayor, the Aldermen, the Street Superintendent, and Mr. Dogberry were hurriedly got together, and the watch was directed to arrest all the "vagrom men" who had so outraged the feelings of New Jersey. This was done, and the laborers, having been brought before a justice, were let out on bail. It is entirely lawful to employ men in blue to enforce Blue Laws, but not to endeavor to prevent the town being painted red by some appalling catastrophe on a railway line. These good Jersey people read the Bible, but only, it appears, with one eye open.

A Prior "Christian Nation" Decision.

In a recent issue of the *New York Journal*, Mr. A. H. Lewis points out that the Supreme Court decision of February, 1892, that the United States is a Christian nation, is not the first decision made in a court of law on this subject, but that as far back as 1813 a decision was rendered which affirmed just the opposite of that of 1892. The circumstances are given as follows:—

"The time was 1813; the scene a town on the Barbary coast. An American privateer put in with three hapless British ships as prizes. The privateer turned over the three captured Britons to Mordecai Noah, afterward high sheriff of New York, and incidentally a newspaper partner of James Watson Webb—then American agent or consul-general to the Barbaries. The privateer wanted the prizes hawked off at public outcry; the Yankee captain hungered for the money he had fought for.

"But the British minister there stationed objected. He pulled a treaty on the Bey of Tunis, who was about to authorize the sale—the Bey, by the way, was to get 20 per cent. This treaty which had been made between the Bey and Great Britain distinctly recited that in event of a war between England and 'any other Christian nation' neither side should be permitted the Barbary ports as a theatre of sale for prizes.

"Noah admitted the treaty, but contended that the United States was not a 'Christian nation,' and didn't fall within the terms of the treaty. Noah showed the Bey our Constitution—our bill of rights. He invoked his olive-colored admiration to the fact that we had no national church, and that Christian, Jew (Noah was a Jew), and Mohammedan, were alike equally free in the enjoyment of every American privilege.

"Therefore, claimed Noah, the United States could not be called a 'Christian nation,' . . . It took the Bey ten minutes to decide with Noah. The United States was not a 'Christian nation.' The British minister was turned down, the prizes were sold, the wise Bey got his rake-off of 20 per cent. of that auction's proceeds, and the decision itself was never attacked. It stands to this day."

In 1813 the Constitution was better known and appreciated than it is to-day.

He Rode on Sunday.

New York "World," June 5.

At last the royal dictum of imperial New Jersey has gone forth. It is vicious and immoral to ride a bicycle on Sunday, unless to church.

Justice Collins, of Westfield, has said it.

A Westfield man was riding his wheel Sunday. He was run down and injured by another wheelman and brought suit before Justice Collins. The Justice, looking over his spectacles, shook his head.

"You were violating the State law in riding on Sunday for pleasure," said the Solomon. "You have no right to sue for damages." And the Westfield man went away and wept.

The Beauties of State Prayer.

PRESS dispatches from Springfield, Ill., under date of June 3, mentioned the following:—

"The chaplain of the House, the Rev. David G. Bradford, opened proceedings to-day in the State legislature with the following prayer:—

"Almighty God, we seek thy presence and blessing at the beginning of another day's diligent labor. Help us, we pray thee, in the discharge of this day's duties. Help these men to remember the poor, tax-burdened people of this great State.

"Contract, we pray thee, the capacious maw of the penal reformatory, charitable and educational institutions of Illinois. May they learn to be content with less money, and may we, who refuse to worship a golden calf, refuse also to worship gold in any other form.

"Forbid that any foreigner visiting our shores shall ever again have occasion to write:—

"Money, money, is all their cry;
Money's the total sum.
Give us money or else we die,
Oh, let the money come."

"And we will ever give thee endless praise. Amen."
"This prayer was greeted with enthusiastic applause."

TRUMPET TONES

Numerical Growth and Spirituality of the Methodist Church.

By Bishop E. G. Andrews.

So far as numbers may give evidence there has been an almost steady progress. In 1872 there were 76 annual conferences; in 1897, 124; in 1872, in round numbers, and including those on trial, 9,000 effective ministers;



BISHOP E. G. ANDREWS.

now more than 14,000. In 1872 there were 1,400,000 church members and probationers; now there are 2,800,000; in 1872 less than 1,300,000 Sunday school pupils, now more than 2,600,000; in 1872, 13,000 churches, valued at \$57,000,000; now 26,000, valued at \$109,000,000, with a parallel increase in parsonages and

their value.

Has the spiritual life of the Church kept pace with this increase of its numbers, property and gifts, with this extension of its area, with this multiplication of its agencies?

An easy optimism would fain answer, "Yes," but an easy optimism is not admissible in a time of transition like this, when a strenuous, perhaps a narrow, interpretation of the moral law is giving place in many quarters to a perilous laxity, as, for instance, touching Sabbath observances, amusements and selfish extravagances; when the Bible is undergoing a critical and in many cases an indifferent or hostile questioning.—*From address delivered at the 25th anniversary of his ordination. New York Herald, May 24.*

Without the Power.

By the late John McNeil.

It is positively painful to see the substitutes that are being tried to-day for the power of the Holy Ghost. Miserable substitutes are they all! One church is trying this plan, another that, and not one of them has found a new plan that is a permanent success. They are floundering, and some of them are foundering, and no wonder.

It will be no loss to the kingdom of God if churches which ignore the Holy Ghost should founder. Let us get back to Pentecostal methods. The trouble is that the churches have lost their way to that "upper room."—*From "The Spirit-Filled Life." Copyrighted by Fleming H. Revell Co.*

The Fourth Century Compared with the Nineteenth.

By the late A. J. Gordon, D. D.

UPON the enthronement of Constantine, the sentiment gradually changed, and the notion grew up that in order to convert the heathen it was necessary to conciliate them by conforming somewhat to their customs. The great Augustine also fell under this delusion, and gave his countenance to the engrafting into Christian worship of usages borrowed from the heathen. He said: "When peace was made (between the emperors of Rome and the church) the crowd of Gentiles who were anxious to embrace Christianity were deterred by this, that whereas they had been accustomed to pass the holidays in drunkenness and feasting before their idols, they could not easily consent to forego these most pernicious yet ancient pleasures. *It seemed good then to our leaders to favor this part of their weakness*, and for those festivals which they had relinquished, to substitute others in honor of the holy martyrs, which they might celebrate with similar luxury, though not with the same impiety." Here is the door opened through which the whole troop of abominations entered—saint worship, idol worship, virgin worship—till in an incredibly short time the church, which had gone forth to Christianize the heathen, was found to have become herself completely paganized.

The nineteenth century is presenting almost the exact facsimile of the fourth century in this particular. The notion having grown up that we must entertain men in order to win them to Christ, every invention for world-pleasing which human ingenuity can devise has been brought forward till the churches in multitudes of instances have been turned into play-houses, with theater-boards announcing the courses for the gay season, boldly set up at the doors; and there is hardly a carnal amusement that can be named, from billiards to dancing, which does not now find a nesting-place in the Christian sanctuary. Is it then phariseeism or pessimism to sound the note of alarm and to predict that at the present fearful rate of progress, the close of this decade may see the Protestant church as completely assimilated to nineteenth century secularism as the Roman Catholic church was assimilated to fourth century paganism?—*From "How Christ Came to Church." Copyrighted by American Baptist Publication Society.*



A. J. GORDON, D. D.

News, Notes, and Comment.

WHEN Mr. Blackstone wrote his famous "Commentaries," on English law, the union of Church and State in his country was much closer—much more of a reality, and less of a form—than it is now. The conception of mutual agency as a characteristic of the relation between the two was thoroughly implanted in the minds of the learned, as well as the illiterate. It was, indeed, a postulate of thought, everywhere, something not to be debated, or examined into, but to be accepted, as a matter of course, like the changes of the seasons, or the ebbing and flowing of the tides.

* * *

RARE is the mind that rises above the *zeitgeist* or "time-spirit" of its age. There was once a Man who did this, and they crucified Him. And years afterward, following His teaching and example, there was one Roger Williams, and him they banished into the wilderness, to starve or freeze, as the case might be. Perhaps we should not be too severe on Mr. Justice Blackstone, because to soar into a purer atmosphere of thought and perception than that by which he was immediately surrounded, was a task beyond his powers. None can deny his intellectual brilliancy; but moral strength and independence are by no means the invariable accompaniments of high mental development.

* * *

BUT to attack ideas is not to vilify or depreciate men. These last are in themselves but instruments whereby ideas are propagated, and commended to the favor of humanity. Men come and men go; ideas, once enunciated, never die; they may affect fewer persons as the ages roll along; they are modified, threshed over, put into new shapes, as circumstances change; they are sometimes apparently ignored for a while, or supplanted by their own progeny—by other ideas which they have suggested, and brought into effective being; but their essential attribute is immortality, and they *breed* forever and ever.

* * *

AND, as men are but the channels through which ideas are conveyed to other men, there is no real common measure of value between the man and the idea. In the great Republic of Thought, all men are equal, as well as free. Truth, which is the only law of the mind's domain, is no respecter of persons. Before her august tribunal, every abstract proposition is tried, and stands or falls according to its merits alone. However able and exalted the advocate, however keen and subtle his argument, however clever the disguise in which a fallacious doctrine may be decked out, the remorseless "Roentgen ray" of right reason is turned on, and casuistry is detected and condemned.

THIS is not the teaching of Loyola. The great "Saint" Ignatius was fond of his famous theory of "probability." He held that there were many cases in which we might safely accept certain things as true, not because they commended themselves to our individual judgment, but merely because of the source from which the assertions came. "You might, yourself, be inclined to doubt this, if it appeared in a book by an unknown author, or if it was told you by a person whose character for discrimination and sincerity you esteemed to be lower than your own, or at least no higher; but you will be fully justified in accepting it, notwithstanding your private doubts, as a matter which is *probably* true, if you get it from a person whom you concede to be more learned and more intelligent than you are, and whose candor you regard as unimpeachable"—such is the substance of Ignatius Loyola's doctrine of "probability," if I apprehend it aright.

* * *

Now this doctrine of "probability" pervades our jurisprudence to an amazing extent. It is so rooted in many legal minds that ridicule is the reward of him who questions the conclusions of certain eminent jurists, when he is not visited with the scorn and wrath that "blasphemy" awakens among men of another stripe. "Who are you, to question the verity of a principle indorsed by such a man as Mr. Justice Blackstone? Who are you, that you set yourself up as a critic, and doubter, when Judge Marshall has made his ruling, or the great Story has formulated his theory of the Constitution? Do you suppose it is probable that you are right, and such men as these are wrong? Are you so vain and presumptuous as to imagine that such a thing is even possible?"

* * *

I HAVE suffered before now, in my time, from the *animus* which finds expression in such interrogatories as are here given, and I am afraid I am likely to suffer again. But it cannot be helped. I must insist upon it, that, if the race is to get any profit whatever out of the continuous battle of ideas, it is essential that every proposition shall be analyzed, dissected, weighed, considered, debated, and accepted or rejected *per se*, and utterly irrespective of the source from which it emanates. There is no quality of intelligence or morals, high or low, about the preacher which affords any final reason for either accepting or rejecting what he says. A scoundrel may evolve a sound moral proposition; a fool may utter a wise thing; a profound philosopher may sometimes chance to drivel.

* * *

APPROACHING Mr. Blackstone, then, with all due respect for his extensive learning and his remarkably clear and accurate way of setting out his matter, yet with no awe of his grandeur, and no predisposition whatever to adopt his conclusions simply for the reason that they

happen to be his, rather than somebody else's, we can see, readily enough, many fallacies in his work, and can appreciate the infinite harm which he has done to the English-speaking race by giving to those fallacies the sanction of his high authority. The fact that his book is still used and recommended in nearly every law-school in this country renders it practically a modern work, and perpetuates its capacity for misleading and mischief-making.

* * *

It is Mr. Justice Blackstone who talks to our boys about law—*human* law, we must bear in mind—as having “her seat in the bosom of God.” This is nonsense, of course; but in the “Commentaries” it is nonsense of a particularly dangerous and objectionable kind. And it is nonsense which is quoted frequently in our time, and is embodied in a certain legal distinction which has received the indorsement of the highest courts of the United States. It is, in fact, the nonsense of the union of Church and State, which finds expression in the classification of human actions, as taken cognizance of by human criminal law, into the *malum prohibitum*, and the *malum in se*. The *malum in se* is the thing which is wrong in itself—as, for example, stealing, murder, etc.; the *malum prohibitum* is the thing which is wrong only because the law forbids it—as shooting birds “out of season,” bringing goods into one country from another without paying a government “duty,” or importation tax, etc. In other words, things of the first class are immoral, as well as illegal; things of the second class are illegal—query, also immoral?

* * *

A VAST deal of curious erudition has been accumulated around this classification. For example, it is an established general principle that ignorance of the law excuses nobody. This principle, however it may appear to conflict with the actual practice of arguing the law, and taking appeals from courts of inferior jurisdiction, is a principle of absolute necessity; for, as we can never ascertain with any degree of certainty what a man knows or does not know, it is impossible to allow the plea of ignorance to be regarded as a defense against a criminal charge. But it has been said that where an act is only an offense against an express statute, and thus *malum prohibitum*, without being, at the same time, *malum in se*, a jury may take into consideration how far actual knowledge of the law ought to be imputed to the offender, and, in this connection, may give their attention to the length of time that the statute had been in force when the offense was committed, and other attendant circumstances of the case.

* * *

THE question of *malum* or *bonum in se* as a characteristic of a human action is evidently a moral question—in other words, a question of religion. This is a ques-

tion which is tried under the law which “hath her seat in the bosom of God.” It is investigated and decided by that awful Judge “unto whom all hearts are open, all desires known, and from whom no secrets are hid.” It is utterly beyond the reach of mere man, beyond the jurisdiction of the courts of his establishment, beyond the purview of any statutes that he can frame or enact. For him to undertake to deal with such a matter, in his judicial administration, is to blasphemously set up a union of Church and State, to wickedly intrude on his fellows’ rights of conscience.

* * *

MR. JUSTICE BLACKSTONE to the contrary, notwithstanding, human law—at any rate, American human law—knows no such distinction between things as that of *malum prohibitum* and *malum in se*. It knows nothing of an act as either *malum* or *bonum*. The only distinction it recognizes is between that which is *prohibitum* and that which is *non-prohibitum*—in other words, between what it punishes as criminal and what it does not punish, because it does not choose to consider it criminal. It matters not whether an act be forbidden under the “Common Law” of England, where that has been adopted by an American State, or whether it is forbidden by a State statute. The Criminal Court judge simply inquires whether or not the person who is arraigned before him on a certain charge has done something which the “law of the land” says he shall not do, or has left undone something which the same law says he shall do. With the point of *malum* or *bonum*—the morality or immorality of either the act or the omission, such a judge has nothing whatever to do.

Geo. J. Ringgold.

SAYS the *New York Observer*, of May 20, in speaking of the Turkish triumph over Greece; “It makes one rage to say it, but barbarism has triumphed through the sheer ineptitude of Christian powers. Its victory is marked by the groans of all Christians in southeastern Europe.”

The *Observer* is mistaken. There is no more reason why one should “rage” over the triumph of Turkey in the war, than over the triumph of Greece had that been the result of the fighting. The “barbarism” of the affair is in the war itself, and barbarism triumphed when hostilities begun. Going to war is itself a demonstration of the barbarism of any nation that does it. The so-called “Christian powers” are as fair exponents of barbarism in the use of their armies and fleets as is Turkey in her war with Greece.


—————▶▶▶▶▶

It is announced that the key-note of the coming International Christian Endeavor convention at San Francisco is to be “Rescue of the sabbath.”

American Freedom Not Yet Won.

From a Memorial Day oration delivered in Educational Hall at Point Pleasant, N. J., by Mr. H. B. Maurer.

IF General Grant, upon an occasion like this, could say, "Leave the matter of religion to the family altar, the church and the private school supported entirely by private contribution; keep the Church and State forever separate," it surely will not be unfit or inappropriate for me to give, in this presence expression to similar sentiments; nor do I with any degree of reluctance go further than did he, when I declare that such an absolute divorce of Church and State, as is the boast of some, is not yet here a reality. As long as there are certain laws upon our statute books, and as long as there are in vogue certain customs,



H. B. MAURER.

there remains here, so far as those laws and customs savor of it, that unholy alliance which has ever proved, wherever tried, a detriment to religion and a curse to the people.

In justification of them, the advocates of such laws and the champions of such customs, deny that there is here a union of Church and State, and explain the presence of those things among us, of which we, the advocates of religious liberty in its fullest plenitude, complain, as a union of the State and Christianity; but this nation never can be, according to the principles of primitive Christianity, on the one hand, and the principles of the Constitution of the United States on the other, a government of Christians, by Christians and for Christians—the remarkable decision of the U. S. Supreme Court, that this is a Christian nation, notwithstanding—but can only

and always be, according to the definition that could emanate only from such a mind as that of the immortal Lincoln, "A government of the people, by the people and for the people." Therefore any law or custom, that infringes upon the rights of conscience of the humblest citizen, is in contravention of the principles of true religion and sound Americanism, be such a citizen an Adventist or atheist, Baptist or Buddhist, Congregationalist or Confucionist, Methodist or Musselman, Papist or Presbyterian, and so on down the alphabet to Unitarian or Universalist.

I am neither an attitudinarian, a platitudinarian, nor a latitudinarian, but a very decided Baptist, and will not hesitate to make my convictions known as such on all proper occasions—such occasions only however where no one is obliged to listen to my sentiments involuntarily. This being my view of the matter under consideration, I am opposed to the use of the Bible in the public schools, prayers in legislative assemblies and religious features of any kind in institutions of all kinds maintained at public expense, defrayed by the compulsory payment of taxes by men of all faiths and no faith. I am most emphatically opposed to that liberty of conscience which those prate about who favor religious liberty for all so long as the other fellow's conscience is what they think a conscience ought to be. I resent all definitions of sectarianism which are confined to Christianity only, for there is sectarianism as to religionists outside of Christianity; for as against the Catholic, all Protestantism's distinctive teachings or interpretations is sectarianism; as against the Jew, the distinctive teachings of both these Christian systems is sectarianism; as against the pagan, all religion, whether revealed in the New or Old Testament or both, is sectarianism; and as against the freethinker, religion from any source is sectarian.

Since Christ's kingdom is not of this world,—since Jesus expressed such sentiments as these: "If any man hear my words and believe not, I judge him not;" "Render unto Cæsar the things that are Cæsar's, and to God the things that are God's;" since a nation is defined to be "a people or community associated together under one civil government; an aggregation of a people of a common stock,"—I must accord to all, as a member of Christ's kingdom and an individual in a nation, the same religious privileges I enjoy; and if by proper persuasion I cannot win others over to my way of thinking in religious matters, I certainly can never do so by coercion. All history shows that compulsory measures in religion react unfavorably upon those who resort to them; so to say the least, they are as unwise and impolitic as they are unjust and unfair, and therefore unchristian and un-American.

Rights of conscience are to-day violated in our country by reason of religious laws, practiced in our land, which must soon demand attention. The question of slavery is settled, thanks to you, old soldiers, and in that Civil War, brought fresh to our memories by these recurring anniversaries, the question of human slavery was

settled for the whole world,—since the emancipation of slaves has gone on in every land, save in some portions of Africa. But, in a higher sense, men are *not* free, and when I say this, I have not Russia, the land of intolerance, in mind; nor Germany and England, with their union of Church and State, but this Union, the dissolution of which you have prevented and rendered for all time indissoluble, let us hope. Here men are not free, and in connection with this assertion, I shall bring to your attention the burning shame of the nineteenth century.

Do you know that within the last three years men have been imprisoned in our southern States for conscience' sake—because they could not conform their conduct to certain laws, conformity to which meant to them violation of conscience? I have the reprint of a photograph taken of a chain-gang at work in Tennessee. Seven of its number—fine, stalwart-looking men, with their long flowing beards, gentle countenances and patriarchal appearance—working in the same gang with despicable criminals! It makes my blood boil to think of it. I tremble with excitement; it is a wonder that the inanimate stones do not cry out against these atrocities; and yet, while preachers vehemently denounce prize-fights, and temperance advocates become frenzied in damning the rum-seller, while with telescopic sympathy our hearts bleed for the famine-stricken of India, the massacred of Armenia, the fool-hardy Greeks, and the struggling Cuban patriots; while to-day we pardonably pride ourselves upon having freed 4,000,000 of slaves,—it is only in a few spasmodic instances that voices are heard in exposure and condemnation of the outrages upon those who have their natural, God-given, inalienable rights to freedom in matters of faith trampled upon.

To the disgrace of my own denomination, I must say it—my denomination, the first always in its advocacy of religious liberty—when there was in session in the State of Georgia a convention of its young people, one of our leading and highly honored preachers and authors attempted to have that body pass resolutions condemning those outrages, and failed. Must every prominent religious body, which once fought for religious rights, when such rights are gained, austere deny them to others? Great combinations are forming for the perpetuation of the laws and for more legislation that will make such outrages still more possible. The Christian Endeavorers, the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, the American Sabbath Union, the National Reform party and kindred organizations are all clamoring for more legislation to enslave the human conscience.

You cannot have a law without a penalty; and the enforcement of any religious law, and the infliction of its penalty when violated, cannot be anything less than religious persecution. The State must inevitably again lapse to those barbaric times, when it was the all-powerful agency at the beck and call of religious fanatics to carry out their hell-conceived schemes.

Keep the Church and State, even to the last degree,

forever separate. Baptists should ever be mindful of the words of our leading organ, *The Examiner and National Baptist*, which in its issue of Feb. 15, 1894, said:—

“We wonder that the very stones do not cry out against such travesties of justice, that Christian men do not lift up their voices in protest against this wicked perversion of religion, this insult to the name of Christ. And, in particular, why do not Baptists, whose fathers stood against the world for soul liberty, make themselves heard when these relics of medieval bigotry and persecuting intolerance are found in our free country? Sunday laws like those found in Tennessee and Maryland are a disgrace to a Christian commonwealth in this nineteenth century, and should be repealed at the earliest possible moment.”

And they should be mindful of this clarion note of warning of that paragon among our preachers, C. H. Spurgeon:—

“I should be afraid to borrow help from government; it would look to me as if I rested on the arm of flesh, instead of depending on the living God. Let the Lord's day be respected by all means; and may the day soon come when every shop shall be closed on the Sabbath, but let it be by force of conviction and not by force of policemen; let true religion triumph by the power of God in men's hearts and not by the power of fines and punishments.”
—Quoted in *the Australian Sentinel*, March, 1895.

SPEAKING of the humiliation of the Greeks and the rehabilitating of Turkey which has been the result of the war between them, an antichristian journal says: “There is a lesson in this, we hope. After the experience of Greece nobody should be deluded into a belief that the Christian God will help those who fight in His name; no people should depend upon the powers of Europe for defense; none should be misled by the fanatical jingoism of Christians; none should raise the question of religious differences between nations, nor go to war to settle them.”

The Word of the Christian's God says plainly that His servants must not fight, because His kingdom is not of this world; hence it should not need the experience through which Greece has passed to convince people that God will not help those who fight in His name. The fact that some nations and individuals do fight in His name does not constitute an indictment of God any more than the many crimes committed in the name of Liberty constitute an indictment of Liberty.

HAVING failed in her effort for reunion with Rome, the Anglican Church has engaged in a movement for union with the Greek Church, in the interests of which the Archbishop of York has recently made a visit to Russia. Of this the Moscow correspondent of the *London Standard* says:—

“The visit of Dr. Maclagan has aroused among all classes of society in Moscow an interest amounting to enthusiasm. One of the most popular local papers remarks that, rightly understood, the visit means more for the Orthodox Russians than even the Greco-Turkish war.

The union of the Greek and Anglican churches has been the topic of conversation of late, and whatever be the practical result of such a movement, no doubt such a union has been advanced a step by the cordial reception given everywhere to the Archbishop of York."

THE Russian government having refused to vize the passport of a Jewish citizen of California who wished to enter Russia for the purpose of visiting relatives, and this refusal having come to public notice, Senator Perkins has introduced in Congress the following resolution:—

"Resolved, That this Government request the Imperial Government of Russia to specifically declare whether American citizens are excluded from Russia on account of their religious faith, and if so, that said Imperial Government of Russia be requested to remove such prohibition and to permit Americans of whatever religious faith to visit Russia, if they are not liable to become a charge upon the Empire by reason of poverty or an inability to support themselves by honest labor."

It would seem that at last even Ecuador is to a considerable degree shaking herself free from the incubus and blight of papal priestcraft and Jesuitism. The Jesuits have been banished from the country by a government edict, and the distribution of Bibles is now freely permitted. As a result many of the inhabitants are calling for the Word of God. The weakening of the last strongholds of anti-biblical religion points to the completion of the work of preaching the gospel in all the world for a witness unto all nations, which the Saviour said should immediately precede his second coming.

A RECENT ruling made by Judge Righter, of the District Court of New Orleans, in a case which attacked the right of the city to make grants of public money to denominational institutions, holds that the fact that the grants of money were made to more than one institution renders them non-sectarian. This is on the principle that two wrongs make a right, or that an evil ceases to be an evil by being multiplied.

EX-POSTMASTER-GENERAL WANAMAKER, says the *Union Signal*, predicts the advent of a new political party, as a result of "the low state to which the political religion of the nation was brought by unscrupulous bosses." It cannot be denied that the "political religion" of the nation is in a "low state;" but the very nature of this "religion" precludes it from attaining to any other plane of activity than a low one; while the "unscrupulous bosses" appear to be a necessary adjunct of every successful political party. A new party can give no permanent relief to the situation, because it is not a remedy that can reach the seat of the difficulty. It is useless to try to lift politics above the level of human nature.

In Topeka, Kans., after twelve years of freedom from open saloons, the State prohibitory law has been defied by the opening of an attractive saloon in the principal street of the city. A mass meeting of citizens called to consider the situation adopted resolutions calling upon the governor to remove the Topeka police commissioners, and demanding the prosecution of all violators of the law. It is public sentiment that determines whether a law shall be enforced or not; and right public sentiment can come only from the education of the public in the principles of self government.

If there were no other proof for the proposition that this is not a Christian nation, its truth would be amply demonstrated by the prevailing cruel, senseless, revolting worship of the goddess of Fashion in the form of the decoration of ladies' bonnets with the lifeless bodies of the innocent, beautiful, songsters that do so much for the farmer against injurious insects and contribute so largely to maintain what little natural beauty is still left in this dark old earth.

OUR decoration day number (June 3) has been voted by our friends one of our very best issues. We have a few left. First come first served. Usual prices.

TO OUR PATRONS:

1. Write names plainly.
2. Remit by P. O. Money Order, or Express Order, or by Bank Draft on New York. Personal checks are not desired.
3. Make all Orders and Drafts payable to Pacific Press Publishing Co., not to the editor or any other individual.
4. We will receive Postage Stamp in small quantities and any kind of good money. Defaced coins will not be taken. If paper money or coin is sent, register the letter.



Set for the defense of liberty of conscience, and therefore uncompromisingly opposed to anything tending toward a union of Church and State, either in name or in principle.

Published Weekly by Pacific Press Publishing Co.

ORGAN OF THE

International Religious Liberty Association.

Single Copy, Per Year, - - - - \$1.00.
 " " Six months, - - - - .50.
 " " Three " - - - - .25.

ADDRESS. AMERICAN SENTINEL,
 39 Bond Street, New York.

BAPTIST PRINCIPLES

OF RELIGIOUS LIBERTY

APPLIED TO SUNDAY LAWS



is: **An Appeal to Baptists**

to be true to their historic principles and practices touching religious freedom.

—PLAIN BUT KIND.—

Of it a leading Baptist minister and editor writes:—

"I have read your pamphlet on 'Baptist Principles of Religious Liberty' with great interest and entire sympathy. . . . I hope you will continue your efforts for the promotion of religious liberty."

Fifty-two Pages. Illustrated

Price 6 Cents. Usual Discount in Quantities.

PACIFIC PRESS PUBLISHING CO.,
39 Bond Street, New York.

Kansas City, Mo.

Oakland, Cal.

DON'T TAKE an agency until you find out what we have to offer. If already engaged or in business, send for our "Side-line Specialties." Begin, now where you are, and turn spare moments into cash. \$75 per month. Particulars free. Write to-day. Address,

E. E. MILES, Manuf. and Pub.,
5136 State St., Chicago, Ill.

His Glorious Appearing.

An Exposition of Matthew 24.

REVISED, ENLARGED, AND ILLUSTRATED.

Contains ninety-six pages, with twenty full-page illustrations. The work takes up Christ's great prophecy of his own second coming, and the signs of the times are clearly pointed out in relation to that greatest of all events.

JUST THE BOOK FOR THE TIMES.

Interesting, Timely, and Instructive.

Price, attractive board cover, .25
PACIFIC PRESS PUBLISHING CO.,
39 Bond st., New York City.

A Greek-English Lexicon

TO
The New Testament.

Revised and Enlarged by

THOMAS SHELDON GREEN, M. A.

WITH A PREFACE BY

H. L. HASTINGS,

Editor of the *Christian*, Boston, Mass.

AND A SUPPLEMENT BY

J. H. THAYER, D. D., LITT. D.

Professor of New-Testament Criticism and Interpretation in the Divinity School of Harvard University.

Containing additional Words and Forms to be found in one or another of the Greek Texts in current use, especially those of Lachmann, Tischendorf, Tregelles, Westcott, and Hort, and the Revisers of 1881.


"The best thing of the kind for the money."

PAPER BINDING, Postpaid, 35c

Address,

Pacific Press Publishing Co.,
39 BOND ST., NEW YORK CITY, N. Y.

"I am surprised,"



Says PROF. E. A. SHELDON, President of the State Normal School, Oswego, N. Y. "that so much that is valuable for one to know is brought into so small a compass and sold at so small a price."

THE NEW Webster Dictionary AND Complete Vest-Pocket Library

is full of happy surprises. It successfully combines a Dictionary of 45,000 words; a complete Parliamentary Manual; Literary Guide, etc. Positively the only pocket dictionary giving the full pronunciation of words. Over 300,000 copies sold.

"I shall give this book a place in my vest pocket, a place

that no other book thus far has had."—C. C. Gaines, Pres. of Eastman Business College.

192 Pages, 5 1/2 x 2 3/4 in., Weight, 2 ounces.

Should be owned by every Student, Business Man, and Person of Intelligence.

Cloth, 25 cents; Morocco, 50 cents; Extra Quality with Three Years' Calendar, Perpetual Memorandum, and Safety Postage-Stamp Holder, 60 cents.

Address all orders to

PACIFIC PRESS PUBLISHING CO.,
39 Bond St., New York City.

THE WHIRL of the CYCLONE CONTINUES.



The people are looking for a perfect washing machine. It is found only in the Cyclone, and it is so easy to demonstrate the fact to intending purchasers that agents for it are reaping a rich harvest. Write for terms and territory to Coon Bros., 18-20 Hanover St., Battle Creek, Mich.

FIGHTING AGAINST GOD

Is the Title of a sixteen-page tract just issued as No. 41 of the

Religious Liberty Library,

In which PICTURE, POETRY, and PROSE unite in teaching Christian principles of freedom of conscience.

7 Fine Half-Tone

Illustrations . 7

Printed on highly-finished paper.

Its attractiveness will insure perusal by the most prejudiced or indifferent reader.

PRICE—3 Cents each.

Usual discounts in quantities.

PACIFIC PRESS PUBLISHING CO.,
39 Bond Street, New York City.
Kansas City, Mo. Oakland, Cal.

\$7.00 WORTH FOR \$3.50.

We propose to make it possible for each and everyone to be the possessor of


A First-Class High Grade Bible.

The book we offer has large clear type (see specimen) and is what is known as the
AMERICAN OXFORD SELF-PRONOUNCING REFERENCE TEACHERS' BIBLE,

Bourgeois Type, Bound in Imperial Seal Leather, Divinity Circuit, Extra Linen Lined to Edge. Round Corners, Red Under Gold Edges, Silk Headband, Silk Marker, Binding of the Best Quality,

<i>They that sealed the covenant.</i>	NEHEMIAH, X.	<i>The points of the covenant.</i>
gavest before them, neither turned they from their wicked works.	B. C. 445.	25 Rē'hūm, Hā-shāb'nah, Mā-a-sē'-jah,
36 Behold, ^d we are servants this day, and for the land that thou gavest unto our fathers to eat the fruit thereof and the good thereof, behold, we are servants in it:	^d Deut. 28. 48. Ezra 9. 9.	26 And Ā-hi'jah, Hā'nan, Ā'nan, 27 Māl'luch, Hā'rim, Bā'a-nah.
37 And ^e it yieldeth much increase	^e Deut. 28. 22. 21.	28 ¶ ^e And the rest of the people, the priests, the Lē'vites, the porters, the singers, the Nēth'i-nims, ^f and all they that had separated themselves

SPECIMEN OF TYPE.



OUR PREMIUM BIBLE CLOSED.

REGULAR PRICE, \$6.00

With this book reading is made easy. No more stumbling over the hard words. All proper names are divided into syllables, and the accent and diacritical marks render their accurate pronunciation a simple matter. With a little study of the Key to Pronunciation to be found in every copy of this Bible, the reader loses all fear of the long, hard names of Scripture, and pronounces them with ease.

WITH SENTINEL, ONE YEAR \$3.50

As is indicated by the name, this is a teachers' Bible. It contains fifteen pages of illustrations and diagrams, copious helps of the latest revision, Bible index, concordance, dictionary of Scripture proper names with their pronunciation and meaning, and many other excellent features.

WHAT THEY SAY OF IT.

Wabash, Ind., Oct. 14, 1896.

AMERICAN SENTINEL: My Bible received this day, for which accept thanks. I am very well pleased. I think I have just what I need in the way of a Bible. It is not only a teacher's Bible, but also the very best for a student.

Yours truly,

T. M. MORRIS.

San Francisco, Cal., Dec. 15, 1896.

AMERICAN SENTINEL: I received my Bible, and am very much pleased with it. It is a first-class Bible; just what it is claimed to be.

Mrs. E. F. NOBLE.

Brattleboro, Vt. Nov. 22, 1896.

AM very much pleased with it.

Mrs. E. C. MILLARD.

Graettinger, Iowa, Nov. 30, 1896.

We are all more than pleased with the Bible in every way. As a book it is thoroughly well made and elegant in all its parts. As a Bible the self-pronunciation is a new feature, and this is only one of its many excellencies. The type is a great delight to me.

Mrs. S. M. OLESEN.

It is only by special arrangement with the publishers of this Bible that we are able to make this

UNPRECEDENTED OFFER.

REMEMBER, the price of this Bible is \$6.00. Anyone, OLD OR NEW SUBSCRIBER, sending us \$3.50 will receive this Bible

postpaid, and the Sentinel one year.

We will GIVE one of these Superb Bibles for SEVEN new names at the regular subscription price, \$7.00.

For 25 cents extra we will mark on the Bible in gold letters any name desired.

Address all orders to

**AMERICAN SENTINEL,
39 BOND STREET, NEW YORK.**