THE BETTER LAND.

1. We have heard from the bright, the holy land,
   Where we have heard, and our hearts are glad;
   For we were a lonely pilgrim band,
   And weary, and worn, and sad.

2. They say green fields are waving there,
   That never a bright shall know;
   And the deserts wild are blooming fair,
   Where life's pure river runs.

3. We have heard of the palms, the robes, the crowns,
   Of the leaves that healing bring;
   We'll join the pure, and the blest;
   And forever be at rest.

MISUSE OF THE TERM "SABBATH."

It is quite common, in these days, to hear the term Sabbath used to designate the first day of the week or Sunday. But such a use of the term is not only unscriptural, but calculated to mislead the people. Through the occurrence called the Sabbath, and that is the seventh or last day of the week. When, therefore, men talk about the Sabbath day are meant of the first day, being commonly observed as a day of rest, may properly be called the Sabbath. In common consent, any term is used to express a particular and well-known place or thing, it then becomes a proper name for that thing, and signifies only that thing to which it is applied.

1. It is said that the term Sabbath signifies rest; therefore the first day, being commonly observed as a day of rest, may properly be called the Sabbath. In reply to this, it may be said, that when by custom and custom a particular place or thing, it then becomes a proper name for that thing, and signifies only that thing to which it is applied. For instance, a tabernacle means a place of worship. Yet, in New York, where this name is used to express a particular well-known place of worship, it would be absurd and false to say you were at the Tabernacle, and mean the Church of the Messiah. So with the term Sabbath; although the term strictly means rest, yet after the Scriptures throughout the Old and New Testaments have used this term to express a particular rest, which occurred on the seventh day, it would be foolish and deceptive to speak of the Sabbath and mean the first day of the week. It may be further said, that if this argument be good for calling the first day the Sabbath, and if the fact of its being a rest-day makes it the Sabbath, then may the Mahometans properly call the sixth day the Sabbath, and the fact that they rest upon that day makes it the Sabbath—yes, and those Mexican Indians, whom Cortes found keeping the fourth day, may properly call that day the Sabbath, and directly it is made such. Even those people in Guinea, whom Purchase describes as having a rest-day, but which, he says, "they observe not upon our Sunday, nor upon the Jews' Sabbath day, but hold it upon Tuesday, the second working day of the week," may properly call that day the Sabbath, and straightway it becomes such. Are the observers of the first day ready to rest upon such ground for calling that day the Sabbath, or to continue to call it Sabbath when there is no better ground? We hope not. And we feel bound, as those who respect the Bible, and dare not charge the Author of that Book with folly in calling the seventh day the Sabbath, or to protest against such abuse of the language of Scripture.

2. The second reason frequently urged, is, that the first day comes in the room of the seventh day, and may therefore properly be called the Sabbath. Aside from the fact that the Scriptures say not a word about a substitution of the one day for the other, it may be said in reply, that the argument is good, they may proper call the Lord's Supper may be called the Passover, and King Solomon may be called King David.

3. A third reason alleged for calling the first day the Sabbath, is, because it has long been the practice of Christians to call it so. In answering this assertion, it may be worth while to inquire what has been the practice of Christians in this matter. Few will deny, that wherever, in the New Testament, the word Sabbath refers to a weekly religious day, it is the seventh day. When the first day of the week is spoken of, it is under its appropriate title. For nearly the whole of the first century, then, we have the testimony of Scripture that the name Sabbath belonged exclusively to the seventh day. During the succeeding four hundred years, there were large numbers both in the Eastern church, and in western Rome, and in the Western church, about Rome, who kept the Sabbath. And when ecclesiastical councils, in the fourth and fifth centuries, began to enact laws against them, they condemned Sabbath-keeping altogether. From this it is apparent, that the idea of calling the first day the Sabbath had not then entered their minds. What day was meant when the term Sabbath was used for five hundred years later still, the learned Dr. Peter Heylyn has told us in the following words:—"Wherever, for a thousand years and upwards, we meet with Sabattum, in any writer, of what name soever, it must be understood of no day but Saturday." Indeed, if we search all the books which have
been written on this and kindred subjects up to the time of the Reformation, we shall not find that the first day was to any considerable extent regarded as the Sabbath or Lord's day. Dr. Richard Whately, Archbishop of Dublin, in a late work on the subject of the Sabbath, says, "in fact, the notion against which I am contending, viz. that the fourth commandment binds Christians to hallow the first day of the week, and that it may properly be called the Sabbath, seems, as far as I can collect, to have originated with the Puritans, not more than 200 years ago, and has been for a considerable time confined to them, though it was sub-

So far is it from being true, then, that the first day has been universally called the Sabbath among Chris-
tians, that even now, by the best authorities upon such subjects, it is not called Sabbath at all. The Records of England up to the present time invariably call the seventh day the Sabbath. In the Journals of the House of Lords, whatever is entered as having been done on the seventh day, or Saturday, is under the date, Dies Sabbats, upon the Sabbath day. The same is true of the House of Commons. The Rules and Records of the King's Bench, and the Latin Records in the Court of Equity, and in Chancery, do likewise call the seventh day the Sabbath. These names may be known by any who will take the trouble to examine; and they show how groundless and erroneous is the supposition to which we are replying. Indeed, in many languages the seventh day is called by a name which indicates its sabbatic character. In Low Dutch it is callek rust-dagh, the day of rest. In English, French, Italian, Spanish, Portuguese, Latin, Greek, and Hebrew, it has its right name, the Sabbath, the day of rest.

Now let us look at some of the consequences of calling the first day by the name of the Sabbath. It has given occasion for Papists to charge Protestants with neglecting the Scriptures to follow their traditions. The Papists claim, that the change of the Sabbath is the work of their own church, and that the Scriptures nowhere warrant the keeping of the first day, much less the calling it by the name of the Sabbath. Who will deny this latter position? Again, it has led some earnest and pious men to charge the teachers of religion with a "befooling and misleading the people." Proof of this may be found to any extent in books written on the subject in the seventeenth century. The charge is the more frequently made, of designedly using deceptive arguments.

We will not undertake to say, that those who are accustomed to speak in a manner so likely to deceive, design to do that. But we will say, that such would be the natural effect of their language. It would leave upon the minds of many an impression, that they were not only bound to pay peculiar respect to the first day of the week, but that the fourth commandment required of them such respect. For a religious teacher knowingly to make this impression, is to be guilty of directly fostering error. Nay, more; if he should call the first day the Sabbath, and refer to the fourth commandment as inculcating the duty of observing that day; or show, without direct reference to that law, express himself in such a way as to leave his hearers to suppose that it required the observance of the first day, he would be wanting in faithfulness to the truth, and exposed to the denunciation of those who add to or take from it.

No doubt many will think, that at a time when the prevailing tendency is to disregard all sacred seasons, it is worse than wicked, or that law, express under an impression that the law of God requires the observance of the first day of the week, and sanctions calling that day the Sabbath. But this prevailing dis-

urging an examination of the foundation upon which the Sabbath rests. Common prudence, to say nothing of Christian sincerity, would require us, in such circumstances, to place the duty upon its true ground. If it will not stand there, it will stand no where. It is a dangerous experiment to encourage or connive at misconceptions in a point like this. And even if we felt assured that it would be right, we are fully convinced that it would be inexpedient. It is exceedingly dan-
gorous to acknowledge an unsound principle, although it may promise to conduct us to desirable results, or, at worst, to produce the bad effects thought to be remembered, that it was in apparently trivial and harm-

less points, that those false principles were allowed, which have infused their poison into the Romish and other apostate churches—a poison which, commencing with the extremities, has worked its way rapidly to-

wards the vitals, and diffused its effects through the whole system. It is not, then, a matter of small mo-

ment. The most important and disastrous conse-
quencies may result from baptizing a day of human in-
vention with a name which the Scriptures apply ex-
clusively to one appointed of God.—Sabbath Tract No. 12.

Sabbath Controversy.

THE TRUE ISSUE.

One of the greatest difficulties which we who observe the seventh day have ever found in the Sabbath contro-

versy, is to know where the opposition of the other apostate churches—a poison which, commencing backward, till he fancies he can almost talk to the "beloved disciple" face to face, and what more can he find? Verily nothing.

But when you have got this admission from us, then we have another question to ask. How—don't dodge the question—HOW was the day observed by the early Christians? We admit the observance of it; but that is not the issue. The issue respects the manner of observing it. You, if you are consistent, will say that the early Christians observed it not only by public wor-

ship, but by abstaining from labor. We, on the other hand, deny that they abstained from labor. We admit that they held public worship; but—we repeat it—we deny that they observed the Sabbath, "resting a sacred day to the commandment." Now with the issue thus fairly stated, we put the laboring one into your hands, and challenge you to prove your position. Bring proof, if
you can, that the early Christians regarded the first day of the week as any thing else than a religious festival, between which and a Sabbath there is a very important difference, the latter requiring abstinence from labor, the former merely requiring public worship in honor of the event commemorated, and allowing the remainder of the day to be spent in labor or amusement.

2. When it is once settled, that in a very early period of the church the first day was observed as a festival, with our opponents, we have fairly come to the question, "weariness of the flesh," in their "much study" of the old fathers to find proof of it;—though we never called the former merely requiring public worship in honor of the week as any thing else than a difference, the latter requiring abstinence from labor, between which and the event commemorated, and allowing the remainder of some particular day is denoted; for it is not clearly apparent from the context;—then the issue is, whether this festival was ordained by Christ?—whether the New Testament furnishes inspired example of such festival! Our opponents affirm; we deny. We maintain that in every passage of the New Testament, where the first day of the week is mentioned, the context furnishes a sufficient reason why it is mentioned, without the least necessity of supposing it to have been a festival season. No exception can be made to this, unless in regard to 1 Cor. 16: 2. The reason why the Apostle in this place specifies the first, rather than any other day of the week, does not clearly appear from the context;—but the particular phraseology employed, "let every one of you say by him," [himself] is against the idea of any public meeting; and if no public meeting, of course no festival season. As every allusion to the first day of the week is sufficiently explained by other circumstances noticed in the context, the inferential proof of its festival character is thereby destroyed. As for clear, positive proof of the Sabbath or any such special precept or command, no person of modesty pretends it. Still less is there any proof of its Sabbath character.

3. Another point wherein we are necessarily at issue with great numbers of Christians, is whether the institution of the Sabbath is separable from the particular day to be observed. They affirm; we deny. We maintain that in every passage of the New Testament, where the first day of the week is the very thing in which the institution consists. To render this plain matter yet more plain, we invite close attention to the wording of the fourth commandment; premising, however, that the word Sabbath is not translated from a Hebrew word, but is the Hebrew word itself anglicized, just as baptism is an anglicized Greek word. The propounded interpretation of the word is Rest.—Now the word Rest be substituted for Sabbath, and how clear it becomes—

"Remember the Rest day to keep it holy," [Surely some particular day is denoted; for it is the Rest day, not a Rest day.] Six days shalt thou labor, and do all thy work; but the seventh day is the Rest of the Lord thy God. Is it any where historically recorded as a fact that God rested on the seventh day? It is. Gen. 2: 2. 'On the seventh day God rested from all his work which he had made.'—Who does not see that that day on which God rested, was the last of the seven which constituted the first week of time? In it,—in what! why, in the seventh day, the last day of the week; for the pronoun it can have no other antecedent]—the son, the daughter, nor thy man-servant, nor thy maid servant, nor thy cattle, nor the stranger that is within thy gates. [Why must no work be done on that particular day, the seventh or last day of the week? The reason follows.] For in six days the Lord made heaven and earth, the sea and all that in them is, and RESTED on the seventh day, [as the record in Gen. 2: 2 proves. See also Heb. 4: 4.] Wherefore the Lord blessed the Rest day and sanctified it.

The conclusion is irresistible, that the Rest day spoken of is the particular day on which God rested from his work, as before shown, was the last day of the week. That very day, and no other, God blessed and sanctified. The only reason assigned why he sanctified it, is "because that in it he had rested from all his work which God created and made," Gen. 2: 2. The Rest day, then, which we are required to observe, is "the Rest of the Lord thy God:" which does not mean the rest which the Lord thy God has appointed, though it is true that he has appointed it; nor does it mean a rest which becomes the Lord's by reason of our appropriating it to him; but "the rest of the Lord thy God" means the Rest which the Lord thy God observed.

Now from all this we think it must be evident, that whoever observes any other Rest day than the seventh day of the week, does not observe the Rest—Sabbath—of the Lord thy God. He may, it is true, appropriate it to the purposes of God, and in that sense call it the Lord's; but it can by no means be called "the Rest of the Lord thy God" in the sense of that expression in the fourth commandment. Hence, irresistible is our conviction, that he does not obey the commandment.—O brother Christian, why will you persist in maintaining that your Sunday keeping is an act of obedience to the law of the Sabbath?—Sabbath Tract No. 8.

THE FOURTH COMMANDMENT.

FALSE EXPOSITION AND ITS CONSEQUENCES.

The Fourth Commandment has been variously expounded by its professed friends. Among these expositions, none has been more injurious than that which represents it as requiring the observance, not of the Sabbath, and the seventh day, but of a Sabbath, and a seventh day—not of a certain well-known time, but of an uncertain and varying time. Yet this is the exposition of it which is given both by commentators and writers on the subject of the Sabbath. It will be found, however, that this view is generally presented in order to prepare the way to introduce the first day of the week, under the specious name of Lord's Day, into the place of the Sabbath. Thus some are made to think that the name Sabbath may as well be applied to the first day of the week as to the seventh. But such an exposition there are several serious objections:

1. It is a perversion of the original text itself. In every place where the weekly Sabbath and the seventh day are spoken of, the Hebrew article is uniformly used. This article is often used like our demonstrative this—but more commonly like our definite article the—never as our indefinite article a or an; and Gesenius, in his extensive and authoritative works on the Hebrew language, says, "The definite article cannot rightly be said to stand indefinitely." To this opinion agree all our translators, both ancient and modern, who have rendered the terms, both in the fourth commandment and all other places of the Scripture, by the Sabbath and the seventh day.

2. It makes the Fourth Commandment to be indefinite and absurd. If that commandment only requires the observance of a Sabbath or rest, and that one seventh day, then one man might keep the seventh day, another the third day, and another the fifth day, yet all obey the commandment. What confusion would thus result from carrying out this exposition to its legitimate results! But God's commandment is not yea and nay after this manner. The seventh day is the Sabbath of the Lord thy God." That man will not be held guiltless who misinterprets and misrepresents it, for however pious a purpose lie may do so.

3. It is contrary to the teachings of the very men who give this exception; for they affirm, that the fourth
commandment required the keeping of the seventh day until Christ came. Now, if the Jews before Christ were bound to keep a certain and definite day, and that the seventh day, then the commandment required a certain and definite day, and that the seventh day.

From these considerations it is evident, that those who represent the fourth commandment as requiring observance of only a Sabbath, and that upon some one day of the seven indefinitely, are guilty of a false exposition of the commandment, and of handling the word of God falsely. Instead of making plain passage of Scripture ture to signify one thing for some thousands of years, and then ever afterwards to signify another thing. Thus do they make void the commandment of God, that they may keep their own traditions.

Now let us turn to a consideration of some of the consequences of this kind of exposition. Among these we will mention only three.

1. It overturns all certainty in explaining the Scriptures. If a man, in translating from a Latin or Greek author, should pervert his author’s meaning in this manner, by using words in a different sense from that in which they were intended, he would be cast out and despised. But yet when a preacher represents the term the Sabbath as meaning simply a rest, that so he may escape finally in the handling of the fourth commandment, he deals worse with the Scriptures than the translator just mentioned does with his profane author. Instead, however, of being cast out and despised, his speculations are allowed to go for truth. Thus unbelievers are encouraged in their infidelity; and occasion is given for them to say, that the Bible is interpreted by its friends to mean just what they please to have it. It is dangerous for men to use their wits thus to blind the eyes of their fellows.

2. It abolishes the Lord’s Sabbath, and makes the Fourth Commandment to be a mere cipher. First, it abolishes the Lord’s Sabbath, because it teaches that the observance of the seventh day, on which God rested, and which he introduced into the commandment as one with the Sabbath, is not at all binding, but the day may be spent in any kind of labor. Is not this to abolish the Lord’s Sabbath? Second, it makes the fourth commandment a cipher, because it takes away the time, which is the seventh day, and the event commemorated, which is God’s resting from his creative work. Now real rest, which is the seventh day, and the event commemorated, would be lost, and the Spirit of the world. After hearing a little on the third angel’s message, and proving so clearly the correctness of our position, my heart melts with gratitude to God for his goodness, and mercy in calling me to see, and understand his saving truth. Oh! what floods of light continue to shine upon my ravished vision, unfolding to my mind new fields of meditation, sweet as Heaven. I know that see with more clearness than ever before, the restoration of the present Church to the position occupied by the woman clothed with the sun, &c., Rev. xii, 1, before our Lord shall come; for Christ hath said, “Elias shall first come, and restore all things.” Thanks be to God for truth that binds all opposition, and makes the child of God so free.

I do not remember where I was when I wrote to you last, and will begin the history of my journey, and labors in Wisconsin. I found Bro. Holcomb and his wife holding fast to their past experience, as far as the tenth commandment was concerned, as those would who yet refuse to hear the truth in regard to it. Their eldest daughter, living near them, with another neighboring woman, is with them, strong in the faith. Their children, (four in number,) from ten to sixteen years of age, seemed to be deeply affected with the truth. The night, or a few nights before I arrived at Bro. Holcomb’s, the good Lord sent his Angel, in answer to prayer, and warned, one of the family, in a dream, of my coming. Let us thank the Lord for dreams.

I went to the meeting where I expected to find N. A. Hitchcock, and found that he had moved far west, and no one knew where he lived. I had a more severe battle to pass through, than at Kalamazoo, Mich. [See Review No. 3.] The blessed Lord pleased the influence of six or seven preachers, and stood by poor unworthy me, in power, and took a few from the mouth of the lion, and planted them on the commandments of God, and will, if they continue, give them the faith of Jesus, &c. I baptized three the morning that I left. Such things are foreseen from whose day it is, but had I remained the animal magnetism than I have seen in any one since the seventh month, ’44. The truth is mighty in binding these foul “ Spirits of Devils.” I know that these spirits will soon be subject to the Saints of God. I re-
turned rejoicing towards Wisconsin. Had a communion with Bro. Holcomb's family, baptized four of his children, left them by Lake Pleasant weeping and rejoicing, while I started in haste to meet the Stage for Millwaukie. Arrived in Jackson, Mich., by Railroad, stopped with the Brethren over the Sabbath, broke bread, washed the Saints feet, got abundantly blessed. Left the band in Jackson in as good a place as any band I have seen in all my travels. May the Lord keep them unto his coming. I felt that I must see Bro. Case again before I left for the East. I went to North Plains, found him in the present truth, and more than a match for all his enemies. His eldest child fourteen years of age, was buried in baptism. Her face did truly shine, while her heart and mouth praised the Lord. Salvation is sweet, thank Heaven, Amen.—Bro. Case left with me for Bro. Catlin's, found them in an awfully dark place. The eldest one confessed all the truth, several others came into the Sabbath, I think our labor will not be lost in that place; for the Lord wanted me to go there. Bro. Case came with me to Detroit, and then returned with horse and wagon to Jackson. Spent last Sabbath, probably there. I think he will go in search of the scattered sheep, for the Lord is showing him his light and truth very fast. I pray God to make him mighty in the truth. I want to see you very much, God bless you, Amen.

S. W. RHODES.


[Letter from Bro. Bowles.]

DEAR BRO. WHITE,—I embrace the present moment to address you a few words. I left Bro. Rhodes the 9th inst., 160 miles west of here, (at Laport, Indiana.) The particulars of our route, no doubt, will give you Suffice it for me to say that I think Bro. Rhodes has been the means in the hands of God of raising up three in the West that will be able to give the message, and that will give it, viz. Bro. Case, Kemp, and Catlin. Several were brought in on our way. I left Bro. Rhodes at Bro. Catlin's, with a good prospect that others would embrace the truth. From there he goes to Illinois and Wisconsin. I think that he will be back here in about two or three weeks. The Brn. here are trying to do the best they can. We like the "Review." Bro. Edson's call to the Laodiceans, I think, is in the right place. Yours in the blessed hope.

J. C. BOWLES.


[Letter from Bro. Case.]

DEAR BRO. WHITE,—For the first time I sit down to write to you a few words. My mind is full. O, how shall I be thankful enough to the Lord that he put it into the heart of Bro. Rhodes to come to this dark part of the world, to give us the light on the commandments of God, and the faith of Jesus. This truth ravishes my soul. Truly it is the light that "shineth more and more unto the perfect day." Glory to God! the path is plain and glorious.

While Bro. Rhodes was showing me the third angel's message, the light in relation to the two horned beast, Rev. xiii, 11, came to my mind all at once, and to me the thing is clear that the two horned beast is the power of Church and State. It is an "image" of the Papal Beast to whom the dragon gave "his power, and his seat, and great authority." The Papal Beast was church and state united. An image must be like the thing imitated; therefore, the image-beast is composed of church and state united—Protestant churches and Republicanism. The word of the Lord is plain.

I have been in a dark region a long time, and now it is to give me spiritual food since 1844. I have read the "Harbinger" and "Herald," and have seen their hatred towards each other, and none of the blessed spirit of Jesus seemed to be there. I did not know what to do or where to turn for to get the light that I wanted. I took up the "Harbinger" and "Herald" to get light, and found none; but frequent calls for money, read a little in them, and then threw them down and wondered what was the matter. "The blind" were leading the blind, and if Bro. Rhodes had not come to see me, I think that I should have fallen into the "ditch." O, praise the Lord for the light.

There are those with whom I have formed an acquaintance, in the State of New York, whom I have buried in baptism, beneath the yielding waves of the different waters there, that I should like to see rejoicing in the present truth, and know that they were keeping the commandments of God, and the faith of Jesus. And I would see them, I believe they would receive the word and be sealed of the Lord, and help compose the army of the Lord, [Joel ii, 11,] before whom he will utter his voice in the day of the Lord. If I feel my soul, like fire shall shut up, and clear away the bones. I want to proclaim the third angel's message; but I have not the means, or I would soon be out, trying to pull souls out of the fire. O, that the way may open before me.

The excitement that the truth has produced here is not small. Those who call themselves brethren have turned their influence against me, and are trying to injure me in every way they can, and keep the brethren from hearing the truth. We read of such characters in the last days. "As Jannes and Jambres withstood Moses, do these also resist the truth," &c. But thank God, "their folly shall be made manifest." If the way opens I want to go into the field once more, to get some precious "jewels" for the "second casket."

Yours in hope.

H. S. CASE.


[Letter from Bro. Holt.]

OSWEGO, N. Y., Oct. 21, 1850.

DEAR BRO. WHITE,—Since I returned from Canada I have visited the brethren in Camden, and found most of them strong in the faith. Seven were baptized. I then went to St. Lawrence County, and found a few that manifested a desire for the truth. On my return, I visited the brethren in Copenhagen and Lorain. I found them steadfast in the truth, but in need of strength.

I then went to Oswego, and met with the brethren on the Sabbath. The Lord poured out his Spirit, and we had a time of refreshing; fourteen were baptized. I then went to Bro. Chapin's and other places in that vicinity. Some confessed the truth. One week yesterday I met with Dear Bro. Rhodes four miles from Rochester. I called to see Bro. and Sister Rood in Ontario, who have lately come into the truth, and some others that there is hope of.

We met in conference the 18th, at Bro. Scoot's. The spirit and power of God was poured out in the meeting from the commencement to its close. The Spirit of the Lord seemed to take the entire lead of the table. We rejoiced to see the people of God rising in Western New York. I feel like going night and day to bear the glorious message. It grows better and better. Glory to God. My love to yourself, wife and all the saints.

GEO. W. HOLT.
Bro. Rhodes writes from Oswego, Oct. 21,—"I feel strong in the strength of the living word of God. We have had a meeting similar to the one you wrote of at T. W. S. The brethren at Oswego and Sterling were few. You have my heart and prayers in publishing a paper. I know that a paper is needed very much. I start this P. M. for Volney, Schenectady, Bro. Miller's, Fayetteville, Brookfield and Camden.

Pray for unworthy me. I ask it with tears. O, how I love you. Love and fellowship in the Holy Ghost to all in Christ's love! S. W. RHODES.

DEAR BRETHREN AND SISTERS—I wish to give you a short sketch of what the Lord has recently shown to me in vision. I was shown the loveliness of Jesus, and the love that the angels have for one another. Said she, destroy the scepter, ye shall not hold their love—follow it. Jesus is God's people must love one another. Rather let the blame fall on thyself than on a brother. I saw that the message "sell that ye have and give alms" had not been given, by some, in its clear light; that the truth of the words of our Saviour had not been clearly presented. I saw that the object of selling was not to give us to those who are able to labor and support themselves; but to spread the truth, and to give those who are able to labor, in idleness. Some have been zealous to attend all the meetings; not to glorify God, but for the "loaves and fishes." Such had much better been at home laboring with their hands, and said, the thing that is good," to supply the wants of their families, and to have something to give to sustain the precious cause of redemption here on earth.

Some, I saw, had erred in praying for the sick to be healed before unbelievers. If any among us are sick, and call for the elders of the church to pray over them, according to James 5:14, 15, we should follow the example of Jesus. He put unbelievers out of the room, and then healed the sick; so we should seek to be separated from the unbelievers who have not faith, when we pray for the sick among us.

Then I was pointed back to the time that Jesus took his disciples away alone, into an upper room, said first washed their feet, and then gave them to eat of the broken bread, to represent his broken body, and of the vine to represent his spilled blood. I saw that all should be so, misunderstood, and follow the example of Jesus in these things, and when attending to those ordinances, should be as separate from unbelievers as possible.

Then I was shown that the seven last plagues will be poured out, after Jesus leaves the Sanctuary. Said the angel—It is the wrath of God and the Lamb that causes the destruction or death of the wicked. At the voice of God the saints will be mighty and terrible in the armies of the living God, with banners; but they will not then execute the judgment written. The execution of the judgment will be at the close of the 1000 years.

After the saints are changed to immortality, and are caught up together, and receive their harps, crowns, &c., and enter the Holy City, Jesus and the saints set out with banners; but they will not then execute the judgment written. The execution of the judgment will be at the close of the 1000 years.

After the saints are changed to immortality, and are caught up together, and receive their harps, crowns, &c., and enter the Holy City, Jesus and the saints set out with banners; but they will not then execute the judgment written. The execution of the judgment will be at the close of the 1000 years.

After the saints are changed to immortality, and are caught up together, and receive their harps, crowns, &c., and enter the Holy City, Jesus and the saints set out with banners; but they will not then execute the judgment written. The execution of the judgment will be at the close of the 1000 years.

The book of life contains the good deeds of the saints, and the book of death contains the evil deeds of the wicked. These books were compared with the Statute book, the Bible, and according to that they were judged. The saints in union with Jesus, He receives their judgment upon the wicked dead. Behold ye! the book of life in union with Jesus, and meet out to each of the wicked, according to the deeds done in the body, and it is set off against their names what they must receive, at the execution of the judgment. This, I saw, was the work of the saints with Jesus, in the Holy City before it descends to the earth, through the 1000 years. Then at the close of the 1000 years, Jesus, and the angels, and all the saints with him, leaves the Holy City, as he is descending to the earth with them, the wicked dead are raised, and then the very men that "pierced him," being raised, will see him afar off in all his glory, the angels and saints with him, and will wail because of him. They will see the prints of the nails in his hands, and in his feet, and where they thrust the spear into his side. The priests and ephod of the saints will have crowns, and glory. It is at the close of the 1000 years that Jesus stands upon the Mount of Olives, and the Mount parts assunder, and it becomes a mighty plain, and those who see at that time are the wicked, that have just been raised. The Holy City comes down and settles on the plain. Then Satan imbues the wicked, that have been raised, with his spirit. He flatters them that the army in the City is small, and that their army is large, and that they can overcome the saints and take the City. While Satan was rallying his army, the saints were in the City, beholding the beauty and glory of the Paradise of God. Jesus was at their head, leading them. All at once the lovely Saviour was gone from our company; but soon we heard his lovely voice, saying, "Come ye blessed, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the world." We gathered about Jesus, and just as he closed the gates of the City, the curse was pronounced upon the wicked. The gates were shut. Then the saints used their wings, and mounted to the top of the wall of the City. Jesus was also with them; his crown looked brilliant and glorious, and his number was a crown. The crowns of the saints were of the most pure gold, decked with stars. Their faces shone with glory, for they were in the express image of Jesus; and as they arose, and moved all together to the top of the City, I was enraptured with the sight.

Then the wicked saw what they had lost; and fire was breathed from God upon them, and consumed them. This was the EXECUTION OF THE JUDGMENT. The wicked then received according as the saints in union with Jesus had meted out to them during the 1000 years. The same fire from God that consumed the wicked, purified the whole earth. The broken ragged mountains melted with fervent heat, the atmosphere, also, and all the stubble was consumed. Then our inheritance was prepared, and we inherited the whole earth made new. We all shout ed with a loud voice, Glory, Alleluia.

I also saw that the shepherds should conceal those in whom they have reason to have confidence, those who have been in all the messages, and are firm in all the present truth, before they advocate any new point of importance, which they may think the true saints of God. Then the shepherds will be perfectly united, and the union of the shepherds will be felt by the church.

Such a course I saw would prevent unhappy divisions, and there would be no danger of the precious flock being divided, and the sheep scattered, without a shepherd.

September 31st, the Lord showed me that he had stretched out his hand the second time to recover the remnant of his people, and that efforts must be redoubled in this gathering time. In the scattering time Israel was smitten and torn; but now in the gathering time God will heal and bind up his people. In the scattering, efforts made to spread the truth had little effect, accomplished but little or nothing; but in the gathering time God has set his seal, and all in Christ's name, efforts to spread the truth will have their designed effect. All should be united and zealous in the work.
I saw that it was a shame for any to refer to the scattering for examples to govern us now in the gathering; for if God doth no more for us now than he did then, Israel would never be gathered. It is as necessary that the truth should be published in a paper, as preached. The Lord showed me that the 1843 chart was directed by his hand, and that no part of it should be altered; that the figures were as he wanted them. That his hand was over and hid a mistake in some of the figures, so that none could see it, until his hand was removed. Then I saw in relation to the "daily," that the word "sacrifice" was supplied by man's wisdom, and does not belong to the text; and that the Lord gave his perfect view of it to those who gave the judgment hour cry. When union existed, before 1844, nearly all were united on the correct view of the "daily;" but since 1844, in the confusion, other views have been embraced, and darkness and confusion has followed. The Lord showed me that time had not been a test since 1844, and that time will never again be a test.

Then I was pointed to some who are in a great error, that the saints are yet to go to Old Jerusalem, &c., before the Lord comes. Such a view is calculated to take the mind and interest from the present work of God, under the message of the third angel; for if we are to go to Jerusalem, then our minds will naturally be there, and our means will be withheld from other uses, to get the saints to Jerusalem. Then I saw that the reason they were left to go into this great error, is because they have not confessed and forsaken their errors, that they have been in for a number of years past.

E. G. WHITE.

SWINE'S FLESH.

Some of our good brethren are troubled in regard to eating swine's flesh, and a very few abstain from it, thinking that the Bible forbids its use. We do not object to abstinence from the use of swine's flesh, if it is done on the right grounds. We think that too free and abundant use of it, and other animal food, of which many, and even some of our brethren in the present truth are not guilty, is a sin; for it clogs and stupifies the mind, and in many cases impairs the constitution; but we do not, by any means, believe that the Bible teaches its violation; and finally that its proper use, in the gospel dispensation, is sinful. But we do object to a misapplication of the holy scriptures in sustaining a position which will only distract the remnant. Error, however small it may appear, darkens and fetters the soul, and if persisted in will lead to gross darkness, and great errors, and sooner or later its fatal results will appear.

The principal texts that are quoted to prove that the Gentile church is forbidden to eat swine's flesh are Isa. lxxiv. 4; lxxvi. 17. The true context of these texts fall far short of affording sufficient proof on this point. In fact, we must believe they have no direct bearing on this time. The burning of "incense upon the altar of brick," remaining "among the graves," lodging "in the monuments," and sacrificing "in gardens" are rebuked in connection with eating "swine's flesh." Nothing more appears literally to our day, than that God will not, and we think that no one will undertake a literal application of the whole of Isa. lxxiv. 4; lxxvi. 17, to this time. This is one reason why we think that these texts have no literal bearing on this time.

The first verse of Isa. lxxiv., is evidently the call of the Gentiles. The three following verses show the rebellion and idolatry of the Jews. It was a sin for them to eat swine's flesh. The fifth verse shows their blindness, self-righteousness and hypocrisy. "Which say, Stand by thyself, come not near to me; for I am holier than thou." The Jews boasted of their zeal in the law, rebuked the Son of God, and falsely charged him with its violation, and finally crucified him.

But as we may not all see just alike as to what the Prophet teaches on this subject, let us come to the New Testament. And what do we find? Certainly, if eating swine's flesh is a sin in the sight of Heaven, in this dispensation, the New Testament has in some way taught us the fact. But we find that the New Testament does not, directly or indirectly, teach anything such.

Our opponents say that the Sabbath is not taught and enforced in the New Testament; but it is a false assertion; for the followers of Jesus rested on the Sabbath according to the COMMANDMENT, after the crucifixion. St. Paul preached every Sabbath day, not only in the synagogues, but by the water side, and what is more than all, and which is proof positive that the Sabbath is taught and enforced in the New Testament, is, that the law of God, and the commandments of God, which embraces the Sabbath, and which means nothing more nor less than the ten commandments, are, by Jesus and his apostles shown to be immutable, and are made a test of christian fellowship and of eternal salvation.

But the New Testament so far from teaching that the use of swine's flesh is wrong, it shows that it is not forbidden. First, take the case of the Apostle Peter, when God was about to send him to preach to the Gentiles. His Jewish views and feelings in relation to common and unclean beasts that did not part the hoof and chew the cud, see Lev. xi. must first be removed before he could see that God was no respecter of persons, and that he was sent to the Gentiles. "About the sixth hour," noon, "Peter went upon the housetop to pray," "He became very hungry, and would have eaten;" and in this state "fell into a trance." The opening heavens discovered to him "a certain vessel" descending, in which "were ALL MANNER of four-footed beasts." &c. Certainly, swine were there. The sudden appearance of these beasts no doubt awoke Peter's Jewish feelings and prejudices, for he considered many of them "UNCLEAN." At this point "there came a voice to him, Rise, Peter, kill and eat." But Peter said, "Not so, Lord; for I have never eaten anything that is common or UNCLEAN."

This vision, and the connected circumstances, rid the Apostle of his exclusive feelings, and opened the way for him to preach to, and eat with the Gentiles.

Now look at some of the trials of the apostolic church, on the subject of eating with the Gentiles, and some other points of the law of Moses, and the final decision of the conference of apostles and elders assembled at Jerusalem. See Acts, chap xv. Judaising teachers taught the churches that they, in order to be saved, must keep the law of Moses. This at once caused division among them, and some of the Gentiles felt the following from the Apostle Paul: "Let not him that eateth, despise him that eateth not; and let not him which eateth not, JUDGE him that eateth not." See Rom. xiv. 1-6. "Let no man therefore JUDGE you in matters of meat, &c." See Col. ii. 14-17. The Gentile converts used swine's flesh, and other meats which were "abomination to the Gentiles," while the converts from the Jewish church were inclined to still follow the law of Moses in these things, and were JUDGING the Gentile converts, and binding on them burdens which the gospel did not require.

A conference was convened at Jerusalem to settle the question. Now read their decision, which they wrote to the brethren which were of the Gentiles in Antioch, Syria and Cilicia.

"For it seemed good to the Holy Ghost, and to us,
us, to lay upon you no greater burden than these necessary things; that ye abstain from meats offered to idols, and from blood, and from things strangled, and from fornication; from which if ye keep yourselves, ye shall do well. Fare ye well. Acts xiv, 28, 29.

Mark this: Their whole christian duty was not embodied in what they call "visions," which they sent to the Gentile churches, as some would make us believe, in order to do away with God's Holy Sabbath, and the holy apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ! God forbid. Their decision, being right, settled the question with us, and it should forever settle the question with us.

Of 1845, the author of the vision, published in this paper, communications. They should be brief, and strictly limited to the fulfilment of God's word in visions of the "Advent Harp," published by J. V. Rimes in 1849. Let those who "despise prophesyings," and receive not the "little flock." We have sent the paper to many that we have not heard from, and fear that we have been at expense and trouble to send it without hearing from them. Some may be interested in learning the origin of the Hymn on the first page of this number. In the spring of 1848, the author of the vision, published in this paper, was very sick, nigh unto death. The elders of the church were finally called, and the directions of the apostles and elders assembled at Jerusalem. The Holy Spirit heard, answered and healed the sick. The Holy Spirit filled the room, and she had a vision of the "city," "life's pure river," "green fields," "roses of Sharon," "songs" of "lovely birds," the "harps," "palms," "robes," "crowns," the "mount Zion," the "tree of life," and the "King of that country" mentioned in the vision. The brethren took up "swine's flesh" from the "mount Zion," the "tree of life," and the "King of that country" mentioned in the vision. The vision, which Smith had given his unexplained message, he left us; the brethren were anxious to see him out with the third angel's message. I trust that God is fitting him to sound this mighty cry.

We give a portion of a very interesting letter from Bro. Bates. The most of it is omitted for want of room.

Perhaps, a brief sketch of my tour in Vt. and N. H. after I parted with you at the general conference in Sutton, Vt., may be interesting to you and also to the little flock.

You know Bro. Stephen Smith was anxious for me to go with him to Lebanon, N. H., to visit Elij. Joseph Baker, who was one of God's strong men, in the judgment hour, cry, and fall of Babylon. Bro. Baker, and others of our brethren, composed the hymn, A brother took up his pen, and in a very short time composed the hymn from the vision. It has been published in two or three Second Advent papers, Smith's collection of hymns, and finally found its way into the "Advent Harp," published by J. V. Himes in 1849. Let those who "despise prophesyings," and reject the fulfilment of God's word in visions of the "LAST DAYS," remember, when they sing this hymn, that it was composed from a vision.

The Paper. The brethren may now expect to receive a few numbers, and we hope that our brethren and sisters, who can write, will be free to send in their communications. They should be brief, and strictly confined to the present truth. We shall not object to long articles, if they be full of truth and interest. We want to hear, especially, from the dear brethren that have been open for meetings, so many years, a little company gathered, and Bro. Butler drank deeper into the straight truth. His eldest daughter, then at home on a visit, heard readily and settled on the right Sabbath. Praise the Lord.

Our meeting at Waitsfield was blessed of God. Brother and Sister Butler came from Waterbury with Brn. Chamberlain and Churchill. Brn. Hart and Bradley came from Northfield; and those in the place with Bro. Lockwood's family, composed our meeting. Bro. Butler finally yielded to the present truth. His wife was much strengthened and blessed. Brn. Hart and Bradley, of Northfield, confessed the whole truth; and praised the Lord for the third angel's message. The Lord made it our duty to accompany Brother and Sister Butler to Waterbury. Here at the house that has been open for meetings, so many years, a little company gathered, and Bro. Butler drank deeper into the straight truth. His eldest daughter, then at home on a visit, heard readily and settled on the right Sabbath. Praise the Lord.

At Bennington, we met Bro. Smith again, also, our dear brother, that in Bennington, professed their clear convictions of the seventh-day Sabbath, and shut door. So you see, dear brother, that in places where all was dark and dreary, a few weeks since, light is now springing up. Then left all the swift messengers that God has called, and still is cutting into the field, to give the land cry of the third angel, move forward." - JOSEPH BATES.

Fairoaks, Mass., Nov. 4, 1850.

Our Post Office address is Paris, Maine.

JAMES WHITE.